arXiv:1404.7557v1 [math.DS] 30 Apr 2014

Stability of KAM tori for nonlinear Schrödinger equation *

Hongzi Cong[1] Jianjun Liu[2] Xiaoping Yuan[3]
[1] School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology
[2] School of Mathematical Sciences, Sichuan University
[3] School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University

May 1, 2014

Abstract: *It is proved that the KAM tori (thus quasi-periodic solutions) are long time stable for nonlinear Schrödinger equation.*

Key words: KAM tori, Normal form, Stability, p-tame property, KAM technique.

1 Introduction

Since Kuksin's work [20] in 1987, the infinite dimensional KAM theory has seen enormous progress with application to partial differential equations (PDEs). There are too many references to list all of them. Here we refer to two books [21] and [13] and two survey papers [12] and [22].

As an object to which the infinite dimensional KAM theory applies, one of typical models is nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)

$$\sqrt{-1}u_t - \triangle u + V(x,\xi)u + |u|^2 u + h.o.t. = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

subject to Dirichlet boundary condition or periodic boundary condition $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$, where the integer $d \geq 1$ is the spatial dimension of NLS.

Case 1. $d = 1$. In 1993, Kuksin [21] proved that (1.1) possesses many invariant tori around the origin $u = 0$ (thus quasi-periodic solutions of small initial values) for "most" parameter vector ξ when the potential V depends on ξ in some non-degenerate way. This kind of invariant tori obtained by KAM theory are usually called KAM tori. In 1996, Kuksin-Pöschel [23] further proved that the potential $V = V(x,\xi)$ can be replaced by a fixed constant potential $V \equiv C$. All those results are obtained by KAM theory which involves the so-called second Melnikov conditions. By advantage of them the linearized equation along the KAM tori can be reduced to a linear equation of constant coefficient, thus the obtained KAM tori (thus quasi-periodic solutions) are linearly stable.

Case 2. $d > 1$. This case is is significantly more complicated, since the second Melnikov conditions are violated seriously by multiplicity of the eigenvalues of $-\triangle$. In his series of papers [7]-[13], Bourgain developed a profound approach which was originally proposed by Craig-Wayne [15]. It was proved by Bourgain [10](1998) and [13](2005) that (1.1) has many KAM tori for most ξ when $V(x,\xi)$ is replaced by Fourier multiplier M_ξ. This approach which is today called C-W-B method

*Supported by the NNSFC 11101059, NNSFC 11271076 and NNSFC 11121101 .

does not involve the second Melnikov conditions. So is successfully overcome the difficulty that the second Melnikov conditions are seriously violated. Just because of lack of the second Melnikov conditions, the linear stability of the obtained KAM tori can not be derived incidentally. Recently Eliasson-Kuksin [18] developed the classic KAM theory which involves the second Melnikov conditions and proved that the obtained KAM are linearly stable.

We call a KAM tori to be linearly stable, if the equilibrium point of the linearized equation along the KAM tori is Lyapunov stable and this kind stability can preserve undergoing a linear perturbation. Usually, a perturbation of some equation or system is *nonlinear*. So the nonlinear stability in some sense should be more interesting. In 2007, Eliasson [17] proposed to study the nonlinear stability of the obtained KAM tori for (1.1).

In the present paper, we will prove that the obtained KAM tori of (1.1) with $d = 1$ are of long time stability (a kind of nonlinear stability). More exactly, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*

$$\sqrt{-1}u_t = u_{xx} - M_\xi u + \varepsilon|u|^2 u, \tag{1.2}$$

subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions $u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0$, where M_ξ is a real Fourier multiplier,

$$M_\xi \sin jx = \xi_j \sin jx, \qquad \xi = (\xi_j)_{j\geq 1}$$

and

$$\xi \in \Pi := \left\{ \tilde{\xi} = (\tilde{\xi}_j)_{j\geq 1} |\ \tilde{\xi}_j \in [1,2]/j,\ j \geq 1 \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ depending on n and p, there exists a subset $\tilde{\Pi} \subset \Pi$, such that for each $\xi \in \tilde{\Pi}$ equation (1.2) possesses an n-dimensional KAM torus $\mathscr{T}_\xi$ in Sobolev space $H_0^p([0,\pi])$. Moreover, the KAM torus $\mathscr{T}_\xi$ of equation (1.2) is stable in long time, that is, for arbitrarily given $\mathscr{M}$ with $0 \leq \mathscr{M} \leq C(\varepsilon)$ (where $C(\varepsilon)$ is a constant depending on ε and $C(\varepsilon) \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$) and any $p \geq 8(\mathscr{M}+7)^4 + 1$, there exists a small positive δ_0 depending on n, p and $\mathscr{M}$, such that for any $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and any solution $u(t,x)$ of equation (1.2) with the initial datum satisfying

$$d_{H_0^p[0,\pi]}(u(0,x), \mathscr{T}_\xi) := \inf_{w\in\mathscr{T}_\xi} ||u(0,x) - w||_{H_0^p[0,\pi]} \leq \delta,$$

then

$$d_{H_0^p[0,\pi]}(u(t,x), \mathscr{T}_\xi) := \inf_{w\in\mathscr{T}_\xi} ||u(t,x) - w||_{H_0^p[0,\pi]} \leq 2\delta, \qquad \text{for all } |t| \leq \delta^{-\mathscr{M}}.$$

Indeed, recently it has been proved by Colliander-Keel-Staffilani-Takaota-Tao [14] that there exists Arnold diffusion in NLS equation. Thus, one should not expect to extend the stability $|t| \leq \delta^{-\mathscr{M}}$ to $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ for all initial values.

There has been a lot of works on the long time stability of the equilibrium point $u = 0$ and some approximate invariant tori for partial differential equations. See [1]-[5], [8], [11], [16], for example. Especially, we incorporate some important ideas on tame property from Bambusi-Grébert's work [4]. See §3 for more remarks.

The rest of the present paper is organized as follows.
In §2, we give some basic notations and an abstract theorem on the long time stability.

In §3, we will give some discussions and ideas of the proof of the abstract results.
In §4, we will discuss some properties of p-tame property.
In §5, we will discuss p-tame property of the solution of homological equation.
In §6, we will construct a partial normal form of order $\mathscr{M}+2$ in the δ-neighborhood of the KAM tori and show that the KAM tori are stable in a long time (Theorem 2.10).
In §7, it is shown the existence and long time stability of KAM tori (i.e. quasi-periodic solutions) for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.2) according to the above theorems and corollaries (Theorem 1.1).
In §8, it will be given some technical lemmas. In §9, the details of proof of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 will be given, since it is a standard KAM proof, based on the results in Section 4 and Section 5.

Acknowledgements

In the Autumn of 2007, Professor H. Eliasson gave a series of lectures on KAM theory for Hamiltonian PDEs in Fudan University. In those lectures, he proposed to study the normal form in the neighborhood of the invariant tori and the nonlinear stability of the invariant tori. The authors are heartily grateful to Professor Eliasson.

2 Some notations and the abstract results

2.1 Some notations

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1, several abstract theorems will be given. To this end, we will introduce some notations firstly. Given positive integers n and p, by $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{C}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$ denote the usual n-dimensional torus and let ℓ_p^2 be the Hilbert space of all complex sequences $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots)$ with

$$||w||_p^2 = \sum_{j\geq 1} |w_j|^2 j^{2p} < \infty.$$

Introduce an infinite dimensional symplectic phase space

$$(x, y, q, \overline{q}) \in \mathscr{P}^p := \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \ell_p^2 \times \ell_p^2$$

with the usual symplectic structure

$$dy \wedge dx + \sqrt{-1} dq \wedge d\overline{q}.$$

Given a subset $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with positive measure in some sense (for example, in the sense of Gauss or Kolmogorov), here Π will be regarded a parameter set. Let $N(y, q, \overline{q}; \xi)$ be an integrable Hamiltonian which depends on parameter $\xi \in \Pi$ and is of the form

$$N(y, q, \overline{q}; \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j(\xi) y_j + \sum_{j\geq 1} \Omega_j(\xi) q_j \overline{q}_j,$$

where $\omega(\xi)=(\omega_1(\xi),\dots,\omega_n(\xi))$ is called tangent frequency and $\Omega(\xi)=(\Omega_1(\xi),\Omega_2(\xi),\dots)$ is called normal frequency. With the symplectic structure mentioned above, the motion equation of $N(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ is

$$\dot{x}=\omega(\xi),\quad \dot{y}=0,\quad \dot{q}_j=\sqrt{-1}\Omega_j(\xi)q_j,\quad \dot{\bar{q}}_j=-\sqrt{-1}\Omega_j(\xi)\bar{q}_j,\qquad j\geq 1. \tag{2.1}$$

It is clear that, for each $\xi\in\Pi$, $(x(t),y(t),q(t),\bar{q}(t))=(\omega(\xi)t,0,0,0)$ is a quasi-periodic solution to equation (2.1) with rotational frequency $\omega(\xi)$. Moreover, let $\hat{\mathbb{T}}^n=\mathbb{R}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$, and

$$\mathscr{T}_0=\hat{\mathbb{T}}^n\times\{y=0\}\times\{q=0\}\times\{\bar{q}=0\}.$$

Then $\mathscr{T}_0$ is an n-dimensional invariant torus with frequency $\omega(\xi)$ for equation (2.1).

Now consider a perturbation of the integrable Hamiltonian $N(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$:

$$H(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=N(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)+R(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),$$

where the perturbation $R(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ depends on the parameter $\xi\in\Pi$ and the variable $(x,y,q,\bar{q})\in\mathscr{P}^p$, and $R(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ is of small size in some sense (p-tame norm) which will be defined in the following steps.

Definition 2.1. *Let*

$$D(s)=\{x\in\mathbb{T}^n|\ ||Im\ x||\leq s\},$$

where $||\cdot||$ denotes the sup-norm for complex vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ or $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Consider a function $W(x;\xi):D(s)\times\Pi\to\mathbb{C}$ is analytic about the variable $x\in D(s)$ and C^1-smooth in the Whitney's sense[1] *about the parameter $\xi\in\Pi$ with the Fourier series*

$$W(x;\xi)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle},$$

where

$$\widehat{W}(k;\xi):=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\hat{\mathbb{T}}^n}W(x;\xi)e^{-\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle}dx$$

is the k-th Fourier coefficient of the function $W(x;\xi)$, and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the usual inner product, i.e.

$$\langle k,x\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n}k_jx_j.$$

Then define the norm

$$||W||_{D(s)\times\Pi}=\sup_{\xi\in\Pi,j\geq 1}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left(|\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|\right)e^{|k|s}. \tag{2.2}$$

Definition 2.2. *Let*

$$D(s,r)=\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{T}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n|\ ||Im\ x||\leq s,\ ||y||\leq r^2\}.$$

[1] In the whole of this paper, the derivatives with respect to the parameter $\xi\in\Pi$ are understood in the sense of Whitney.

Consider a function $W(x,y;\xi) : D(s,r)\times\Pi\to\mathbb{C}$ is analytic about the variable $(x,y)\in D(s,r)$ and C^1-smooth about the parameter $\xi\in\Pi$ with the following form

$$W(x,y;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} W^\alpha(x;\xi)y^\alpha.$$

Define the norm

$$||W||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}||W^\alpha(x;\xi)||_{D(s)\times\Pi}\, r^{2|\alpha|}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $|\alpha|=\sum_{j=1}^n|\alpha_j|$. In this paper, always by $|\cdot|$ denotes 1-norm for complex vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ or $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 2.3. *Introduce the complex $\mathscr{T}_0$-neighborhoods*

$$D(s,r_1,r_2)=\left\{(x,y,q,\overline{q})\in\mathscr{P}^p \mid ||Im\, x||\le s, ||y||\le r_1^2, ||q||_p+||\overline{q}||_p\le r_2\right\}.$$

Let $r_1=r_2=r$. Consider a function $W(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi) : D(s,r,r)\times\Pi\to\mathbb{C}$ is analytic about the variable $(x,y,q,\overline{q})\in D(s,r,r)$ and C^1-smooth about the parameter $\xi\in\Pi$ with the following form

$$W(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} W^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta\overline{q}^\gamma.$$

Define the modulus $\lfloor W\rceil_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(q,\overline{q})$ of $W(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)$ by

$$\lfloor W\rceil_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(q,\overline{q}):=\sum_{\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}||W^{\beta\gamma}(x,y;\xi)||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}\, q^\beta\overline{q}^\gamma, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$W^{\beta\gamma}(x,y;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} W^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha.$$

Denote $z=(q,\overline{q})$, i.e.

$$z=(z_j)_{j\in\bar{\mathbb{Z}}}\in\ell_p^2\times\ell_p^2,\qquad \bar{\mathbb{Z}}=\mathbb{Z}-\{0\},$$

where

$$z_{-j}=q_j,\qquad z_j=\overline{q}_j,\qquad\qquad j\ge 1.$$

[2]Here we consider q and $\overline{q}$ as two independent variables and define $||z||_p=||q||_p+||\overline{q}||_p$. For a homogeneous polynomial $W(z)$ of degree $h>0$, it is naturally associated with a symmetric h-linear form $\widetilde{W}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h)})$ such that $\widetilde{W}(z,\dots,z)=W(z)$. More precisely, given a monomial

$$W(z)=W^\beta z^\beta=W^\beta z_{j_1}\cdots z_{j_h},$$

where $\beta=(\dots,\beta_{-2},\beta_{-1},\beta_1,\beta_2,\dots)\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}}$ and $|\beta|=\sum_{|j|\ge1}\beta_j=h$, the symmetric h-linear form $\widetilde{W}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h)})$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{W}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h)})=\widetilde{W^\beta z^\beta}=\frac{1}{h!}\sum_{\tau_h}W^\beta z_{j_1}^{(\tau_h(1))}\cdots z_{j_h}^{(\tau_h(h))}, \tag{2.5}$$

[2]In the whole of this paper, we will use the notation $z=(q,\overline{q})$.

where τ_h is an h-permutation. Now assume $W(z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree h and of the form $W(z)=\sum_{|\beta|=h}W^\beta z^\beta$, then define $\widetilde{W}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h)})$ by

$$\widetilde{W}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h)})=\sum_{|\beta|=h}\widetilde{W^\beta z^\beta}. \tag{2.6}$$

Basing on the above notations, we will define p-tame norm of a Hamiltonian vector field. Firstly, consider a Hamiltonian

$$W_h(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=h}W_h^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)y^\alpha z^\beta, \tag{2.7}$$

where the modulus of $W_h(x,y,z;\xi)$ is a homogeneous polynomial about z of degree h and $W_h(x,y,z;\xi)$ itself is analytic about the variable $(x,y,z)\in D(s,r,r)$ and C^1-smooth about the parameter $\xi\in\Pi$. To simplify the notation, we rewrite $W_h(x,y,z;\xi)$ as $W(x,y,z;\xi)$. By a little abuse of notation, let $W_z=(\sqrt{-1}W_{\bar{q}},-\sqrt{-1}W_q)$ here and later. Notice the Hamiltonian vector field X_W of $W(x,y,z;\xi)$ is $(W_y,-W_x,W_z)$. Denote

$$||(z^h)||_{p,1}:=\frac{1}{h}\sum_{j=1}^{h}||z^{(1)}||_1\cdots||z^{(j-1)}||_1||z^{(j)}||_p||z^{(j+1)}||_1\cdots||z^{(h)}||_1. \tag{2.8}$$

Definition 2.4. *In the normal direction of the Hamiltonian vector field X_W, define the p-tame operator norm of W_z by,*

$$|||W_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)\times\Pi}:=\sup_{0\neq z^{(j)}\in\ell^2_p\times\ell^2_p,1\le j\le h-1}\frac{||\widetilde{[W_z]}_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h-1)})||_p}{||(z^{h-1})||_{p,1}}, \tag{2.9}$$

and define the p-tame norm of W_z by

$$|||W_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}=\max\left\{|||W_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)\times\Pi},|||W_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)\times\Pi}\right\}r^{h-1}. \tag{2.10}$$

Definition 2.5. *In the tangent direction of the Hamiltonian vector field X_W, define the operator norm of W_u ($u=x$ or y) by,*

$$|||W_u|||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}:=\sup_{0\neq z^{(j)}\in\ell^2_1\times\ell^2_1,1\le j\le h}\frac{||\widetilde{[W_u]}_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h)})||}{||(z^h)||_{1,1}}, \tag{2.11}$$

and define the norm of W_u ($u=x$ or y) by

$$|||W_u|||_{D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}:=|||W_u|||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}r^h. \tag{2.12}$$

Remark 1. *Note that the dimensional of the tangent direction is finite, so there is no so-called p-tame property. But $||W_u||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}$ is required as a bounded map from $\ell^2_1\times\ell^2_1$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$ to guarantee the persistence of p-tame property under Poisson bracket.*

Definition 2.6. *Define the p-tame norm of the Hamiltonian vector field X_W as follows,*

$$|||X_W|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}=|||W_y|||_{D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}+\frac{1}{r^2}|||W_x|||_{D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}+\frac{1}{r}|||W_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}.$$

Definition 2.7. *Consider a Hamiltonian $W(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{h\geq 0}W_h(x,y,z;\xi)$ is analytic about the variable $(x,y,z)\in D(s,r,r)$ and C^1-smooth about the parameter $\xi\in\Pi$, where*

$$W_h(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=h} W_h^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)y^\alpha z^\beta.$$

Then define p-tame norm of the Hamiltonian vector field X_W by

$$|||X_W|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}:=\sum_{h\geq 0}|||X_{W_h}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}. \tag{2.13}$$

We say that a Hamiltonian vector field X_W (or a Hamiltonian $W(x,y,z;\xi)$) has p-tame property on the domain $D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$, if and only if $|||X_W|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}<\infty$.

2.2 The abstract results

Now we have the following theorems:

Theorem 2.8. *(Normal form of order 2) Consider a perturbation of the integrable Hamiltonian*

$$H(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=N(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)+R(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) \tag{2.14}$$

defined on the domain $D(s_0,r_0,r_0)\times\Pi$ with $s_0,r_0\in(0,1]$, where

$$N(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{j=1}^n\omega_j(\xi)y_j+\sum_{j\geq 1}\Omega_j(\xi)q_j\bar{q}_j$$

is a family of parameter dependent integrable Hamiltonian and

$$R(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta\bar{q}^\gamma$$

is the perturbation. Suppose the tangent frequency and normal frequency satisfy the following assumptions:

Assumption A : Frequency Asymptotics. There exists absolute constants $c_1,c_2>0$ such that

$$|\Omega_i(\xi)-\Omega_j(\xi)|\geq c_1|i-j|(i+j), \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$|\Omega_j(\xi)|\leq c_2 j^2, \tag{2.16}$$

for all integers $i,j\geq 1$ uniformly on $\xi\in\Pi$;

Assumption B : Twist conditions.

$$\partial_{\xi_j}\omega_i(\xi)=\delta_{ji},\quad \partial_{\xi_j}\Omega_{j'}(\xi)=\delta_{j(n+j')},\qquad 1\leq i\leq n,\ j,j'\geq 1. \tag{2.17}$$

The perturbation $R(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ has p-tame property on the domain $D(s_0,r_0,r_0)\times\Pi$ and satisfies the small assumption:

$$\varepsilon:=|||X_R|||^T_{p,D(s_0,r_0,r_0)\times\Pi}\leq\eta^{12}\varepsilon,\qquad \text{for some } \eta\in(0,1),$$

where ε is a positive constant depending on s_0, r_0 and n. Then there exists a subset $\Pi_\eta \subset \Pi$ with the estimate

$$Meas\ \Pi_\eta \geq (Meas\ \Pi)(1-O(\eta)).$$

For each $\xi \in \Pi_\eta$, there is a symplectic map

$$\Psi : D(s_0/2, r_0/2, r_0/2) \to D(s_0, r_0, r_0),$$

such that

$$\check{H}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) := H \circ \Psi = \check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + \check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \check{\omega}_j(\xi) y_j + \sum_{j\geq 1} \check{\Omega}_j(\xi) q_j \bar{q}_j \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n, \beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, 2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\geq 3} \check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi) y^\alpha q^\beta \bar{q}^\gamma. \tag{2.20}$$

Moreover, the following estimates hold:
(1) for each $\xi \in \Pi_\eta$, the symplectic map $\Psi : D(s_0/2, r_0/2, r_0/2) \to D(s_0, r_0, r_0)$ satisfies

$$||\Psi - id||_{p, D(s_0/2, r_0/2, r_0/2)} \leq c\eta^6 \varepsilon, \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$||\Psi - id||_{p, D(s_0/2, r_0/2, r_0/2)} = \sup_{w \in D(s_0/2, r_0/2, r_0/2)} ||(\Psi - id)w||_{\mathscr{P}^p, D(s_0, r_0, r_0)}, \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$||\tilde{w}||_{\mathscr{P}^p, D(s_0, r_0, r_0)} = ||\tilde{w}_x|| + \frac{1}{r_0^2}||\tilde{w}_y|| + \frac{1}{r_0}||\tilde{w}_q||_p + \frac{1}{r_0}||\tilde{w}_{\bar{q}}||_p \tag{2.23}$$

for each $\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}_x, \tilde{w}_y, \tilde{w}_q, \tilde{w}_{\bar{q}}) \in D(s_0, r_0, r_0)$; moreover,

$$|||D\Psi - Id|||_{p, D(s_0/2, r_0/2, r_0/2)} \leq c\eta^6 \varepsilon, \tag{2.24}$$

where on the left-hand side hand we use the operator norm[3]

$$|||D\Psi - Id|||_{p, D(s_0/2, r_0/2, r_0/2)} = \sup_{0 \neq w \in D(s_0/2, r_0/2, r_0/2)} \frac{||(D\Psi - Id)w||_{\mathscr{P}^p, D(s_0, r_0, r_0)}}{||w||_{\mathscr{P}^p, D(s_0/2, r_0/2, r_0/2)}};$$

(2) the frequencies $\check{\omega}(\xi)$ and $\check{\Omega}(\xi)$ satisfy

$$||\check{\omega}(\xi) - \omega(\xi)|| + \sup_{j\geq 1} ||\partial_{\xi_j}(\check{\omega}(\xi) - \omega(\xi))|| \leq c\eta^8 \varepsilon, \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$||\check{\Omega}(\xi) - \Omega(\xi)|| + \sup_{j\geq 1} ||\partial_{\xi_j}(\check{\Omega}(\xi) - \Omega(\xi))|| \leq c\eta^8 \varepsilon; \tag{2.26}$$

(3) the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\check{R}}$ of the new perturbed Hamiltonian $\check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ satisfies

$$|||X_{\check{R}}|||^T_{p, D(s_0/2, r_0/2, r_0/2)\times\Pi_\eta} \leq \varepsilon(1 + c\eta^6 \varepsilon), \tag{2.27}$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant depending on s_0, r_0 and n.

[3] where *id* denotes the identity map from $\mathscr{P}^p \to \mathscr{P}^p$ and *Id* denotes its tangent map.

Corollary 2.9. *(The existence and the stability of KAM tori) Consider the Hamiltonian*

$$\check{H}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)+\check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$$

obtained in Theorem 2.8. For each $\xi\in\Pi_\eta$, there is an analytic embedding invariant torus $\mathscr{T}_0=\hat{\mathbb{T}}^n\times\{y=0\}\times\{q=0\}\times\{\bar{q}=0\}$ with frequency $\check{\omega}(\xi)$ for the Hamiltonian $\check{H}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$, and $\mathscr{T}:=\Psi^{-1}\mathscr{T}_0$ is an analytic embedding invariant torus (i.e. so-called KAM torus) for the original Hamiltonian $H(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$.

Moreover, given any small positive $\delta<r_0/10$, if $w(t)$ is a solution of Hamiltonian vector field X_H with the initial datum $w(0)=(w_x(0),w_y(0),w_q(0),w_{\bar{q}}(0))$ satisfying

$$d_p(w(0),\mathscr{T})\le\delta,$$

then

$$d_p(w(t),\mathscr{T})\le 2\delta,\qquad \text{for all } |t|\le\delta^{-1},$$

where the distance $d_p(w,v)$ between any two points

$$w=(w_x,w_y,w_q,w_{\bar{q}}),v=(v_x,v_y,v_q,v_{\bar{q}})\in D(s_0/4,4\delta,4\delta)$$

is defined by

$$d_p(w,v)=4\delta||w-v||_{\mathscr{P}^p,D(s_0/4,4\delta,4\delta)} \tag{2.28}$$

and

$$d_p(w,\mathscr{T}):=\inf_{v\in\mathscr{T}}d_p(w,v). \tag{2.29}$$

Theorem 2.10. *(The long time stability of KAM tori) Given any $0\le\mathscr{M}\le(2c\eta^8\varepsilon)^{-1}$ (the same c,η and ε as stated in Theorem 2.8), there exist a small positive δ_0 depending on s_0,r_0,n and $\mathscr{M}$, and a subset $\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}\subset\Pi_\eta$ satisfying*

$$Meas\,\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}\ge(Meas\,\Pi_\eta)(1-O(\tilde{\eta})), \tag{2.30}$$

where $\tilde{\eta}$ is some constant in $(0,1)$. For any $p\ge 8(\mathscr{M}+7)^4+1$, $0<\delta<\delta_0$ and for each $\xi\in\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}$, the KAM tori $\mathscr{T}$ is stable in long time, i.e. if $w(t)$ is a solution of Hamiltonian vector field X_H with the initial datum $w(0)=(w_x(0),w_y(0),w_q(0),w_{\bar{q}}(0))$ satisfying

$$d_p(w(0),\mathscr{T})\le\delta,$$

then

$$d_p(w(t),\mathscr{T})\le 2\delta,\qquad \text{for all } |t|\le\delta^{-\mathscr{M}}.$$

Remark 2. *Theorem 2.8 is essentially due to Kuksin [20, 21]. However, in [20, 21], the symplectic map*

$$\Psi:D(s_0/2,0,0)\to D(s_0,r_0,r_0),$$

so the normal form $H\circ\Psi$ is degenerate. One can extend the definition domain $D(s_0/2,0,0)$ of Ψ to $D(s_0/2,r_0/2,r_0/2)$ (even to the whole space) in view of a remak by Pöschel in [24, 25] and an observation that Ψ is linear in y and quadratic in $(q,\bar{q})$. In many recent KAM theorems by, for example, Eliasson-Kuksin[18], Grébert-Thomann[19] and Berti-Biasco [6], the extension is done in

this line. In particular, the detail is given out in [19]. Unfortunately, up to now we do not know how to fulfill the tame property of the perturbed vector filed $X_{\check{R}}$ in the extended domain in this line. The tame property of $X_{\check{R}}$ is key ingredient in our present paper. On the other hand, in the earlier work by Wayne[26] there is another KAM iteration procedure which is a bit different from Kuksin's[20, 21]. In wayne's procedure, the definition domain of Ψ is just $D(s_0/2, r_0/2, r_0/2)$, not necessary to extend it to a larger domain. In the present paper, we adopt Wayne's iteration procedure directly so that the tame property of $X_{\check{R}}$ can be verified explicitly. The proof of Theorem 2.8 is well known. The aim of providing the proof in §5 is to verify the tame property of $X_{\check{R}}$. If the reader acknowledge the fact of the tame property of $X_{\check{R}}$, the section §5 can be skipped.

Remark 3. *Since the parameter set $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is of infinite dimension, the measure in the above theorems should be in the sense of Kolmogorov. Actually it is enough to assume the parameter set is of finite dimension. Write*

$$\xi = (\xi^n, \xi^{\mathscr{N}}, \xi^{\mathbb{N}}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathscr{N}} \times \ell^2$$

with a large $\mathscr{N}$ will be given in Theorem 2.10. In the proof of constructing normal form of order 2 in Theorem 2.8, it is enough to regard ξ^n as parameters. In order to get partial normal form of order $\mathscr{M}+2$ around the KAM torus, it suffices to take the $(\xi^n, \xi^{\mathscr{N}})$ as parameters in Theorems 2.10 and 1.1. Therefore, the measure can be understood as Lebesgue measure in this paper.

Remark 4. *Instead of equation (1.2), we also can prove the existence and long time stability of KAM tori for general nonlinear Schrödinger equations, such as*

$$\mathbf{i}u_t = u_{xx} - V(x)u - \frac{\partial g(x,u,\bar{u})}{\partial \bar{u}}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T},\ t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.31}$$

where V is a smooth and 2π periodic potential, and $g(x,u_1,u_2)$ is a smooth function on the domain $\mathbb{T}\times\mathscr{U}$, $\mathscr{U}$ being a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}$, g has a zero of order three at $(u_1,u_2)=(0,0)$ and that $g(x,u,\bar{u}) \in \mathbb{R}$. Equations (2.31) were discussed in [4] and shown that the origin is stable in long time by the infinite dimensional Birkhoff normal form theorem.

3 Some discussions and ideas of the proof

We begin by discussing some basic observations in Bambusi-Grébert [4]. Consider an infinite dimensional Hamiltonian system

$$H(q,\bar{q}) = H_0(q,\bar{q}) + P(q,\bar{q}), \qquad q,\bar{q} \in \ell^2_p, \tag{3.1}$$

with symplectic structure $\sqrt{-1}dq \wedge d\bar{q}$, where

$$H_0(q,\bar{q}) = \sum_{j\geq 1} \Omega_j q_j \bar{q}_j$$

is the quadratic part and

$$P(q,\bar{q}) = \sum_{\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},|\beta|+|\gamma|\geq 3} P^{\beta\gamma} q^\beta \bar{q}^\gamma$$

is a smooth function having a zero of order at least three at the origin. Note that the Hamiltonian (3.1) is a normal form of order 2 around the origin. As a dynamical consequence, a solution starting

in the δ-neighborhood of the origin stays in the δ-neighborhood along the time $|t| \leq \delta^{-1}$. To show the origin is stable in a longer time such as $|t| \leq \delta^{-\mathscr{M}}$ for any $\mathscr{M} \geq 0$, a natural way is to construct a normal form of order $\mathscr{M}+1$ around the origin. To this end, split $P(q,\bar{q})$ into two parts, which is

$$P(q,\bar{q}) = P_1(q,\bar{q}) + P_2(q,\bar{q}),$$

where

$$P_1(q,\bar{q}) = \sum_{\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},|\beta|+|\gamma|\leq\mathscr{M}+1} P^{\beta\gamma} q^{\beta} \bar{q}^{\gamma}$$

is the part of low order, and

$$P_2(q,\bar{q}) = \sum_{\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},|\beta|+|\gamma|\geq\mathscr{M}+2} P^{\beta\gamma} q^{\beta} \bar{q}^{\gamma}$$

is the part of high order. In order to remove all non-normalized terms

$$\sum_{\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},|\beta|+|\gamma|\leq\mathscr{M}+1,|\beta-\gamma|\neq 0} P^{\beta\gamma} q^{\beta} \bar{q}^{\gamma}$$

in $P_1(q,\bar{q})$, the following non-resonant conditions:

$$|\langle \beta-\gamma, \Omega\rangle| \geq C(\mathscr{M}) \tag{3.2}$$

are needed, where $\beta,\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$|\beta|+|\gamma| \leq \mathscr{M}+1, \qquad |\beta-\gamma| \neq 0,$$

$\Omega = (\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots)$ and $C(\mathscr{M}) > 0$ is a constant depending on $\mathscr{M}$. However, the conditions (3.2) are hardly to hold for infinite dimensional Hamiltonian systems, since there are too many inequalities in (3.2). A key idea in [4] is that a large part of the nonlinearity is 'not relevant' according to tame property and all the remaining nonlinearity can be eliminated using a suitable non-resonant condition. More precisely, spilt the variable $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots)$ into two parts with a given large $\mathscr{N}$, i.e. let $q = (\tilde{q}, \hat{q})$, where $\tilde{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_{\mathscr{N}})$ is called the low frequency variable and $\hat{q} = (q_{\mathscr{N}+1}, q_{\mathscr{N}+2}, \dots)$ is called the high frequency variable. Rewrite $P_1(q,\bar{q})$ as

$$P_1(q,\bar{q}) = P_{11}(q,\bar{q}) + P_{12}(q,\bar{q}),$$

where

$$P_{11}(q,\bar{q}) = \sum_{\tilde{\beta},\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathscr{N}},\hat{\beta},\hat{\gamma}\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} P^{\beta\gamma} \tilde{q}^{\tilde{\beta}} \hat{q}^{\hat{\beta}} \bar{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{\gamma}} \bar{\hat{q}}^{\hat{\gamma}}, \qquad |\tilde{\beta}|+|\hat{\beta}|+|\tilde{\gamma}|+|\hat{\gamma}| \leq \mathscr{M}+1, \quad |\hat{\beta}|+|\hat{\gamma}| \leq 2,$$

and

$$P_{12}(q,\bar{q}) = \sum_{\tilde{\beta},\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathscr{N}},\hat{\beta},\hat{\gamma}\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} P^{\beta\gamma} \tilde{q}^{\tilde{\beta}} \hat{q}^{\hat{\beta}} \bar{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{\gamma}} \bar{\hat{q}}^{\hat{\gamma}}, \qquad |\tilde{\beta}|+|\hat{\beta}|+|\tilde{\gamma}|+|\hat{\gamma}| \leq \mathscr{M}+1, \quad |\hat{\beta}|+|\hat{\gamma}| \geq 3,$$

with $\beta = (\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta})$, $\gamma = (\tilde{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma})$, $\tilde{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\mathscr{N}})$, $\hat{\beta} = (\beta_{\mathscr{N}+1}, \beta_{\mathscr{N}+2}, \dots)$, $\tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\mathscr{N}})$ and $\hat{\gamma} = (\gamma_{\mathscr{N}+1}, \gamma_{\mathscr{N}+2}, \dots)$. As stated in [4], $P_{12}(q,\bar{q})$ is the 'non-relevant' part. Moreover, the non-normalized terms in $P_{11}(q,\bar{q})$ can be removed by the non-resonant conditions

$$|\langle \tilde{\beta}-\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\Omega}\rangle + \langle \hat{\beta}-\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\Omega}\rangle| \geq C(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N}) \tag{3.3}$$

with

$$|\tilde{\beta}|+|\hat{\beta}|+|\tilde{\gamma}|+|\hat{\gamma}|\leq \mathscr{M}+1, |\hat{\beta}|+|\hat{\gamma}|\leq 2, |\tilde{\beta}-\tilde{\gamma}|+|\hat{\beta}-\hat{\gamma}|\neq 0,$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}=(\Omega_1,\dots,\Omega_{\mathscr{N}})$, $\hat{\Omega}=(\Omega_{\mathscr{N}+1},\Omega_{\mathscr{N}+2},\dots)$ and $C(\mathscr{M},\mathscr{N})$ is a positive constant depending on $\mathscr{M}$ and $\mathscr{N}$. Note that there are less inequalities in condition (3.3) than in conditions (3.2), because of $|\hat{\beta}|+|\hat{\gamma}|\leq 2$. More importantly, the non-resonant conditions (3.3) are satisfied for many infinite dimensional Hamiltonian systems. As a result in [4], it is shown that if the frequency $\Omega=(\tilde{\Omega},\hat{\Omega})$ satisfies the non-resonant conditions (3.3), then there exists a symplectic transform Φ such that

$$H\circ\Phi = H_0+Z+Q_1+Q_2, \tag{3.4}$$

where Z depends on the actions $I_j=|q_j|^2$, $Q_1=O(||q||_p^{\mathscr{M}+2})$ and $Q_2=O(||\hat{q}||_p^3)$ for any $\mathscr{M}\geq 0$. Based on the partial normal form (3.4) and tame property, the following dynamical result in [4] is obtained: any solution with data in the δ-neighborhood of origin still stays in the δ-neighborhood of origin for time $|t|\leq\delta^{-\mathscr{M}+1}$. Furthermore, the method in [4] can be used to construct almost-global existence solutions for many PDEs. For example, see Bambusi-Delort-Grébert-Szeftel [3].

In the present paper, we will prove long time stability of KAM tori for infinite dimensional Hamiltonian systems. Note that the standard KAM techniques constructs a non-degenerate normal form of order 2 (see Corollary 2.9). As a consequence, it is easy to show the existence, linear stability and time δ^{-1} stability of KAM tori. In order to obtain a longer time stability such as $\delta^{-\mathscr{M}}$ for any $\mathscr{M}\geq 0$, it is natural to construct a normal form of order $\mathscr{M}+1$ around the KAM tori. To this end, we split $\check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ (see (2.20)) into two parts, which is

$$\check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\check{R}_1(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)+\check{R}_2(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),$$

where

$$\check{R}_1(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},\\ 2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\leq\mathscr{M}+1}}\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta\bar{q}^\gamma$$

is the part of low order, and

$$\check{R}_2(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},\\ 2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\geq\mathscr{M}+2}}\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta\bar{q}^\gamma$$

is the part of high order. Expand $\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)$ into Fourier series

$$\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\widehat{\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}}(k;\xi)e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle},$$

where $\widehat{\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}}(k;\xi)$ is the k-th Fourier coefficient of $\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)$. Then we must remove all non-normalized terms in $\check{R}_1(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$, which are

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},\\ 2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\leq\mathscr{M}+1,|k|+|\beta-\gamma|\neq 0}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\widehat{\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}}(k;\xi)e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle}y^\alpha q^\beta\bar{q}^\gamma,$$

where the following non-resonant conditions are needed

$$|\langle k,\omega\rangle+\langle\beta-\gamma,\Omega\rangle|\geq C(k,\mathscr{M}) \tag{3.5}$$

for any $k\in\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$|\beta|+|\gamma|\le\mathscr{M}+1,\qquad |k|+|\beta-\gamma|\neq 0,$$

where $C(k,\mathscr{M})$ is a positive constant depending on k and $\mathscr{M}$. Note that there are more inequalities in conditions (3.5) than in conditions (3.2). Therefore, the non-resonant conditions (3.5) are more hardly to hold.

Following the idea in [4], we would like to construct a partial normal form around the KAM tori instead of a normal form. But we have to face the following problems: (1) are the 'weakened' non-resonant conditions satisfied when constructing a partial normal form of high order in the neighborhood of the KAM tori? (2) how should we define tame property in the case that the tangent direction exists ($n>0$)? (3) does the tame property preserve under the KAM iteration (infinite number of symplectic transformations) and normal form iteration (finite number of symplectic transformations)? Since the number of the transformations is infinite and the transformations involve the action-angle variable in the KAM iteration, the problem (3) is very hard to solve.

We will solve the above problems as follows. Firstly, following [4] we split the normal variable q into two parts with a given large $\mathscr{N}$, i.e. let $q=(\tilde{q},\hat{q})$, where $\tilde{q}=(q_1,\dots,q_{\mathscr{N}})$ is the low frequency normal variable and $\hat{q}=(q_{\mathscr{N}+1},q_{\mathscr{N}+2},\dots)$ is the high frequency normal variable. Rewrite $\check{R}_1(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)$ as

$$\check{R}_1(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)=\check{R}_{11}(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)+\check{R}_{12}(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi),$$

where

$$\check{R}_{11}(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)=\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\tilde{\beta},\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathscr{N}},\hat{\beta},\hat{\gamma}\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},\\ 2|\alpha|+|\tilde{\beta}|+|\hat{\beta}|+|\tilde{\gamma}|+|\hat{\gamma}|\le\mathscr{M}+1,|\hat{\beta}|+|\hat{\gamma}|\le 2}}\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^{\alpha}\tilde{q}^{\tilde{\beta}}\hat{q}^{\hat{\beta}}\overline{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{\gamma}}\overline{\hat{q}}^{\hat{\gamma}}$$

and

$$\check{R}_{12}(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)=\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\tilde{\beta},\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathscr{N}},\hat{\beta},\hat{\gamma}\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},\\ 2|\alpha|+|\tilde{\beta}|+|\hat{\beta}|+|\tilde{\gamma}|+|\hat{\gamma}|\le\mathscr{M}+1,|\hat{\beta}|+|\hat{\gamma}|\ge 3}}\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^{\alpha}\tilde{q}^{\tilde{\beta}}\hat{q}^{\hat{\beta}}\overline{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{\gamma}}\overline{\hat{q}}^{\hat{\gamma}}.$$

Then we can obtain a partial normal form of order $\mathscr{M}+1$ by removing the non-normalized terms in $\check{R}_{11}(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)$ which are

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\tilde{\beta},\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathscr{N}},\hat{\beta},\hat{\gamma}\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},\\ 2|\alpha|+|\tilde{\beta}|+|\hat{\beta}|+|\tilde{\gamma}|+|\hat{\gamma}|\le\mathscr{M}+1,|\hat{\beta}|+|\hat{\gamma}|\le 2}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\widehat{\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}}(k;\xi)e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle}y^{\alpha}\tilde{q}^{\tilde{\beta}}\hat{q}^{\hat{\beta}}\overline{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{\gamma}}\overline{\hat{q}}^{\hat{\gamma}}$$

with

$$|k|+|\tilde{\beta}-\tilde{\gamma}|+|\hat{\beta}-\hat{\gamma}|\neq 0$$

under the following non-resonant conditions

$$|\langle k,\omega\rangle+\langle\tilde{\beta}-\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\Omega}\rangle+\langle\hat{\beta}-\hat{\gamma},\hat{\Omega}\rangle|\ge\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{C(\mathscr{M},\mathscr{N})(|k|+1)^{\tau}},\tag{3.6}$$

where $k\in\mathbb{Z}^n$ and

$$|\tilde{\beta}|+|\tilde{\gamma}|+|\hat{\beta}|+|\hat{\gamma}|\le\mathscr{M}+1,|\hat{\beta}|+|\hat{\gamma}|\le 2,|k|+|\tilde{\beta}-\tilde{\gamma}|+|\hat{\gamma}-\hat{\gamma}|\neq 0,$$

and $C(\mathscr{M},\mathscr{N})>0$ is a constant depending on $\mathscr{M}$ and $\mathscr{N}$ will be given in (6.1). Note the conditions (3.6) are reduced to the non-resonant conditions (3.2) when $n=0$, and they are similar to the standard non-resonant conditions

$$|\langle k,\omega\rangle+\langle l,\Omega\rangle|\geq\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{(|k|+1)^{\tau}},\qquad k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,l\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}},|l|\leq 2,|k|+|l|\neq 0$$

in KAM technique while without splitting the normal variable q. In Section 6.2, we show the non-resonant conditions (3.6) are satisfied by removing the parameters of a small measure.

Secondly, note an important fact that when the dimensional of the tori is 0 ($n=0$), the tori can be considered as a point, which is just the case discussed in [4]. We define p-tame norm (p-tame property) of Hamiltonian vector field X_W by the following steps:

Step 1, Write $W(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{\beta}W^{\beta}(x,y;\xi)z^{\beta}$ and take the norm $||\cdot||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}$ of $W^{\beta}(x,y;\xi)$:

$$\lfloor W\rceil_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(z):=\sum_{\beta}||W^{\beta}(x,y;\xi)||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}z^{\beta}.$$

(See (2.4) in Definition 2.3 for the detail).

Step 2, note that the modulus $\lfloor W\rceil_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(z)$ depends on normal variables $z=(q,\bar{q})$ only (independent of tangent variable (x,y) and parameter ξ). Then we follow the method in [4] to define the p-tame norm of W_z (the normal direction of Hamiltonian vector field X_W). More precisely, first consider a Hamiltonian $W(x,y,z;\xi)$, the modulus of which is a homogeneous polynomial about z of degree h (see (2.7)). Then define p-tame operator norm

$$|||\cdot|||^{T}_{p,D(s,r)\times\Pi}$$

of W_z (see (2.9) in Definition 2.4).

Step 3, for a general Hamiltonian

$$W(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{h\geq 0}W_h(x,y,z;xi),$$

where $\lfloor W_h\rceil_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial about z of degree h. It is natural to define p-tame norm

$$|||W_z|||^{T}_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}=\sum_{h\geq 1}|||(W_h)_z|||^{T}_{p,D(s,r)\times\Pi}r^{h-1}. \tag{3.7}$$

However, p-tame norm defined by (3.7) is not enough to show the persistence of p-tame norm under Poisson bracket. To this end, $\lfloor W_h\rceil_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(z)$ is required as a bounded map from $\ell^2_1\times\ell^2_1$ into $\ell^2_1\times\ell^2_1$, which is also used in [4]. Then we can define the p-tame norm of $(W_h)_z$ by

$$|||(W_h)_z|||^{T}_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}=\max\left\{|||(W_h)_z|||^{T}_{p,D(s,r)\times\Pi},|||(W_h)_z|||^{T}_{1,D(s,r)\times\Pi}\right\}r^{h-1}$$

(see (2.10) in Definition 2.4).

Step 4, we deal with the tangent direction of Hamiltonian vector field X_W. Note that W_x and W_y are finite dimensional, so there is no so-called p-tame property. But to guarantee the persistence of p-tame property under Poisson bracket, define the operator norm

$$|||\cdot|||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}$$

and the norm

$$|||\cdot|||_{D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}$$

of W_x and W_y by (2.11) and (2.12) in Definition 2.5 respectively, where $||W_x||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}$ and $||W_y||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}$ are required as bounded maps from $\ell_1^2\times\ell_1^2$ into $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Step 5, give the definition of p-tame norm (p-tame property) of Hamiltonian vector field X_W for a general Hamiltonian $W(x,y,z;\xi)$ in Definition 2.7.

Thirdly, we should prove p-tame property survives under KAM iteration and normal form iteration, which is the key part in this paper (see details in Section 4). The essential difference between this paper and [4] is that before constructing a partial normal form of $\mathscr{M}+1$, we need to use infinite symplectic transformations (KAM iteration) to obtain a normal form of order 2 (see Theorem 2.8), while in [4] a normal form of order 2 is already there (see (3.1)). Thus, we should prove p-tame property is preserved under infinite symplectic transformations. To this end, we need estimate the p-tame norm of Poisson bracket of two Hamiltonian (Theorem 4.1), the composition of a Hamiltonian with a Hamiltonian flow (Theorem 4.2) and the solution of homological equation (Theorem 4.3).

Also, we have to face frequency shift in KAM iteration and more complicated small divisors than in [4] because of the existence of tangent direction of Hamiltonian vector field. Here, we point out that we can only obtain time $|t|\le\delta^{-\mathscr{M}+1}$ stability of the KAM tori for $\mathscr{M}\le(2c\eta^8\varepsilon)^{-1}$, comparing to any $\mathscr{M}\ge 0$ in [4] because of the problem of the frequency shift.

Finally, basing on the partial normal form of high order and p-tame property, we get the dynamical consequence that solutions starting in the δ-neighborhood of the KAM torus still remain in the δ-neighborhood of the KAM torus for time $|t|\le\delta^{-\mathscr{M}+1}$, i.e. most of KAM tori are long time stable.

At the end of this section, we will give some simple estimate in following remarks.

Remark 5. *In view of (2.2) in Definition 2.1, it is easy to verify that*

$$||\cdot||_{D(s-\sigma)\times\Pi}\le||\cdot||_{D(s)\times\Pi}, \tag{3.8}$$

for $0<\sigma<s$. *Moreover, in view of (2.3) in Definition 2.2, the following inequalities hold*

$$||\cdot||_{D(s-\sigma,r)\times\Pi}\le||\cdot||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$||\cdot||_{D(s,r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\le||\cdot||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}, \tag{3.10}$$

where $0<\sigma'<r$. *Furthermore, (3.9) and (3.10) implies*

$$||\cdot||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\le||\cdot||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}. \tag{3.11}$$

Remark 6. *Given a Hamiltonian $W(x,y,z;\xi)$ has p-tame property on the domain $D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$. It is easy to verify that*

$$|||X_W|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r,r)\times\Pi}\le|||X_W|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $0<\sigma<r$. *But the following inequality usually is false*

$$|||X_W|||^T_{p,D(s,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\le|||X_W|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi},$$

where $0<\sigma'<r$. However, if let $0<\sigma'<r/2$, we have the following estimate

$$|||X_W|||^T_{p,D(s,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\le 4|||X_W|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}, \tag{3.13}$$

since $0<\sigma'<r/2$ implies $r/2<r-\sigma'<r$.

Remark 7. *Based on (2.9) in Definition 2.4, for each $(x,y,z)\in\mathscr{P}^p$ and $\xi\in\Pi$, the following estimate holds*

$$||(W_h)_z(x,y,z;\xi)||_p\le|||(W_h)_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)\times\Pi}||z||_p||z||_1^{\max\{h-2,0\}}. \tag{3.14}$$

Remark 8. *Based on (2.11) in Definition 2.5, for each $(x,y,z)\in\mathscr{P}^p$ and $\xi\in\Pi$, the following estimates hold*

$$||(W_h)_x(x,y,z;\xi)||\le|||(W_h)_x|||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}||z||_1^h, \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$||(W_h)_y(x,y,z;\xi)||\le|||(W_h)_y|||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}||z||_1^h. \tag{3.16}$$

Remark 9. *Note that*

$$||\cdot||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}\ge 0.$$

Then in view of Definition 2.4 and Definition 2.5, it is easy to verify that

$$|||W_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)\times\Pi}=\sup_{0\neq z^{(j)}\in\ell^2_p\times\ell^2_p,1\le j\le h-1,z^{(j)}\ge 0}\frac{||\widetilde{[W_z]}_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h-1)})||_p}{||(z^{h-1})||_{p,1}},$$

and

$$|||W_u|||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}=\sup_{0\neq z^{(j)}\in\ell^2_1\times\ell^2_1,1\le j\le h,z^{(j)}\ge 0}\frac{||\widetilde{[W_u]}_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h)})||}{||(z^h)||_{1,1}},$$

where $u=x$ or y, $z^{(j)}\ge 0$ means $z^{(j)}_i\ge 0$ for $i\in\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $z^{(j)}=(z^{(j)}_i)_{i\in\bar{\mathbb{Z}}}$. Without loss of generality, we always assume that each entry of $z^{(j)}$ is non-negative, when estimating p-tame norm of Hamiltonian vector field in the rest of this paper. Also see the same discussion in Remark 4.5 in [4].

4 Properties of the Hamiltonian with p-tame property

The following theorem will show that p-tame property persists under Poisson bracket.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that both Hamiltonian functions*

$$U(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}}}U^\beta(x,y;\xi)z^\beta$$

and

$$V(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}}}V^\beta(x,y;\xi)z^\beta,$$

satisfy p-tame property on the domain $D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$, where

$$U^\beta(x,y;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}U^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)y^\alpha,$$

and

$$V^{\beta}(x,y;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}V^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)y^{\alpha}.$$

Then the Poisson bracket $\{U,V\}(x,y,z;\xi)$ *of* $U(x,y,z;\xi)$ *and* $V(x,y,z;\xi)$ *with respect to the symplectic structure* $dy\wedge dx+\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j\geq 1}dz_{-j}\wedge dz_j$ *has p-tame property on the domain* $D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi$ *for* $0<\sigma<s, 0<\sigma'<r/2$. *Moreover, the following inequality holds*

$$\begin{aligned}&|||X_{\{U,V\}}|||^{T}_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\\ \leq\;& C\max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma},\frac{r}{\sigma'}\right\}|||X_U|||^{T}_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}|||X_V|||^{T}_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi},\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

where $C>0$ *is an absolute constant.*

Proof. By a direct calculation,

$$X_{\{U,V\}}=(\{U,V\}_y,-\{U,V\}_x,\sqrt{-1}\{U,V\}_{\bar{q}},-\sqrt{-1}\{U,V\}_q)=DX_U\cdot X_V-DX_V\cdot X_U,$$

where

$$DX_U\cdot X_V=\begin{pmatrix}U_{yx}&U_{yy}&U_{yq}&U_{y\bar{q}}\\ -U_{xx}&-U_{xy}&-U_{xq}&-U_{x\bar{q}}\\ \sqrt{-1}U_{\bar{q}x}&\sqrt{-1}U_{\bar{q}y}&\sqrt{-1}U_{\bar{q}q}&\sqrt{-1}U_{\bar{q}\bar{q}}\\ -\sqrt{-1}U_{qx}&-\sqrt{-1}U_{qy}&-\sqrt{-1}U_{qq}&-\sqrt{-1}U_{q\bar{q}}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}V_y\\ -V_x\\ \sqrt{-1}V_{\bar{q}}\\ -\sqrt{-1}V_q\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$DX_V\cdot X_U=\begin{pmatrix}V_{yx}&V_{yy}&V_{yq}&V_{y\bar{q}}\\ -V_{xx}&-V_{xy}&-V_{xq}&-V_{x\bar{q}}\\ \sqrt{-1}V_{\bar{q}x}&\sqrt{-1}V_{\bar{q}y}&\sqrt{-1}V_{\bar{q}q}&\sqrt{-1}V_{\bar{q}\bar{q}}\\ -\sqrt{-1}V_{qx}&-\sqrt{-1}V_{qy}&-\sqrt{-1}V_{qq}&-\sqrt{-1}V_{q\bar{q}}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}U_y\\ -U_x\\ \sqrt{-1}U_{\bar{q}}\\ -\sqrt{-1}U_q\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, there are 32 terms in $X_{\{U,V\}}$, and we classify the 32 terms into 4 cases (for simplicity, we will omit the coefficients $\pm\sqrt{-1}$ or -1 sometimes, which do not affect the estimate of p-tame norm below):

Case 1 : **finite** – **finite** .

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}U_{xx_j}V_{y_j},\quad\sum_{j=1}^{n}U_{xy_j}V_{x_j},\quad\sum_{j=1}^{n}U_{yx_j}V_{y_j},\quad\sum_{j=1}^{n}U_{yy_j}V_{x_j},$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}V_{xx_j}U_{y_j},\quad\sum_{j=1}^{n}V_{xy_j}U_{x_j},\quad\sum_{j=1}^{n}V_{yx_j}U_{y_j},\quad\sum_{j=1}^{n}V_{yy_j}U_{x_j};$$

Case 2 : **finite** – **infinite**.

$$\sum_{j\geq 1}U_{xq_j}V_{\bar{q}_j},\quad\sum_{j\geq 1}U_{x\bar{q}_j}V_{q_j},\quad\sum_{j\geq 1}U_{yq_j}V_{\bar{q}_j},\quad\sum_{j\geq 1}U_{y\bar{q}_j}V_{q_j},$$

and

$$\sum_{j\geq 1}V_{xq_j}U_{\bar{q}_j},\quad\sum_{j\geq 1}V_{x\bar{q}_j}U_{q_j},\quad\sum_{j\geq 1}V_{yq_j}U_{\bar{q}_j},\quad\sum_{j\geq 1}V_{y\bar{q}_j}U_{q_j};$$

Case 3 : **infinite** – **finite**.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} U_{qx_j}V_{y_j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_{qy_j}V_{x_j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_{\bar{q}x_j}V_{y_j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_{\bar{q}y_j}V_{x_j},$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} V_{qx_j}U_{y_j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_{qy_j}U_{x_j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_{\bar{q}x_j}U_{y_j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_{\bar{q}y_j}U_{x_j};$$

Case 4 : **infinite** – **infinite**.

$$\sum_{j\geq 1} U_{qq_j}V_{\bar{q}_j}, \quad \sum_{j\geq 1} U_{q\bar{q}_j}V_{q_j}, \quad \sum_{j\geq 1} U_{\bar{q}q_j}V_{\bar{q}_j}, \quad \sum_{j\geq 1} U_{\bar{q}\bar{q}_j}V_{q_j},$$

and

$$\sum_{j\geq 1} V_{qq_j}U_{\bar{q}_j}, \quad \sum_{j\geq 1} V_{q\bar{q}_j}U_{q_j}, \quad \sum_{j\geq 1} V_{\bar{q}q_j}U_{\bar{q}_j}, \quad \sum_{j\geq 1} V_{\bar{q}\bar{q}_j}U_{q_j}.$$

We will drop the index Π for shorten notations and regard x and y as scalar for simplicity, when estimating p-tame norm of Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\{U,V\}}$ below.

Suppose $U(x,y,z;\xi)$ and $V(x,y,z;\xi)$ are homogeneous polynomials about z, that is

$$U(x,y,z;\xi) := U_h(x,y,z;\xi) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=h} U^{\beta}(x,y;\xi)z^{\beta} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$V(x,y,z;\xi) := V_l(x,y,z;\xi) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=l} V^{\beta}(x,y;\xi)z^{\beta}, \tag{4.3}$$

for some $h,l\in\mathbb{N}$.

Step 1. Estimate $|||\{U,V\}_x|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}$ **and** $|||\{U,V\}_y|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}$.

In this step, we will give the following estimates

$$\frac{1}{(r-\sigma')^2}|||\{U,V\}_x|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')} \leq \frac{32}{e\sigma}|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}, \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$|||\{U,V\}_y|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')} \leq \frac{8r}{\sigma'}|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}. \tag{4.5}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\{U,V\}_x &= U_{xx}V_y - U_{xy}V_x - V_{xx}U_y + V_{xy}U_x \quad \text{(case 1)}\\ &\quad +\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j\geq 1}(U_{xq_j}V_{\bar{q}_j} - U_{x\bar{q}_j}V_{q_j} - V_{xq_j}U_{\bar{q}_j} + V_{x\bar{q}_j}U_{q_j}) \quad \text{(case 2)},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\{U,V\}_y &= U_{yx}V_y - U_{yy}V_x - V_{yx}U_y + V_{yy}U_x \quad \text{(case 1)}\\ &\quad +\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j\geq 1}(U_{yq_j}V_{\bar{q}_j} - U_{y\bar{q}_j}V_{q_j} - V_{yq_j}U_{\bar{q}_j} + V_{y\bar{q}_j}U_{q_j}) \quad \text{(case 2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we just consider the term $U_{xx}V_y$, which is in case 1, and the term $\sum_{j\geq 1}(U_{yq_j}V_{\bar{q_j}} - U_{y\bar{q_j}}V_{q_j})$, which is in case 2, and it is sufficient to give the following estimates

$$\frac{1}{(r-\sigma')^2}|||U_{xx}V_y|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')} \leq \frac{4}{e\sigma}|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)},$$

and

$$||| \sum_{j\geq 1}(U_{yq_j}V_{\bar{q_j}} - U_{y\bar{q_j}}V_{q_j})|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')} \leq \frac{r}{\sigma'}|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}$$

respectively.

Let $m = h+l$ and τ_m be an m-permutation. Since $U(x,y,z;\xi)$ and $V(x,y,z;\xi)$ have p-tame property on the domain $D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$, then by (2.11) in Definition 2.5, we get

$$\widetilde{\lfloor U_x \rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{(\tau_m(1))},\ldots,z^{(\tau_m(h))}) \leq |||U_x|||_{D(s,r)}||z^{(\tau_m(1))}||_1\cdots||z^{(\tau_m(h))}||_1, \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\lfloor V_y \rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{(\tau_m(h+1))},\ldots,z^{(\tau_m(m))}) \leq |||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}||z^{(\tau_m(h+1))}||_1\cdots||z^{(\tau_m(m))}||_1. \tag{4.7}$$

By the generalized Cauchy estimate in Lemma 8.3,

$$||U_{xx}||_{D(s-\sigma,r)} \leq \frac{1}{e\sigma}||U_x||_{D(s,r)}.$$

Hence, in view of (2.4) in Definition 3, the definition of symmetric linear form (see (2.6)) and Remark 9, we have

$$\widetilde{\lfloor U_{xx} \rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r)}(z^{(\tau_m(1))},\ldots,z^{(\tau_m(h))}) \leq \frac{1}{e\sigma}\widetilde{\lfloor U_x \rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{(\tau_m(1))},\ldots,z^{(\tau_m(h))}). \tag{4.8}$$

Based on the inequalities (4.6)-(4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\lfloor U_{xx} \rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r)}(z^{(\tau_m(1))},\ldots,z^{(\tau_m(h))})\widetilde{\lfloor V_y \rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r)}(z^{(\tau_m(h+1))},\ldots,z^{(\tau_m(m))}) \\ \leq\ & \frac{1}{e\sigma}|||U_x|||_{D(s,r)}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}||z^{(\tau_m(1))}||_1\cdots||z^{(\tau_m(m))}||_1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\lfloor U_{xx}V_y \rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}(z^{(1)},\ldots,z^{(m)}) \\ \leq\ & \widetilde{\lfloor U_{xx}V_y \rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r)}(z^{(1)},\ldots,z^{(m)}) \qquad \text{(based on Remark 5 and Remark 9)} \\ \leq\ & \lfloor U_{xx} \rceil_{D(s-\sigma,r)}\widetilde{\lfloor V_y \rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r)}(z^{(1)},\ldots,z^{(m)}) \qquad \text{(based on Lemma 8.2)} \\ =\ & \frac{1}{m!}\sum_{\tau_m}\widetilde{\lfloor U_{xx} \rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r)}(z^{(\tau_m(1))},\ldots,z^{(\tau_m(h))})\widetilde{\lfloor V_y \rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r)}(z^{(\tau_m(h+1))},\ldots,z^{(\tau_m(m))}), \\ & \text{(based on Lemma 8.5)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{e\sigma}|||U_x|||_{D(s,r)}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}||(z^m)||_{1,1}, \tag{4.11}$$

where the last inequality is based on (4.9) and the fact that

$$\frac{1}{m!}\sum_{\tau_m}||z^{(\tau_m(1))}||_1\cdots||z^{(\tau_m(m))}||_1 = ||z^{(1)}||_1\cdots||z^{(m)}||_1 = ||(z^m)||_{1,1}. \tag{4.12}$$

By (2.11) in Definition 2.5 and the inequality (4.11), it is easy to see that

$$|||U_{xx}V_y|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')} \leq \frac{1}{e\sigma}|||U_x|||_{D(s,r)}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}. \tag{4.13}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(r-\sigma')^2}|||U_{xx}V_y|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')} \\
= \quad & \frac{1}{(r-\sigma')^2}|||U_{xx}V_y|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}(r-\sigma')^{h+l} \qquad \text{(by (2.12) in Definition 2.5)} \\
\leq \quad & \frac{1}{e\sigma}\cdot\frac{r^2}{(r-\sigma')^2}\cdot\frac{1}{r^2}|||U_x|||_{D(s,r)}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}(r-\sigma')^{h+l} \\
& \text{(based on the inequality (4.13))} \\
\leq \quad & \frac{1}{e\sigma}\cdot\frac{r^2}{(r-\sigma')^2}\cdot\frac{1}{r^2}|||U_x|||_{D(s,r)}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}r^{h+l} \\
\leq \quad & \frac{4}{e\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}|||U_x|||_{D(s,r)}r^h\right)\left(|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}r^l\right) \\
& \text{(based on } 0<\sigma'<r/2 \text{ implying } r/2<r-\sigma'<r) \\
= \quad & \frac{4}{e\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}|||U_x|||_{D(s,r,r)}\right)|||V_y|||_{D(s,r,r)} \qquad \text{(by (2.12) in Definition 2.5)} \\
\leq \quad & \frac{4}{e\sigma}\left(|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}\right)|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Denote by

$$U_{yz}\cdot V_z = \sqrt{-1}\sum_{j\geq 1}(U_{yq_j}V_{\bar{q}_j} - U_{y\bar{q}_j}V_{q_j}).$$

Let $j = m-2 = h+l-2$ (here we assume $j\geq 0$, otherwise $U_z = 0$ or $V_z = 0$). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\lfloor U_{yz}\cdot V_z\rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}(z^{(1)},\ldots,z^{(j)}) \\
\leq \quad & \frac{1}{j!}\sum_{\tau_j}\widetilde{\lfloor U_{yz}\rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}(z^{\tau_j(1)},\ldots,z^{\tau_j(h-1)})\cdot\widetilde{\lfloor V_z\rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}(z^{\tau_j(h)},\ldots,z^{\tau_j(j)}) \\
& \text{(following the proof of the inequality (4.10) and } \tau_j \text{ is a } j\text{-permutation)} \\
\leq \quad & \frac{1}{j!}\sum_{\tau_j}||\widetilde{\lfloor U_{yz}\rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}(z^{\tau_j(1)},\ldots,z^{\tau_j(h-1)})||_1||\widetilde{\lfloor V_z\rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}(z^{\tau_j(h)},\ldots,z^{\tau_j(j)})||_1 \\
& \text{(based on the inequality } |z\cdot\tilde{z}| = |\textstyle\sum_{j\in\bar{\mathbb{Z}}}z_j\tilde{z}_j| \leq ||z||_0||\tilde{z}||_0 \leq ||z||_1||\tilde{z}||_1) \\
\leq \quad & \frac{1}{j!}\sum_{\tau_j}\frac{1}{r\sigma'}||\widetilde{\lfloor U_z\rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r)}(z^{\tau_j(1)},\ldots,z^{\tau_j(h-1)})||_1||\widetilde{\lfloor V_z\rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{\tau_j(h)},\ldots,z^{\tau_j(j)})||_1 \\
& \text{(based on the generalized Cauchy estimate (8.2) in Lemma 8.4)} \\
\leq \quad & \frac{1}{r\sigma'}|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}||(z^j)||_{1,1},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where the last inequality is based on the inequality (3.9) in Remark 5, the formula (4.12) for $m = j$ and the inequalities

$$||\widetilde{\lfloor U_z\rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{(\tau_j(1))},\ldots,z^{(\tau_j(h-1))})||_1 \leq |||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}||z^{(\tau_j(1))}||_1\cdots||z^{(\tau_j(h-1))}||_1,$$

and

$$||\widetilde{\lfloor V_z \rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{(\tau_j(h))},\dots,z^{(\tau_j(j))})||_1 \le |||V_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}||z^{(\tau_j(h))}||_1\cdots||z^{(\tau_j(j))}||_1,$$

since $U(x,y,z;\xi)$ and $V(x,y,z;\xi)$ having p-tame property on the domain $D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$ (see (2.9) in Definition 2.4 for $p=1$).

According to the estimate (4.15) and in view of (2.11) in Definition 2.5, we obtain

$$|||U_{yz}\cdot V_z|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')} \le \frac{1}{r\sigma'}|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}. \tag{4.16}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |||U_{yz}V_z|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')} \\
= \;& |||U_{yz}V_z|||_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}(r-\sigma')^{h+l-2} \qquad \text{(by (2.12) in Definition 2.5)} \\
\le \;& \frac{1}{r\sigma'}|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}r^{h+l-2} \\
& \text{(based on the inequality (4.16), } r-\sigma'<r \text{ and the assumption } j=h+l-2\ge 0) \\
= \;& \frac{r}{\sigma'}\left(\frac{1}{r}|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}r^{h-1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r}|||V_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}r^{l-1}\right) \\
\le \;& \frac{r}{\sigma'}\left(\frac{1}{r}|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r}|||V_y|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}\right) \qquad \text{(by (2.10) in Definition 2.4)} \\
\le \;& \frac{r}{\sigma'}|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Estimate p-tame norm of the terms in case 3, which are

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{zx}V_y &= (U_{qx}V_y, U_{\bar{q}x}V_y), \qquad V_{zx}U_y = (V_{qx}U_y, V_{\bar{q}x}U_y) \\
U_{zy}V_x &= (U_{qy}V_x, U_{\bar{q}y}V_x), \qquad V_{zy}U_x = (V_{qy}U_x, V_{\bar{q}y}U_x).
\end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we will estimate $|||U_{zx}V_y|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}$. Let $\tilde{m}=h+l-1$. Following the proof of (4.11), we obtain

$$||\widetilde{\lfloor U_{zx}V_y \rceil}_{D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(\tilde{m})})||_p \le \frac{1}{e\sigma}|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}f(z), \tag{4.17}$$

where

$$f(z)=\frac{1}{\tilde{m}!}\frac{1}{h-1}\sum_{\tau_{\tilde{m}}}\sum_{j=1}^{h-1}||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j-1)}||_1||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j)}||_p||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j+1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{m})}||_1,$$

and using the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
& ||\widetilde{\lfloor U_z \rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{(\tau_{\tilde{m}}(1))},\dots,z^{(\tau_{\tilde{m}}(h-1))})||_p \\
\le \;& |||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}\left(\frac{1}{h-1}\sum_{j=1}^{h-1}||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j-1)}||_1||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j)}||_p||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j+1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(h-1)}||_1\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\lfloor V_y \rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{(\tau_{\tilde{m}}(h))},\dots,z^{(\tau_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{m})}) \le |||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(h)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{m})}||_1,$$

since $U(x,y,z;\xi)$ and $V(x,y,z;\xi)$ have p-tame property on the domain $D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$. If

$$f(z)=||(z^{\tilde{m}})||_{p,1} \tag{4.18}$$

(which will be prove in Lemma 8.6), then in view of (2.9) in Definition 2.4 and the inequality (4.17), we obtain

$$|||U_{zx}V_y|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}\le\frac{1}{e\sigma}|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}. \tag{4.19}$$

In particular, when $p=1$, (4.19) reads

$$|||U_{zx}V_y|||^T_{1,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}\le\frac{1}{e\sigma}|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}. \tag{4.20}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{r-\sigma'}|||U_{zx}V_y|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}\\
=\;&\frac{1}{r-\sigma'}\max\left\{|||U_{zx}V_y|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')},|||U_{zx}V_y|||^T_{1,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}\right\}(r-\sigma')^{h+l-1}\\
&\text{(in view of (2.10) in Definition 2.4)}\\
\le\;&\frac{1}{e\sigma}\max\left\{|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)},|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}\right\}(r-\sigma')^{h+l-2}\\
&\text{(based on the inequalities (4.19) and (4.20))}\\
\le\;&\frac{1}{e\sigma}\cdot\frac{r}{(r-\sigma')}\cdot\frac{1}{r}\max\left\{|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)},|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}\right\}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}r^{h+l-1}\\
&\text{(based on } r-\sigma'<r\text{, and the assumption } h+l-1\ge 0 \text{ otherwise } U_z=0)\\
\le\;&\frac{2}{e\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{r}\max\left\{|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)},|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}\right\}r^{h-1}\right)|||V_y|||_{D(s,r)}r^l\\
&\text{(based on } 0<\sigma'<r/2 \text{ implying } r/2<r-\sigma'<r)\\
=\;&\frac{2}{e\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{r}|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}\right)|||V_y|||_{D(s,r,r)}\qquad\text{(by (2.10) in Definition 2.4).}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

Following the proof of the inequality (4.21), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{r-\sigma'}|||V_{zx}U_y|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}\le\frac{2}{e\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{r}|||V_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}\right)|||U_y|||_{D(s,r,r)}, \tag{4.22}$$

$$\frac{1}{r-\sigma'}|||U_{zy}V_x|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}\le\frac{2r}{\sigma'}|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}|||V_x|||_{D(s,r,r)}\right), \tag{4.23}$$

$$\frac{1}{r-\sigma'}|||V_{zy}U_x|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}\le\frac{2r}{\sigma'}|||V_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}|||U_x|||_{D(s,r,r)}\right), \tag{4.24}$$

where, to prove the inequalities (4.23) and (4.24), we use the generalized Cauchy estimate (8.2) instead of (8.1) in Lemma 8.4.

Step 3. Estimate p-tame norm of the terms in case 4, which are

$$U_{zz}\cdot V_z=\sqrt{-1}(\sum_{j\ge1}(U_{\bar{q}q_j}V_{\bar{q}_j}-U_{\bar{q}\bar{q}_j}V_{q_j}),\sum_{j\ge1}(U_{qq_j}V_{\bar{q}_j}-U_{q\bar{q}_j}V_{q_j}))$$

and

$$V_{zz}\cdot U_z=\sqrt{-1}(\sum_{j\ge1}(V_{\bar{q}q_j}U_{\bar{q}_j}-V_{\bar{q}\bar{q}_j}U_{q_j}),\sum_{j\ge1}(V_{qq_j}U_{\bar{q}_j}-V_{q\bar{q}_j}U_{q_j})).$$

Firstly, note an important fact that $\lfloor U\rceil_{D(s,r)}(z)$ and $\lfloor V\rceil_{D(s,r)}(z)$ are two Hamiltonian depending only on the normal variable z (independent of the tangent variables (x,y) and parameter ξ). Moreover,

$$\big\{\lfloor U\rceil_{D(s,r)}(z),\lfloor V\rceil_{D(s,r)}(z)\big\}_z=\lfloor U_{zz}\rceil_{D(s,r)}\cdot\lfloor V_z\rceil_{D(s,r)}-\lfloor V_{zz}\rceil_{D(s,r)}\cdot\lfloor U_z\rceil_{D(s,r)}.$$

Following the proof of Lemma 4.12 in [4], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|||\lfloor U_{zz}\rceil_{D(s,r)}\cdot\lfloor V_z\rceil_{D(s,r)}|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}+|||\lfloor V_{zz}\rceil_{D(s,r)}\cdot\lfloor U_z\rceil_{D(s,r)}|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}\\
\leq\ &(h+l-2)|||\lfloor U_z\rceil_{D(s,r)}|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}|||\lfloor V_z\rceil_{D(s,r)}|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}\\
=\ &(h+l-2)|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

In particular, when $p=1$, the inequality (4.25) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&|||\lfloor U_{zz}\rceil_{D(s,r)}\cdot\lfloor V_z\rceil_{D(s,r)}|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}+|||\lfloor V_{zz}\rceil_{D(s,r)}\cdot\lfloor U_z\rceil_{D(s,r)}|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}\\
\leq\ &(h+l-2)|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.26}$$

By Lemma 8.2, we have the following inequalities

$$||\widetilde{\lfloor U_{zz}\cdot V_z\rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h+l-3)})||_p\leq||\widetilde{\lfloor U_{zz}\rceil_{D(s,r)}\cdot\lfloor V_z\rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h+l-3)})||_p,\tag{4.27}$$

and

$$||\widetilde{\lfloor V_{zz}\cdot U_z\rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h+l-3)})||_p\leq||\widetilde{\lfloor V_{zz}\rceil_{D(s,r)}\cdot\lfloor U_z\rceil}_{D(s,r)}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h+l-3)})||_p.\tag{4.28}$$

Then in view of (2.9) in Definition 2.4 and the inequalities (4.25)-(4.28), we obtain

$$|||U_{zz}\cdot V_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}+|||V_{zz}\cdot U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}\leq(h+l-2)|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}\tag{4.29}$$

and

$$|||U_{zz}\cdot V_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}+|||V_{zz}\cdot U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}\leq(h+l-2)|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}\tag{4.30}$$

respectively. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{r-\sigma'}\left(|||U_{zz}\cdot V_z|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}+|||V_{zz}\cdot U_z|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}\right)\\
= \;& \frac{1}{r-\sigma'}\max\left\{|||U_{zz}\cdot V_z|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')},|||U_{zz}\cdot V_z|||^T_{1,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}\right\}(r-\sigma')^{h+l-3}\\
& +\frac{1}{r-\sigma'}\max\left\{|||V_{zz}\cdot U_z|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')},|||V_{zz}\cdot U_z|||^T_{1,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma')}\right\}(r-\sigma')^{h+l-3}\\
& \text{(in view of (2.10) in Definition 2.4)}\\
\leq \;& \max\left\{|||U_{zz}\cdot V_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)},|||U_{zz}\cdot V_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}\right\}(r-\sigma')^{h+l-4}\\
& +\max\left\{|||V_{zz}\cdot U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)},|||V_{zz}\cdot U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}\right\}(r-\sigma')^{h+l-4}\qquad\text{(in view of (3.11))}\\
\leq \;& 2(h+l-2)\max\left\{|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)},|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}\right\}(r-\sigma')^{h+l-4}\\
& \text{(based on the inequalities (4.29) and (4.30))}\\
\leq \;& \frac{2}{(r-\sigma')\sigma'}\max\left\{|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)},|||U_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{1,D(s,r)}\right\}r^{h+l-2}\\
& \text{(using the inequality } k(r-\sigma')^{k-1}\leq\frac{r^k}{\sigma'}\text{)}\\
\leq \;& \frac{2}{(r-\sigma')\sigma'}|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}|||V_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}\qquad\text{(in view of (2.10) in Definition 2.4)}\\
\leq \;& \frac{4r}{\sigma'}\left(\frac{1}{r}|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r}|||V_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.31}
$$

where the last inequality is based on $0<\sigma'<r/2$ implies $r/2<r-\sigma'<r$.

Step 4. Estimate

$$|||\{U,V\}_z|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}\quad\textbf{and}\quad|||X_{\{U,V\}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}.$$

By the inequalities (4.21)-(4.24) and (4.31), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{r-\sigma'}|||\{U,V\}_z|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}\\
\leq \;& 12\max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma},\frac{r}{\sigma'}\right\}|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.32}
$$

By Definition 2.6 and in view of the inequalities (4.4), (4.5) and (4.32), it is easy to see that

$$|||X_{\{U,V\}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')}\leq C\max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma},\frac{r}{\sigma'}\right\}|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)},\tag{4.33}$$

where $C>0$ is an absolute constant.

Finally, consider general Hamiltonian

$$U(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{h\geq 0}U_h(x,y,z;\xi)$$

and

$$V(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{l\geq 0}V_l(x,y,z;\xi),$$

where

$$U_h(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=h}U^{\beta}(x,y;\xi)z^{\beta}$$

and

$$V_l(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=l}V^{\alpha\beta}(x,y;\xi)z^{\beta}.$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |||X_{\{U,V\}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\\
= \;& |||X_{\{\sum_{h\geq 0}U_h,\sum_{l\geq 0}V_l\}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\\
= \;& |||\sum_{h,l\geq 0}X_{\{U_h,V_l\}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\\
\leq \;& \sum_{h,l\geq 0}|||X_{\{U_h,V_l\}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\\
\leq \;& \sum_{h,l\geq 0}C\max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma^{n+1}},\frac{r}{\sigma'}\right\}|||X_{U_h}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}|||X_{V_l}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \qquad \text{(by (4.33))}\\
= \;& C\max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma},\frac{r}{\sigma'}\right\}\left(\sum_{h\geq 0}|||X_{U_h}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}\right)\left(\sum_{l\geq 0}|||X_{V_l}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}\right)\\
= \;& C\max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma},\frac{r}{\sigma'}\right\}|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is based on Definition 2.6. □

Remark 10. *In view of the estimate (4.1), the coefficient*

$$C\max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma},\frac{r}{\sigma'}\right\} \tag{4.34}$$

is necessary in normal form iteration (but not important in KAM iteration). The reason is that while constructing a partial normal form of higher order (see Section 6), $\sigma\approx s$, $r\approx\rho$ and $\sigma'\approx\rho/\mathscr{M}$, then (4.34) reads a constant independent of ρ, but depending on n,s and $\mathscr{M}$, which make the first step of the normal form iteration work.

The theorem below show that *p*-tame property persists under Hamiltonian phase flow.

Theorem 4.2. *Consider two Hamiltonian $U(x,y,z;\xi)$ and $V(x,y,z;\xi)$ satisfying p-tame property on the domain $D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$ for some $0<s,r\leq 1$. Given $0<\sigma<s, 0<\sigma'<r/2$, suppose*

$$|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}\leq\frac{1}{2A}, \tag{4.35}$$

where

$$A=4Ce\max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma},\frac{r}{\sigma'}\right\} \tag{4.36}$$

and $C>0$ is the constant given in (4.1) in Theorem 4.1. Then for each $|t|\leq 1$, we have

$$|||X_{V\circ X^t_U}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\leq 2|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}.$$

Proof. Let

$$W^{(0)}(x,y,z;\xi) = V(x,y,z;\xi),$$

and

$$W^{(j)}(x,y,z;\xi) = \{W^{(j-1)},U\}(x,y,z;\xi), \qquad j \geq 1.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{(1)}(x,y,z;\xi) &= \{W^{(0)},U\}(x,y,z;\xi) = \{V,U\}(x,y,z;\xi),\\
W^{(2)}(x,y,z;\xi) &= \{W^{(1)},U\}(x,y,z;\xi) = \{\{V,U\},U\}(x,y,z;\xi),\\
&\cdots\\
W^{(j)}(x,y,z;\xi) &= \{\ldots\{\{V,U\},U\},U\},\ldots\},U\}(x,y,z;\xi) \qquad \text{(there are } j \text{ times U)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $j \geq 1$, let $\sigma_j = \frac{\sigma}{j}$ and $\sigma'_j = \frac{\sigma'}{j}$. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |||X_{W^{(j)}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\\
= \;& |||X_{W^{(j)}}|||^T_{p,D(s-j\sigma_j,r-j\sigma'_j,r-j\sigma'_j)\times\Pi}\\
\leq \;& \left(4C\max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma_j},\frac{r}{\sigma'_j}\right\}\right)^j \left(|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}\right)\left(|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}\right)^j\\
& \text{(based on Theorem 4.1 and (3.13))}\\
= \;& j^j\left(4C\max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma},\frac{r}{\sigma'}\right\}\right)^j \left(|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}\right)\left(|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}\right)^j. \qquad (4.37)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality

$$j^j < j!e^j \qquad (4.38)$$

and in view of the inequality (4.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{j!}|||X_{W^{(j)}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\\
\leq \;& e^j\left(4C\max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma},\frac{r}{\sigma'}\right\}\right)^j \left(|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}\right)\left(|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}\right)^j\\
= \;& \left(|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}\right)\left(A|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}\right)^j \text{ (in view of (4.36))}\\
= \;& 2^{-j}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \qquad \text{(based on the inequality (4.35))}. \qquad (4.39)
\end{aligned}$$

Expand the Hamiltonian $V\circ X^t_U(x,y,z;\xi)$ into Taylor series about t at $t=0$, and we have

$$V\circ X^t_U(x,y,z;\xi) = \sum_{j\geq 0}\frac{t^j}{j!}W^{(j)}(x,y,z;\xi). \qquad (4.40)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |||X_{V\circ X_U^t}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi} \\
= \;& |||X_{\sum_{j\geq 0}\frac{t^j}{j!}W^{(j)}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi} \qquad \text{(in view of (4.40))} \\
\leq \;& \sum_{j\geq 0}\frac{1}{j!}|||X_{W^{(j)}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma',r-\sigma')\times\Pi} \qquad \text{(in view of } |t|\leq 1) \\
\leq \;& \sum_{j\geq 0}2^{-j}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \qquad \text{(based on the inequality (4.39))} \\
= \;& 2|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Based on the theorem below, we will estimate the p-tame norm of the solution of homological equation.

Theorem 4.3. *Consider two Hamiltonian*

$$U(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}}}U^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)y^\alpha z^\beta$$

and

$$V(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}}}V^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)y^\alpha z^\beta.$$

Suppose $V(x,y,z;\xi)$ has p-tame property on the domain $D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$, i.e

$$|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}<\infty.$$

For each $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}},k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,j\geq 1$ and some fixed constant $\tau>0$, assume the following inequality holds

$$|\widehat{U^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{U^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|\leq(|k|+1)^\tau(|\widehat{V^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|), \tag{4.41}$$

where $\widehat{U^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)$ and $\widehat{V^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)$ are the k-th Fourier coefficients of $U^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)$ and $V^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)$, respectively. Then, $U(x,y,z;\xi)$ has p-tame property on the domain $D(s-\sigma,r,r)\times\Pi$ for $0<\sigma<s$. Moreover, we have

$$|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r,r)\times\Pi}\leq\frac{c}{\sigma^\tau}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}, \tag{4.42}$$

where $c>0$ is a constant depending on s and τ.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose $U(x,y,z;\xi)=U_h(x,y,z;\xi)$ and $V(x,y,z;\xi)=V_h(x,y,z;\xi)$.

Firstly, we will estimate $|||U_x|||_{D(s-\sigma,r,r)\times\Pi}$. For $1\leq i\leq n$, note

$$U_{x_i}(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=h}U^{\alpha\beta}_{x_i}(x;\xi)y^\alpha z^\beta$$

and

$$V_{x_i}(x,y,z;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=h}V^{\alpha\beta}_{x_i}(x;\xi)y^\alpha z^\beta.$$

Expand $U_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)$ and $V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)$ into Fourier series, which are

$$U_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\widehat{U_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k,\xi)e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle}$$

and

$$V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k,\xi)e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle}.$$

Note that

$$\widehat{U_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k,\xi)=k_i\widehat{U^{\alpha\beta}}(k,\xi),$$

and

$$\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k,\xi)=k_i\widehat{V^{\alpha\beta}}(k,\xi),$$

where $\widehat{U^{\alpha\beta}}(k,\xi)$ and $\widehat{V^{\alpha\beta}}(k,\xi)$ are k-th Fourier coefficients of $U^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)$ and $V^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)$ respectively. By the inequality (4.41), for $j\geq 1$, we have

$$|\widehat{U_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{U_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|\leq(|k|+1)^{\tau}(|\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|). \tag{4.43}$$

By a simple calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left(|\widehat{U_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{U_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|\right)e^{|k|(s-\sigma)}\\
\leq\ &\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}(|k|+1)^{\tau}\left(|\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|\right)e^{|k|(s-\sigma)}\\
&\text{(according to the inequality (4.43))}\\
=\ &\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left(|\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|\right)e^{|k|s}(|k|+1)^{\tau}e^{-|k|\sigma}\\
\leq\ &\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left(|\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|\right)e^{|k|s}\right)\left(\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}(|k|+1)^{\tau}e^{-|k|\sigma}\right)\\
\leq\ &\frac{c}{\sigma^{\tau}}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left(|\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}}(k;\xi)|\right)e^{|k|s}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.44}$$

where $c>0$ is a constant depending on s and τ. By the inequality (4.43) and the definition of the norm $||\cdot||_{D(s)\times\Pi}$ (see (2.2) in Definition 2.1), we obtain

$$||U_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}||_{D(s-\sigma)\times\Pi}\leq\frac{c}{\sigma^{\tau}}||V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}||_{D(s)\times\Pi}. \tag{4.45}$$

Hence, in view of (2.3) in Definition 2.2, we obtain

$$||U_{x_i}^{\beta}||_{D(s-\sigma,r)\times\Pi}\leq\frac{c}{\sigma^{\tau}}||V_{x_i}^{\beta}||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}, \tag{4.46}$$

where

$$U_{x_i}^{\beta}(x,y;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}U_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)y^{\alpha}$$

and

$$V_{x_i}^{\beta}(x,y;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}V_{x_i}^{\alpha\beta}(x;\xi)y^{\alpha}.$$

Then, following the proof of (4.14), it is easy to verify that

$$|||U_x|||_{D(s-\sigma,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^\tau}|||V_x|||_{D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}. \tag{4.47}$$

Similar to the proof of (4.47), we get

$$|||U_y|||_{D(s-\sigma,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^\tau}|||V_y|||_{D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}, \tag{4.48}$$

and

$$|||U_z|||_{D(s-\sigma,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^\tau}|||V_z|||_{D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}. \tag{4.49}$$

Finally, using the inequalities (4.47)-(4.49), and in view of Definition 2.6, we obtain the estimate

$$|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^\tau}|||X_V|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}.$$

□

As in [25], define the weighted norm of Hamiltonian vector field X_U on the domain $D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$ by

$$|||X_U|||_{\mathscr{P}^p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} = \sup_{(x,y,z;\xi)\in D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} ||X_U||_{\mathscr{P}^p,D(s,r,r)}. \tag{4.50}$$

Then the following two theorems give the estimate of the norm of time-1 map.

Theorem 4.4. *Give a Hamiltonian*

$$U(x,y,z;\xi) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}}} U^\beta(x,y;\xi)z^\beta$$

satisfying p-tame property on the domain $D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$ for some $0<s,r\leq 1$. Then we have

$$|||X_U|||_{\mathscr{P}^p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq |||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}. \tag{4.51}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume

$$U(x,y,z;\xi) := U_h(x,y,z;\xi) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=h} U^\beta(x,y;\xi)z^\beta.$$

Denote

$$U^{\beta-1_j}_{z_j}(x,y;\xi) = \beta_j U^\beta(x,y;\xi),$$

where

$$\beta-1_j = (\ldots,\beta_{j-1},\beta_j-1,\beta_{j+1},\ldots).$$

Based on the definition $||\cdot||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}$ (see (2.3) in Definition 2.2), for each $(x,y;\xi)\in D(s,r)\times\Pi$, we have

$$|U^{\beta-1_j}_{z_j}(x,y;\xi)| \leq ||U^{\beta-1_j}_{z_j}||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}. \tag{4.52}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |U_{z_j}(x,y,z;\xi)| \\
= & \left| \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=h} U_{z_j}^{\beta-1_j}(x,y;\xi) z^{\beta-1_j} \right| \\
\leq & \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=h} |U_{z_j}^{\beta-1_j}(x,y;\xi) z^{\beta-1_j}| \\
\leq & \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}},|\beta|=h} ||U_{z_j}^{\beta-1_j}||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi} z^{\beta-1_j} \\
& \text{(based on the inequality (4.52) and each entry of } z^{(j)} \text{ is non-negative)} \\
= & \widetilde{\lfloor U_{z_j} \rceil}_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(z^{(1)},\ldots,z^{(h-1)})|_{z^{(j)}=z,1\leq j\leq h-1}. \qquad (4.53)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
& ||U_z(x,y,z;\xi)||_p \\
\leq & ||\widetilde{\lfloor U_z \rceil}_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}(z^{(1)},\ldots,z^{(h-1)})|_{z^{(j)}=z,1\leq j\leq h-1}||_p \qquad \text{(based on the inequality (4.53))} \\
\leq & |||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)\times\Pi} ||z||_p ||z||_1^{h-2} \qquad (\text{based on } z^{(j)}=z, 1\leq j\leq h-1) \\
\leq & |||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)\times\Pi} ||z||_p^{h-1} \\
\leq & |||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r)\times\Pi} r^{h-1} \\
= & |||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}. \qquad (4.54)
\end{aligned}$$

For each $(x,y,z;\xi)\in D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$, we obtain

$$||U_x(x,y,z;\xi)|| \leq |||U_x|||_{D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}, \qquad (4.55)$$

and

$$||U_y(x,y,z;\xi)|| \leq |||U_y|||_{D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}, \qquad (4.56)$$

by following the proof of (4.54). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |||X_U|||_{\mathscr{P}^p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \\
= & \sup_{(x,y,z;\xi)\in D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \left(||U_y|| + \frac{1}{r^2}||U_x|| + \frac{1}{r}||U_z||_p \right) \qquad \text{(in view of the formula (4.50))} \\
\leq & |||U_y|||_{D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} + \frac{1}{r^2}|||U_x|||_{D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} + \frac{1}{r}|||U_z|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \\
& \text{(in view of the inequalities (4.54)-(4.56))} \\
= & |||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose the Hamiltonian*

$$U(x,y,z;\xi) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}}} U^\beta(x,y;\xi) z^\beta$$

has p-tame property on the domain $D(s,r,r)\times\Pi$ for some $0<s,r\le 1$. Let X_U^t be the phase flow generalized by the Hamiltonian vector field X_U. Given $0<\sigma<s$ and $0<\sigma'<r/2$, assume

$$|||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}<\min\{\sigma,\sigma'\}.$$

Then, for each $\xi\in\Pi$ and each $|t|\le 1$, one has

$$||X_U^t-id||_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma'-\sigma',r-\sigma')}\le |||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}. \tag{4.57}$$

Proof. The inequality (4.57) can be proven directly based on Theorem 4.4 and following the proof of Lemma A.4 in [25]. □

5 Proof of Theorem 2.8 and Corollary 2.9

5.1 The p-tame property of the solution of homological equation

5.1.1 The derivation of homological equation

Recall the perturbation of the integrable Hamiltonian (see (2.14))

$$H(x,y,q,\bar q;\xi)=N(y,q,\bar q;\xi)+R(x,y,q,\bar q;\xi).$$

Denote $R(x,y,q,\bar q;\xi)=R^{low}(x,y,q,\bar q;\xi)+R^{high}(x,y,q,\bar q;\xi)$, where

$$R^{low}(x,y,q,\bar q;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\le 2} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta\bar q^\gamma,$$

and

$$R^{high}(x,y,q,\bar q;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\ge 3} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta\bar q^\gamma.$$

The symplectic coordinate change will be produced by the time-1 map $X_F^t|_{t=1}$ of the Hamiltonian vector field X_F, where $F(x,y,q,\bar q;\xi)$ is in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x,y,q,\bar q;\xi)\\ = \;& F^{low}(x,y,q,\bar q;\xi)\\ = \;& \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\le 2} F^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta\bar q^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Using Taylor formula,

$$\begin{aligned} H_+ :=\;& H\circ X_F^t|_{t=1}\\ =\;& H+\{H,F\}+\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{H,F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt\\ =\;& N+\{N,F\}+\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{N,F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt\\ & +R^{low}+\int_0^1\{R^{low},F\}\circ X_F^t dt\\ & +R^{high}+\{R^{high},F\}+\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{R^{high},F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain the modified homological equation

$$\{N,F\}+R^{low}+\{R^{high},F\}^{low}=N_+-N, \tag{5.1}$$

where N_+ will be given in (5.16) below. If the homological equation (5.1) is solved, then the new perturbation term R_+ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} R_+ &= R^{high}+\{R^{high},F\}^{high} && (5.2)\\ &\quad +\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{N+R^{high},F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt && (5.3)\\ &\quad +\int_0^1\{R^{low},F\}\circ X_F^t dt. && (5.4)\end{aligned}$$

Note that we do not need to eliminate the terms in (5.2) at next step of KAM procedure, so (5.2) is not necessary to be small. On the other hand, (5.3) is quadratic in F and (5.4) contains the terms R^{low} and F, which guarantee R_+^{low} small enough. Therefore, the domain $D(s,r,r)$ is not required to shrink too fast such that we can obtain a non-degenerate normal form of order 2 directly.

5.1.2 The solvability of homological equation (5.1)

To solve the homological equation (5.1), we should know what is the term $\{R^{high},F\}^{low}$ exactly. Following Kuksin's notation in [21], write

$$R^{low}=R^x+R^y+R^1+R^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R^x &= R^x(x;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|=0}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi), && (5.5)\\ R^y &= \sum_{j=1}^n R^{y_j}(x;\xi)y_j=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},|\alpha|=1,\ |\beta|+|\gamma|=0}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha, && (5.6)\\ R^1 &= \sum_{j\geq1}\left(R^{q_j}(x;\xi)q_j+R^{\bar q_j}(x;\xi)\bar q_j\right)\\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},|\alpha|=0,|\beta|+|\gamma|=1}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)q^\beta\bar q^\gamma, && (5.7)\\ R^2 &= \sum_{i,j\geq1}\left(R^{q_iq_j}(x;\xi)q_iq_j+R^{q_i\bar q_j}(x;\xi)q_i\bar q_j+R^{\bar q_i\bar q_j}(x;\xi)\bar q_i\bar q_j\right)\\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},|\alpha|=0,|\beta|+|\gamma|=2}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)q^\beta\bar q^\gamma. && (5.8)\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, write $F = F^x + F^y + F^1 + F^2$ and $R^{high} = \sum_{j=0}^4 R^{(j)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{(0)} &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},|\alpha|=2,|\beta|+|\gamma|=0} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha,\\
R^{(1)} &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},|\alpha|=1,|\beta|+|\gamma|=1} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta \bar{q}^\gamma,\\
R^{(2)} &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},|\alpha|=1,|\beta|+|\gamma|=2} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta \bar{q}^\gamma,\\
R^{(3)} &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},|\alpha|=0,|\beta|+|\gamma|=3} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)q^\beta \bar{q}^\gamma,\\
R^{(4)} &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\geq 5 \text{ or } |\beta|+|\gamma|\geq 4} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta \bar{q}^\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

By a direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\{R^{high},F\}^{low} &= \sum_{j=1}^n R^{(0)}_{y_j}F^x_{x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n R^{(1)}_{y_j}F^x_{x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n R^{(1)}_{y_j}F^1_{x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n R^{(2)}_{y_j}F^x_{x_j}\\
&\quad + \sqrt{-1}\sum_{j\geq 1}\left(R^{(1)}_{q_j}F^1_{q_j} - R^{(1)}_{q_j}F^{\bar{1}}_{q_j} + R^{(3)}_{q_j}F^1_{q_j} - R^{(3)}_{q_j}F^{\bar{1}}_{q_j}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

More precisely,

$$\{R^{high},F\}^{low} = \{R^{high},F\}^y + \{R^{high},F\}^1 + \{R^{high},F\}^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\{R^{high},F\}^y &= \sum_{j=1}^n R^{(0)}_{y_j}F^x_{x_j} + \sqrt{-1}\sum_{j\geq 1}\left(R^{(1)}_{q_j}F^1_{q_j} - R^{(1)}_{q_j}F^{\bar{1}}_{q_j}\right),\\
\{R^{high},F\}^1 &= \sum_{j=1}^n R^{(1)}_{y_j}F^x_{x_j},\\
\{R^{high},F\}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n R^{(1)}_{y_j}F^1_{x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n R^{(2)}_{y_j}F^x_{x_j} + \sqrt{-1}\sum_{j\geq 1}\left(R^{(3)}_{q_j}F^1_{q_j} - R^{(3)}_{q_j}F^{\bar{1}}_{q_j}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\partial_\omega = \omega\cdot\partial_x$ and $W = \{R^{high},F^x+F^1\}$. Then the homological equation (5.1) decomposes into

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\omega F^x + \widehat{N^x} &= R^x, && (5.9)\\
(\partial_\omega + \sqrt{-1}\Omega_j)F^{q_j} &= R^{q_j} + \{R^{high},F^x\}^{q_j}, \qquad j\geq 1, && (5.10)\\
(\partial_\omega - \sqrt{-1}\Omega_j)F^{\bar{q}_j} &= R^{\bar{q}_j} + \{R^{high},F^x\}^{\bar{q}_j}, \qquad j\geq 1, && (5.11)\\
\partial_\omega F^{y_j} + \widehat{N^{y_j}} &= R^{y_j} + W^{y_j}, \qquad 1\leq j\leq n, && (5.12)\\
(\partial_\omega + \sqrt{-1}\Omega_i + \sqrt{-1}\Omega_j)F^{q_iq_j} &= R^{q_iq_j} + W^{q_iq_j}, \qquad i,j\geq 1, && (5.13)\\
(\partial_\omega + \sqrt{-1}\Omega_i - \sqrt{-1}\Omega_j)F^{q_i\bar{q}_j} + \delta_{ij}\widehat{N^{q_i\bar{q}_j}} &= R^{q_i\bar{q}_j} + W^{q_i\bar{q}_j}, \qquad i,j\geq 1, && (5.14)\\
(\partial_\omega - \sqrt{-1}\Omega_i - \sqrt{-1}\Omega_j)F^{\bar{q}_i\bar{q}_j} &= R^{\bar{q}_i\bar{q}_j} + W^{\bar{q}_i\bar{q}_j}, \qquad i,j\geq 1, && (5.15)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{N^x}(\xi) = \widehat{R^x}(0;\xi), \quad \widehat{N^{y_j}}(\xi) = \widehat{R^{y_j}}(0;\xi) + \widehat{W^{y_j}}(0;\xi), \quad \widehat{N^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(\xi) = \widehat{R^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(0;\xi) + \widehat{W^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(0;\xi).$$

Hence, we can solve the homological equations (5.9)-(5.15) one by one, i.e. the homological equation (5.1) is solvable. Moreover, set

$$N_+ = N + \widehat{N^x}(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \widehat{N^{y_j}}(\xi) y_j + \sum_{j\geq 1} \widehat{N^{q_j\bar{q}_j}}(\xi) q_j \bar{q}_j, \tag{5.16}$$

$$\omega_{+j} = \omega_j + \widehat{N^{y_j}}(\xi), \qquad 1 \leq j \leq n, \tag{5.17}$$

and

$$\Omega_{+j} = \Omega_j + \widehat{N^{q_j\bar{q}_j}}(\xi), \qquad j \geq 1. \tag{5.18}$$

5.1.3 The solution of homological equation (5.1)

Theorem 5.1. *Consider a perturbation of the integrable Hamiltonian*

$$H(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = N(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + R(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),$$

where

$$N(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j(\xi) y_j + \sum_{j\geq 1} \Omega_j(\xi) q_j \bar{q}_j$$

is a parameter dependent integrable Hamiltonian and

$$R(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = R^{low}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + R^{high}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi).$$

Suppose assumptions A and B are fulfilled for $\omega(\xi)$ and $\Omega(\xi)$,

$$|||X_{R^{low}}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq \varepsilon, \tag{5.19}$$

and

$$|||X_{R^{high}}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq 1, \tag{5.20}$$

for some $0 < s,r \leq 1$. For some fixed constant $\tau > n+1$, let

$$\mathscr{R}_{kl} = \left\{ \xi \in \Pi : |\langle k, \omega(\xi)\rangle + \langle l, \Omega(\xi)\rangle| \leq \frac{\eta}{(|k|+1)^\tau} \right\}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}, \tag{5.21}$$

and let

$$\tilde{\Pi} = \Pi \setminus \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |l|\leq 2, |k|+|l|\neq 0} \mathscr{R}_{kl}. \tag{5.22}$$

Then for each $\xi \in \tilde{\Pi}$, the homological equation (5.1)

$$\{N,F\} + R^{low} + \{R^{high},F\}^{low} = N_+ - N$$

has a solution $F(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ with the estimates

$$|||X_F|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+5}}, \tag{5.23}$$

and

$$|||X_{N_+-N}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^4\sigma^{4\tau+4}}, \tag{5.24}$$

where $0<\sigma<\frac{1}{10}\min\{s,r\}$ *and* $a\lessdot b$ *means there exists a constant* $c>0$ *depending on* n *and* τ *such that* $a\le cb$. *More precisely, we have*

$$|||X_{F^x}|||^T_{p,D(s-\tilde{\sigma},r,r)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \lessdot \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^2\sigma^{2\tau+1}}, \tag{5.25}$$

$$|||X_{F^1}|||^T_{p,D(s-3\tilde{\sigma},r-3\tilde{\sigma},r-3\tilde{\sigma})\times\tilde{\Pi}} \lessdot \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^4\sigma^{4\tau+3}}, \tag{5.26}$$

$$|||X_{F^y}|||^T_{p,D(s-5\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma})\times\tilde{\Pi}} \lessdot \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+5}}, \tag{5.27}$$

$$|||X_{F^2}|||^T_{p,D(s-5\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma})\times\tilde{\Pi}} \lessdot \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+5}}, \tag{5.28}$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}=\sigma/10$.

Moreover, the new Hamiltonian $H_+(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ *has the following form*

$$H_+(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi):=H\circ X_F^t|_{t=1}=N_+(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)+R_+(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),$$

where

$$N_+(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{j=1}^n\omega_{+j}(\xi)y_j+\sum_{j\ge1}\Omega_{+j}(\xi)q_j\bar{q}_j$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_+(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)\\
= \;& R^{high}+\{R^{high},F\}^{high}+\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{N,F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt\\
& +\int_0^1\{R^{low},F\}\circ X_F^t dt+\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{R^{high},F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

with the following estimates hold:

(1) for each $\xi\in\tilde{\Pi}$, *the symplectic map* $\Phi=X_F^t|_{t=1}$ *satisfies*

$$||\Phi-id||_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)}\lessdot\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+5}},$$

and

$$|||D\Phi-Id|||_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)}\lessdot\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}};$$

(2) the frequencies $\omega_+(\xi)$ *and* $\Omega_+(\xi)$ *satisfy*

$$||\omega_+(\xi)-\omega(\xi)||+\sup_{j\ge1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\omega_+(\xi)-\omega(\xi))||\lessdot\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^4\sigma^{4\tau+4}},$$

and

$$||\Omega_+(\xi)-\Omega(\xi)||+\sup_{j\ge1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\Omega_+(\xi)-\Omega(\xi))||\lessdot\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^4\sigma^{4\tau+4}};$$

(3) the perturbation $R_+(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ *satisfies*

$$|||X_{R_+^{low}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}}\lessdot\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}}\right)^2,$$

and

$$|||X_{R_+^{high}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \lessdot 1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}}+\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}}\right)^2;$$

(4) the measure of the subset $\tilde{\Pi}$ *of* Π *satisfies*

$$Meas\ \tilde{\Pi} \geq (Meas\ \Pi)(1-O(\eta)). \tag{5.30}$$

Proof. First of all, we will give two simple estimates.

No. 1. In view of (5.21) and (5.22), for each $\xi \in \tilde{\Pi}$ and each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $|l| \leq 2, |k|+|l| \neq 0$, we have

$$|\langle k,\omega(\xi)\rangle + \langle l,\Omega(\xi)\rangle| \geq \frac{\eta}{(|k|+1)^\tau}.$$

Hence,

$$|\langle k,\omega(\xi)\rangle + \langle l,\Omega(\xi)\rangle|^{-1} \leq \frac{(|k|+1)^\tau}{\eta}. \tag{5.31}$$

Moreover, for each $j \geq 1$,

$$\partial_{\xi_j}\left(\langle k,\omega(\xi)\rangle + \langle l,\Omega(\xi)\rangle\right)^{-1} = \frac{\langle k,\partial_{\xi_j}\omega(\xi)\rangle + \langle l,\partial_{\xi_j}\Omega(\xi)\rangle}{(\langle k,\omega(\xi)\rangle + \langle l,\Omega(\xi)\rangle)^2}.$$

Then in view of twist conditions (2.17), the inequality (5.31) and $|l| \leq 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\partial_{\xi_j}\left(\langle k,\omega(\xi)\rangle + \langle l,\Omega(\xi)\rangle\right)^{-1}| \\
= &\left|\frac{\langle k,\partial_{\xi_j}\omega(\xi)\rangle + \langle l,\partial_{\xi_j}\Omega(\xi)\rangle}{(\langle k,\omega(\xi)\rangle + \langle l,\Omega(\xi)\rangle)^2}\right| \\
\leq &\frac{(|k|+1)^{2\tau}(|k|+2)}{\eta^2} \\
\leq &\frac{2(|k|+1)^{2\tau+1}}{\eta^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

No. 2. Recall the notations (5.5)-(5.8), and let $U^0 = U^x + U^y$. Then in view of Definition 2.7, we have

$$|||X_{U^i}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq |||X_{U^{low}}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq |||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2, \tag{5.33}$$

and

$$|||X_{U^{high}}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq |||X_U|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}. \tag{5.34}$$

Moreover,

$$|||X_{U^x}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq |||X_{U^0}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \tag{5.35}$$

and

$$|||X_{U^y}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} \leq |||X_{U^0}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi}. \tag{5.36}$$

The inequalities (5.35) and (5.36) can be proven by following the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Now we will prove the theorem by the following steps.

Step 1. Estimate $|||X_F|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)\times\tilde{\Pi}}$ and $|||X_{N_+-N}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)\times\tilde{\Pi}}$. Based on (3.10) and in view of $F = F^x + F^y + F^1 + F^2$, it is sufficient to prove the inequalities of (5.25)-(5.28) hold. Since the proofs of (5.25)-(5.28) are similar, we only show (5.28) is right below and assume (5.25)-(5.27) is proven. Without loss of generality, consider the homological equations (5.14), which is

$$(\partial_\omega + \sqrt{-1}\Omega_i - \sqrt{-1}\Omega_j)F^{q_i\bar{q}_j} + \delta_{ij}\widehat{N^{q_i\bar{q}_j}} \quad = \quad R^{q_i\bar{q}_j} + W^{q_i\bar{q}_j}, \qquad i,j \geq 1.$$

By passing to Fourier coefficients,

$$\widehat{F^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi) \quad = \quad \frac{\widehat{R^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi) + \widehat{W^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi)}{\sqrt{-1}(\langle k,\omega(\xi)\rangle + \Omega_i(\xi) - \Omega_j(\xi))}, \qquad |k| + |i-j| \neq 0,$$

and

$$\widehat{N^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(\xi) = \widehat{R^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(0;\xi) + \widehat{W^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(0;\xi). \tag{5.37}$$

Then in view of the inequalities (5.31) and (5.32), for each $\xi \in \tilde{\Pi}$ and $j' \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\widehat{F^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi)| + |\partial_{\xi_{j'}}\widehat{F^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi)| \\
\leq\ & \frac{3(|k|+1)^{2\tau+1}}{\eta^2}\left(|\widehat{R^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi) + \widehat{W^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi)| + |\partial_{\xi_{j'}}\widehat{R^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi) + \partial_{\xi_{j'}}\widehat{W^{q_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi)|\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\widehat{F^{q_iq_j}}(k;\xi)| + |\partial_{\xi_{j'}}\widehat{F^{q_iq_j}}(k;\xi)| \\
\leq\ & \frac{3(|k|+1)^{2\tau+1}}{\eta^2}\left(|\widehat{R^{q_iq_j}}(k;\xi) + \widehat{W^{q_iq_j}}(k;\xi)| + |\partial_{\xi_{j'}}\widehat{R^{q_iq_j}}(k;\xi) + \partial_{\xi_{j'}}\widehat{W^{q_iq_j}}(k;\xi)|\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\widehat{F^{\bar{q}_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi)| + |\partial_{\xi_{j'}}\widehat{F^{\bar{q}_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi)| \\
\leq\ & \frac{3(|k|+1)^{2\tau+1}}{\eta^2}\left(|\widehat{R^{\bar{q}_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi) + \widehat{W^{\bar{q}_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi)| + |\partial_{\xi_{j'}}\widehat{R^{\bar{q}_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi) + \partial_{\xi_{j'}}\widehat{W^{\bar{q}_i\bar{q}_j}}(k;\xi)|\right),
\end{aligned}$$

while considering homological equations (5.13) and (5.15). Hence, by the above three inequalities and Theorem 4.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& |||X_{F^2}|||^T_{p,D(s-5\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma})\times\tilde{\Pi}} \\
\lesssim\ & \frac{1}{\eta^2\sigma^{2\tau+1}}|||X_{R^2+W^2}|||^T_{p,D(s-4\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma})\times\tilde{\Pi}} \\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{\eta^2\sigma^{2\tau+1}}\left(|||X_{R^2}|||^T_{p,D(s-4\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma})\times\tilde{\Pi}} + |||X_{W^2}|||^T_{p,D(s-4\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma},r-5\tilde{\sigma})\times\tilde{\Pi}}\right) \\
\lesssim\ & \frac{1}{\eta^2\sigma^{2\tau+1}}\left(|||X_{R^{low}}|||^T_{p,D(s,r,r)\times\Pi} + |||X_W|||^T_{p,D(s-4\tilde{\sigma},r-4\tilde{\sigma},r-4\tilde{\sigma})\times\tilde{\Pi}}\right) \\
& \text{(in view of the inequalities (3.13) and (5.35))} \\
\lesssim\ & \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+5}},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

where the last inequality is based on (5.19) and the following estimate

$$|||X_W|||^T_{p,D(s-4\tilde{\sigma},r-4\tilde{\sigma},r-4\tilde{\sigma})\times\tilde{\Pi}} \prec \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^4\sigma^{4\tau+4}} \tag{5.39}$$

which is based on $W=\{R^{high},F^x+F^1\}$, and in view of the inequalities (5.20), (5.25), (5.26) and Theorem 4.1.

The estimate of $|||X_{N_+-N}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)\times\tilde{\Pi}}$ is trivial, so we omit the details. **Step 2. Estimate $||\Phi-id||_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)}$ and $|||D\Phi-Id|||_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)}$.**

Note $\Phi=X_F^t|_{t=1}$. Based on Theorem 4.5 and the inequality (5.23), we have

$$||\Phi-id||_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)} \prec \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+5}}.$$

Moreover, by Cauchy inequality in Lemma 8.7, we obtain

$$|||D\Phi-Id|||_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)} \prec \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}}.$$

Step 3. Estimate the norm of the frequency shift

$$||\omega_+(\xi)-\omega(\xi)||+\sup_{j\geq 1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\omega_+(\xi)-\omega(\xi))||,$$

and

$$||\Omega_+(\xi)-\Omega(\xi)||+\sup_{j\geq 1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\Omega_+(\xi)-\Omega(\xi))||.$$

Based on Theorem 4.4 and the estimate (5.24), it is easy to verify

$$||\omega_+(\xi)-\omega(\xi)||+\sup_{j\geq 1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\omega_+(\xi)-\omega(\xi))|| \prec \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^4\sigma^{4\tau+4}},$$

and

$$||\Omega_+(\xi)-\Omega(\xi)||+\sup_{j\geq 1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\Omega_+(\xi)-\Omega(\xi))|| \prec \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^4\sigma^{4\tau+4}}.$$

Step 4. Estimate $|||X_{R_+^{low}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}}$ and $|||X_{R_+^{high}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}}$.

Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max\left\{|||X_{\{R^{high},F\}^{low}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}},|||X_{\{R^{high},F\}^{high}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}}\right\}\\
\leq\ & |||X_{\{R^{high},F\}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \qquad \text{(in view of the inequalities (5.33) and (5.34))}\\
\prec\ & \max\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma},\frac{r}{\sigma}\right\}|||X_{R^{high}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)\times\tilde{\Pi}}|||X_F|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)\times\tilde{\Pi}}\\
&\text{(based on Theorem 4.1)}\\
\prec\ & \frac{1}{\sigma}|||X_{R^{high}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)\times\tilde{\Pi}}|||X_F|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2,r-\sigma/2)\times\tilde{\Pi}}\\
&\text{(in view of } 0<\sigma<\tfrac{1}{10}\min\{s,r\} \text{ and } 0<s,r\leq 1)\\
\prec\ & \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}} \qquad \text{(in view of the inequalities (5.20) and (5.23))}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

Secondly, estimate

$$|||X_{\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{N,F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}}.$$

Note

$$\{N,F\} = N_+ - N - R^{low} + \{R^{high},F\}^{low}.$$

Then in view of the inequalities (5.19), (5.24) and (5.40), we obtain

$$|||X_{\{N,F\}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\frac{3}{4}\sigma,r-\frac{3}{4}\sigma,r-\frac{3}{4}\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \ll \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}},. \tag{5.41}$$

In view of the inequalities (5.23), (5.41) and Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |||X_{\{\{N,F\},F\}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\frac{7}{8}\sigma,r-\frac{7}{8}\sigma,r-\frac{7}{8}\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \\ \ll & \frac{1}{\sigma}|||X_{\{N,F\}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\frac{3}{4}\sigma,r-\frac{3}{4}\sigma,r-\frac{3}{4}\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}}|||X_F|||^T_{p,D(s-\frac{3}{4}\sigma,r-\frac{3}{4}\sigma,r-\frac{3}{4}\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \\ \ll & \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

By Theorem 4.2, for $|t| \le 1$, we get

$$|||X_{\{\{N,F\},F\}\circ X_F^t}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \ll |||X_{\{\{N,F\},F\}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\frac{7}{8}\sigma,r-\frac{7}{8}\sigma,r-\frac{7}{8}\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}}. \tag{5.43}$$

Therefore, by (5.42) and (5.43),

$$|||X_{\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{N,F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \ll \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}}\right)^2. \tag{5.44}$$

In view of the inequalities (5.19), (5.20) and (5.23) and following the proof of the inequality (5.44), we obtain

$$|||X_{\int_0^1\{R^{low},F\}\circ X_F^t dt}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \ll \varepsilon\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}}\right) \tag{5.45}$$

and

$$|||X_{\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{R^{high},F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \ll \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}}\right)^2. \tag{5.46}$$

In view of the formula (5.29), then

$$\begin{aligned} R_+^{low} = & \left(\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{N,F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt\right)^{low} \\ & + \left(\int_0^1\{R^{low},F\}\circ X_F^t dt\right)^{low} + \left(\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{R^{high},F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt\right)^{low}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_+^{high} = & R^{high} + \{R^{high},F\}^{high} + \left(\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{N,F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt\right)^{high} \\ & + \left(\int_0^1\{R^{low},F\}\circ X_F^t dt\right)^{high} + \left(\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{R^{high},F\},F\}\circ X_F^t dt\right)^{high}, \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of the inequalities (5.20), (5.40), (5.44), (5.45) and (5.46), we obtain

$$|||X_{R_+^{low}}|||^T_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \ll \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}}\right)^2,$$

and

$$|||X_{R_+^{high}}|||_{p,D(s-\sigma,r-\sigma,r-\sigma)\times\tilde{\Pi}} \lessdot 1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}}+\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta^6\sigma^{6\tau+6}}\right)^2.$$

The proof of the inequality (5.30) (the estimate of the measure of $\tilde{\Pi}$) is omitted, since the inequality (5.30) can be proven by following the proof of the measure of $\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}$ in Section 6.2. □

5.2 Iterative lemma

5.2.1 Iterative constants

As usual, the KAM theorem is proven by the Newton-type iteration procedure which involves an infinite sequence of coordinate changes. In order to make our iteration procedure run, we need the following iteration constants:
1. $\eta_0=\eta$ is given, $\eta_m=\eta 2^{-m}$, $m=1,2,\ldots$;
2. $\varepsilon_0=\varepsilon=\eta^{12}\varepsilon, \varepsilon_m=\eta^{12}\varepsilon^{(4/3)^m}, m=1,2,\ldots$;
3. $\tau_0=0, \tau_m=(1^{-2}+\cdots+m^{-2})/2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}j^{-2}$, $m=1,2,\ldots$ (thus, $\tau_m<1/2$);
4. Given $0<s_0,r_0\le 1$. Let $0<\sigma\le\min\{s_0,r_0\}$ and $s_m=(1-\tau_m)\sigma$, $r_m=(1-\tau_m)\sigma$, $m=1,2,\ldots$ (thus, $s_m>s_0/2, r_m>r_0/2$).

5.2.2 Iterative lemma

Lemma 5.2. *Consider a perturbation of the integrable Hamiltonian*

$$H_m(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=N_m(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)+R_m(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),$$

where

$$N_m(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\omega_{mj}(\xi)y_j+\sum_{j\ge 1}\Omega_{mj}(\xi)q_j\bar{q}_j$$

is a parameter dependent integrable Hamiltonian and

$$R_m(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=R_m^{low}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)+R_m^{high}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$$

is the perturbation with the following form

$$R_m^{low}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\le 2}R_m^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta\bar{q}^\gamma$$

and

$$R_m^{high}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\ge 3}R_m^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta\bar{q}^\gamma.$$

Suppose the assumptions A and B are fulfilled for $\omega_m(\xi)$ and $\Omega_m(\xi)$ with $m=0$ and

$$||\omega_m(\xi)-\omega_0(\xi)||+\sup_{j\ge 1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\omega_m(\xi)-\omega_0(\xi))||\le\sum_{i=1}^{m}\varepsilon_{i-1}^{2/3},$$

and

$$||\Omega_m(\xi)-\Omega_0(\xi)||+\sup_{j\geq 1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\Omega_m(\xi)-\Omega_0(\xi))||\leq\sum_{i=1}^{m}\varepsilon_{i-1}^{2/3}.$$

Suppose $R_m^{low}(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)$ *satisfies the smallness assumption*

$$|||X_{R_m^{low}}|||^T_{p,D(s_m,r_m,r_m)\times\Pi_m}\leq\varepsilon_m,$$

and $R_m^{high}(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)$ *satisfies*

$$|||X_{R_m^{high}}|||^T_{p,D(s_m,r_m,r_m)\times\Pi_m}\leq\varepsilon+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\varepsilon_i^{2/3}.$$

Let

$$\mathscr{R}_{kl}^m=\left\{\xi\in\Pi:|\langle k,\omega_m(\xi)\rangle+\langle l,\Omega_m(\xi)\rangle|\leq\frac{\eta_m}{(|k|+1)^\tau}\right\},\qquad k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,l\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}},$$

and let

$$\Pi_{m+1}=\Pi_m\setminus\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|l|\leq 2,|k|+|l|\neq 0}\mathscr{R}_{kl}^m.$$

Then for each $\xi\in\Pi_{m+1}$*, the homological equation*

$$\{N_m,F_m\}+R_m^{low}+\{R_m^{high},F_m\}^{low}=N_{+m}-N_m$$

has a solution $F_m(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)$ *with the estimates*

$$|||X_{F_m}|||^T_{p,D(s_{m+1},r_{m+1},r_{m+1})\times\Pi_{m+1}}\leq\varepsilon_m^{2/3},$$

and

$$|||X_{N_{+m}-N_m}|||^T_{p,D(s_{m+1},r_{m+1},r_{m+1})\times\Pi_{m+1}}\leq\varepsilon_m^{2/3}.$$

Moreover,

$$H_{m+1}(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi):=H\circ X_{F_m}^t|_{t=1}=N_{m+1}(y,q,\overline{q};\xi)+R_{m+1}(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi),$$

where

$$N_{m+1}(y,q,\overline{q};\xi)=N_{+m}(y,q,\overline{q};\xi)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\omega_{(m+1)j}(\xi)y_j+\sum_{j\geq 1}\Omega_{(m+1)j}(\xi)q_j\overline{q}_j,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&R_{m+1}(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)\\ =\;&R_m^{high}+\{R_m^{high},F_m\}^{high}+\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{N,F_m\},F_m\}\circ X_F^t dt\\ &+\int_0^1\{R_m^{low},F_m\}\circ X_{F_m}^t dt+\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{R_m^{high},F_m\},F_m\}\circ X_{F_m}^t dt,\end{aligned}$$

with the following estimates hold:
(1) for each $\xi\in\Pi_{m+1}$*, the symplectic map* $\Psi_m=X_{F_m}^t|_{t=1}$ *satisfies*

$$||\Psi_m-id||_{p,D(s_{m+1},r_{m+1},r_{m+1})}\leq\varepsilon_m^{2/3},$$

and

$$|||D\Psi_m - Id|||_{p,D(s_{m+1},r_{m+1},r_{m+1})} \leq \varepsilon_m^{2/3}.$$

(2) the frequencies $\omega_{m+1}(\xi)$ and $\Omega_{m+1}(\xi)$ satisfy

$$||\omega_{m+1}(\xi) - \omega_0(\xi)|| + \sup_{j\geq 1} ||\partial_{\xi_j}(\omega_{m+1}(\xi) - \omega_0(\xi))|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \varepsilon_{i-1}^{2/3},$$

and

$$||\Omega_{m+1}(\xi) - \Omega_0(\xi)|| + \sup_{j\geq 1} ||\partial_{\xi_j}(\Omega_{m+1}(\xi) - \Omega_0(\xi))|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \varepsilon_{i-1}^{2/3};$$

(3) the perturbation $R_{m+1}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ satisfies

$$|||X_{R_{m+1}^{low}}|||^T_{p,D(s_{m+1},r_{m+1},r_{m+1})\times\Pi_{m+1}} \leq \varepsilon_{m+1},$$

and

$$|||X_{R_{m+1}^{high}}|||^T_{p,D(s_{m+1},r_{m+1},r_{m+1})\times\Pi_{m+1}} \leq \varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \varepsilon_i^{2/3};$$

(4) the measure of the subset Π_{m+1} of Π_m satisfies

$$Meas\ \Pi_{m+1} \geq (Meas\ \Pi_m)(1 - O(\eta_m)). \tag{5.47}$$

Proof. The proof is a standard KAM proof based on Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3. See details of KAM iteration in [25]. □

5.3 Proof of Theorem 2.8

Proof. Let $\Pi_\eta = \bigcap_{m=0}^\infty \Pi_m, D(s_0/2,r_0/2,r_0/2) \subset \bigcap_{m=0}^\infty D(s_m,r_m,r_m)$ and $\Psi = \prod_{m=0}^\infty \Psi_m$. By the standard argument, we conclude that $\Psi, D\Psi, H_m, X_{H_m}$ converge uniformly on the domain $D(s_0/2,r_0/2,r_0/2)\times\Pi_\eta$. Let

$$\check{H}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) := \lim_{m\to\infty} H_m = \check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + \check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),$$

where

$$\check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n \check{\omega}_j(\xi) y_j + \sum_{j\geq 1} \check{\Omega}_j(\xi) q_j \bar{q}_j$$

and

$$\check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\geq 3} \check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi) y^\alpha q^\beta \bar{q}^\gamma.$$

Moreover, by the standard KAM proof, we obtain the following estimates:
(1) for each $\xi\in\Pi_\eta$, the symplectic map Ψ satisfies

$$||\Psi - id||_{p,D(s_0/2,r_0/2,r_0/2)} \leq c\eta^6\varepsilon,$$

and

$$|||D\Psi - Id|||_{p,D(s_0/2,r_0/2,r_0/2)} \leq c\eta^6\varepsilon.$$

(2) the frequencies $\breve{\omega}(\xi)$ and $\breve{\Omega}(\xi)$ satisfy

$$||\breve{\omega}(\xi)-\omega(\xi)||+\sup_{j\geq 1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\breve{\omega}(\xi)-\omega(\xi))||\leq c\eta^8\varepsilon,$$

and

$$||\breve{\Omega}(\xi)-\Omega(\xi)||+\sup_{j\geq 1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\breve{\Omega}(\xi)-\Omega(\xi))||\leq c\eta^8\varepsilon;$$

(3) the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\breve{R}}$ satisfies

$$|||X_{\breve{R}}|||^T_{p,D(s_0/2,r_0/2,r_0/2)\times\Pi_\eta}\leq\varepsilon(1+c\eta^6\varepsilon),$$

where $c>0$ is a constant depending on s_0,r_0,n and τ. Moreover, we fix $\tau>n+1$ and then the constant c depends on s_0,r_0 and n;
(4) the measure of Π_η satisfies

$$\text{Meas }\Pi_\eta\geq(\text{Meas }\Pi)(1-O(\eta)).$$

□

5.4 Proof of Corollary 2.9

Proof. In view of (2.18),

$$\breve{H}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\breve{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)+\breve{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),$$

where

$$\breve{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{j=1}^n\breve{\omega}_j(\xi)y_j+\sum_{j\geq 1}\breve{\Omega}_j(\xi)q_j\bar{q}_j$$

and

$$\breve{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\geq 3}\breve{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta\bar{q}^\gamma.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\mathscr{T}_0=\hat{\mathbb{T}}^n\times\{y=0\}\times\{q=0\}\times\{\bar{q}=0\}$$

is an embedding torus with frequency $\breve{\omega}(\xi)\in\breve{\omega}(\Pi_\eta)$ of the Hamiltonian $\breve{H}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$. Moreover, $\Psi^{-1}\mathscr{T}_0$ is an embedding torus of the original Hamiltonian $H(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$. We finish the proof of the existence of KAM tori. The proof of time $|t|\leq\delta^{-1}$ stability of KAM tori is omitted here, since it is similar to the proof of $|t|\leq\delta^{-\mathscr{M}}$ stability of KAM tori, which can be found in Section 6.3. □

6 Proof of Theorem 2.10

6.1 Construct a partial normal form of order $\mathscr{M}+2$

Basing on the normal form of order 2 obtained in Theorem 2.8, we will construct a partial normal form of order $\mathscr{M}+2$ in the neighborhood of KAM tori by $\mathscr{M}$ times symplectic transformations.

Given a large $\mathscr{N}\in\mathbb{N}$, split the normal frequency $\breve{\Omega}(\xi)$ and normal variable $(q,\bar{q})$ into two parts respectively, i.e.

$$\breve{\Omega}(\xi)=(\tilde{\Omega}(\xi),\hat{\Omega}(\xi)),\qquad q=(\tilde{q},\hat{q}),\qquad \bar{q}=(\bar{\tilde{q}},\bar{\hat{q}}),$$

where

$$\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)=(\breve{\Omega}_1(\xi),\dots,\breve{\Omega}_{\mathscr{N}}(\xi)),\quad \tilde{q}=(q_1,\dots,q_{\mathscr{N}}),\quad \bar{\tilde{q}}=(\bar{q}_1,\dots,\bar{q}_{\mathscr{N}})$$

are the low frequencies and

$$\hat{\Omega}(\xi)=(\breve{\Omega}_{\mathscr{N}+1}(\xi),\breve{\Omega}_{\mathscr{N}+2}(\xi),\dots),\quad \hat{q}=(q_{\mathscr{N}+1},q_{\mathscr{N}+2},\dots),\quad \bar{\hat{q}}=(\bar{q}_{\mathscr{N}+1},\bar{q}_{\mathscr{N}+2},\dots)$$

are the high frequencies. Given $0<\tilde{\eta}<1$, and $\tau>n+3$, if the frequencies $\breve{\omega}(\xi)$ and $\breve{\Omega}(\xi)$ satisfy the following inequalities

$$\left|\langle k,\breve{\omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\tilde{l},\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\hat{l},\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle\right|\geq\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{4^{\mathscr{M}}(|k|+1)^{\tau}C(\mathscr{N},\tilde{l})},\tag{6.1}$$

for any $k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\tilde{l}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathscr{N}},\hat{l}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with

$$|k|+|\tilde{l}|+|\hat{l}|\neq 0,\quad |\tilde{l}|+|\hat{l}|\leq\mathscr{M}+2,\quad |\hat{l}|\leq 2,$$

where

$$C(\mathscr{N},\tilde{l})=\mathscr{N}^{(|\tilde{l}|+4)^2},\tag{6.2}$$

then we call that the frequencies $\breve{\omega}(\xi)$ and $\breve{\Omega}(\xi)$ are $(\tilde{\eta},\mathscr{N},\mathscr{M})$-non-resonant. Define the resonant sets $\mathscr{R}_{k\tilde{l}\hat{l}}$ by

$$\mathscr{R}_{k\tilde{l}\hat{l}}=\left\{\xi\in\Pi_\eta:\left|\langle k,\breve{\omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\tilde{l},\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\hat{l},\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle\right|\leq\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{4^{\mathscr{M}}(|k|+1)^{\tau}C(\mathscr{N},\tilde{l})}\right\}.\tag{6.3}$$

Let

$$\mathscr{R}=\bigcup_{|k|+|\tilde{l}|+|\hat{l}|\neq 0,|\tilde{l}|+|\hat{l}|\leq\mathscr{M}+2,|\hat{l}|\leq 2}\mathscr{R}_{k\tilde{l}\hat{l}},\tag{6.4}$$

and

$$\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}=\Pi_\eta\setminus\mathscr{R},\tag{6.5}$$

where Π_η is defined in Theorem 2.8. Then it is easy to see that for each $\xi\in\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}$, the frequencies $\breve{\omega}(\xi)$ and $\breve{\Omega}(\xi)$ are $(\tilde{\eta},\mathscr{N},\mathscr{M})$-non-resonant.

In this section, we always assume

$$\alpha\in\mathbb{N},\quad \beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathscr{N}},\quad \mu,\nu\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Theorem 6.1. *(Partial normal form of order $\mathscr{M}+2$) Consider the normal form of order 2*

$$\breve{H}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\breve{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)+\breve{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$$

obtained in Theorem 2.8. Given any positive integer $\mathscr{M}$ and $0<\tilde{\eta}<1$, there exist a small $\rho_0>0$ and a large positive integer $\mathscr{N}_0$ depending on s_0,r_0,n and $\mathscr{M}$. For each $0<\rho<\rho_0$ and any integer $\mathscr{N}$ satisfying

$$\mathscr{N}_0<\mathscr{N}<\left(\frac{\tilde{\eta}^2}{2\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2}},\tag{6.6}$$

and for each $\xi \in \Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}$, then there is a symplectic map

$$\Phi : D(s_0/4, 4\rho, 4\rho) \to D(s_0/2, 5\rho, 5\rho),$$

such that

$$\breve{\breve{H}}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) := \breve{H} \circ \Phi = \breve{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + Z(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + P(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + Q(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) \tag{6.7}$$

is a partial normal form of order $\mathscr{M}+2$, where

$$Z(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) \quad = \sum_{4\le 2|\alpha|+2|\beta|+2|\mu|\le \mathscr{M}+2, |\mu|\le 1} Z^{\alpha\beta\beta\mu\mu}(\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\beta \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\mu$$

is the integrable term depending only on variables y and $I_j = |q_j|^2, j \ge 1$, and where

$$P(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) \quad = \sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|\ge \mathscr{M}+3, |\mu|+|\nu|\le 2} P^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu,$$

and

$$Q(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|\ge 3} Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu.$$

Moreover, the following estimates hold:
(1) the symplectic map Φ satisfies

$$||\Phi - id||_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)} \le \frac{c\mathscr{N}^{98}\rho}{\tilde{\eta}^2}, \tag{6.8}$$

and

$$|||D\Phi - Id|||_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)} \le \frac{c\mathscr{N}^{98}}{\tilde{\eta}^2}; \tag{6.9}$$

(2) the Hamiltonian vector fields X_Z, X_P and X_Q satisfy

$$|||X_Z|||^T_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \le c\rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+6)^2}\rho\right),$$

$$|||X_P|||^T_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \le c\rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2}\rho\right)^{\mathscr{M}},$$

and

$$|||X_Q|||^T_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \le c\rho,$$

where $c>0$ is a constant depending on s_0, r_0, n and $\mathscr{M}$.

To prove Theorem 6.1, we will give an iterative lemma first. Take

$$s' = \frac{s_0}{12\mathscr{M}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \rho' = \frac{\rho}{2\mathscr{M}}. \tag{6.10}$$

Let $2 \le j_0 \le \mathscr{M}+2$ and denote

$$\mathscr{D}_{j_0} = D(s_0/2 - 3(j_0-2)s', 5\rho - 2(j_0-2)\rho', 5\rho - 2(j_0-2)\rho'), \tag{6.11}$$

$$\mathscr{D}'_{j_0} = D(s_0/2-(3(j_0-2)+1)s', 5\rho-2(j_0-2)\rho', 5\rho-2(j_0-2)\rho')$$

and

$$\mathscr{D}''_{j_0} = D(s_0/2-(3(j_0-2)+2)s', 5\rho-(2(j_0-2)+1)\rho', 5\rho-(2(j_0-2)+1)\rho')$$

Then it is easy to see

$$\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1} \subset \mathscr{D}''_{j_0} \subset \mathscr{D}'_{j_0} \subset \mathscr{D}_{j_0},$$

and

$$\mathscr{D}_2 = D(s_0/2, 5\rho, 5\rho) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{M}+2} = D(s_0/4, 4\rho, 4\rho).$$

Lemma 6.2. *Consider the partial normal form of order j_0 ($2 \le j_0 \le \mathscr{M}+1$)*

$$H_{j_0}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + Z_{j_0}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + P_{j_0}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + Q_{j_0}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \tag{6.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \check{\omega}_j(\xi) y_j + \sum_{j\ge 1} \check{\Omega}_j(\xi) q_j \bar{q}_j, \\
Z_{j_0}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{3\le j\le j_0} Z_{j_0 j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi), && (6.13)\\
P_{j_0}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{j\ge j_0+1} P_{j_0 j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), && (6.14)\\
Q_{j_0}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{j\ge 3} Q_{j_0 j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), && (6.15)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{j_0 j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{2|\alpha|+2|\beta|+2|\mu|=j, |\mu|\le 1} Z_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\beta\mu\mu}(\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\beta \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\mu, && (6.16)\\
P_{j_0 j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j, |\mu|+|\nu|\le 2} P_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu, && (6.17)\\
Q_{j_0 j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j, |\mu|+|\nu|\ge 3} Q_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu. && (6.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $Z_{j_0 j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi), P_{j_0 j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ and $Q_{j_0 j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ satisfy the following estimates,

$$\begin{aligned}
|||X_{Z_{j_0 j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} &\preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+4)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3}, && (6.19)\\
|||X_{P_{j_0 j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} &\preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3}, && (6.20)\\
|||X_{Q_{j_0 j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} &\preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3}, && (6.21)
\end{aligned}$$

where $a \preceq b$ means there is a constant $c>0$ depending on s_0, n and $\mathscr{M}$ such that $a \le cb$ (but independent of ρ, $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\mathscr{N}$). Then there exists a symplectic map $\Phi_{j_0} : \mathscr{D}_{j_0+1} \to \mathscr{D}_{j_0}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& H_{j_0+1}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) \\
:= \;& H_{j_0}\circ\Phi_{j_0}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) \\
= \;& \check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + Z_{j_0+1}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + P_{j_0+1}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + Q_{j_0+1}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{j_0+1}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{3\le j\le j_0+1} Z_{(j_0+1)j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi),\\ P_{j_0+1}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{j\ge j_0+2} P_{(j_0+1)j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),\\ Q_{j_0+1}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{j\ge 3} Q_{(j_0+1)j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{(j_0+1)j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{2|\alpha|+2|\beta|+2|\mu|=j,|\mu|\le 1} Z_{j_0+1}^{\alpha\beta\beta\mu\mu}(\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\beta \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\mu,\\ P_{(j_0+1)j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j,|\mu|+|\nu|\le 2} P_{j_0+1}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu,\\ Q_{(j_0+1)j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j,|\mu|+|\nu|\ge 3} Q_{j_0+1}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the following estimates hold:
(1) the symplectic map Φ_{j_0} satisfies

$$||\Phi_{j_0} - id||_{p,\mathscr{D}''_{j_0}} \preceq \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-1} \tag{6.22}$$

and

$$|||D\Phi_{j_0} - Id|||_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}} \preceq \rho^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-1}; \tag{6.23}$$

(2) the Hamiltonian vector fields $X_{Z_{(j_0+1)j}}, X_{P_{(j_0+1)j}}$ and $X_{Q_{(j_0+1)j}}$ satisfy

$$|||X_{Z_{(j_0+1)j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3}, \tag{6.24}$$

$$|||X_{P_{(j_0+1)j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+6)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3}, \tag{6.25}$$

$$|||X_{Q_{(j_0+1)j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+6)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3}. \tag{6.26}$$

Proof. **Step 1. The derivative of homological equation.**
Expand $P_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi)$ into Fourier series

$$P_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{P_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}}(k;\xi) e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle}.$$

To obtain the partial normal form of order j_0+1, we need to eliminate all non-integrable terms in $P_{j_0(j_0+1)}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$, which are

$$\sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j_0+1,|\mu|+|\nu|\le 2} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{P_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}}(k;\xi) e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle} y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu$$

with $|k|+|\beta-\gamma|+|\mu-\nu|\neq 0$. To this end, let

$$F_{j_0}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j_0+1,|\mu|+|\nu|\leq 2} F_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi)y^{\alpha}\tilde{q}^{\beta}\bar{\tilde{q}}^{\gamma}\hat{q}^{\mu}\bar{\hat{q}}^{\nu}, \tag{6.27}$$

and let $\Phi_{j_0}=X^t_{F_{j_0}}|_{t=1}$ be the time-1 map of the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{F_{j_0}}$.

Using Taylor formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{j_0+1} &:= H_{j_0}\circ X^t_{F_{j_0}}|_{t=1}\\
&= (\check{N}+Z_{j_0}+P_{j_0}+Q_{j_0})\circ X^t_{F_{j_0}}|_{t=1}\\
&= \check{N}+\{\check{N},F_{j_0}\}+\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{\check{N},F_{j_0}\},F_{j_0}\}\circ X^t_{F_{j_0}}dt &(6.28)\\
&\quad +P_{j_0(j_0+1)}+\int_0^1\{P_{j_0(j_0+1)},F_{j_0}\}\circ X^t_{F_{j_0}}dt &(6.29)\\
&\quad +\big(Z_{j_0}+P_{j_0}-P_{j_0(j_0+1)}+Q_{j_0}\big)\circ X^t_{F_{j_0}}|_{t=1}. &(6.30)
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain the homological equation

$$\{\check{N},F_{j_0}\}+P_{j_0(j_0+1)}=\widehat{Z_{j_0}}, \tag{6.31}$$

where

$$\widehat{Z_{j_0}}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{2|\alpha|+2|\beta|+2|\mu|=j_0+1,|\mu|\leq 1}\widehat{P_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\beta\mu\mu}}(0;\xi)y^{\alpha}\tilde{q}^{\beta}\bar{\tilde{q}}^{\beta}\hat{q}^{\mu}\bar{\hat{q}}^{\mu}. \tag{6.32}$$

If the homological equation (6.31) is solvable, then in view of (6.28)-(6.30) we can define

$$Z_{j_0+1}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=Z_{j_0}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)+\widehat{Z_{j_0}}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \tag{6.33}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{j_0+1}+Q_{j_0+1}\\
=\ &\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{\check{N},F_{j_0}\},F_{j_0}\}\circ X^t_{F_{j_0}}dt &(6.34)\\
&+\int_0^1\{P_{j_0(j_0+1)}+Z_{j_0},F_{j_0}\}\circ X^t_{F_{j_0}}dt &(6.35)\\
&+\big(P_{j_0}-P_{j_0(j_0+1)}+Q_{j_0}\big)\circ X^t_{F_{j_0}}|_{t=1}. &(6.36)
\end{aligned}$$

and $H_{j_0+1}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ has the following form

$$H_{j_0+1}=\check{N}+Z_{j_0+1}+P_{j_0+1}+Q_{j_0+1}. \tag{6.37}$$

Step 2. The solution of homological equation (6.31).

By passing to Fourier coefficients, (6.31) reads

$$\widehat{F_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}}(k;\xi)=\frac{\widehat{P_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}}(k;\xi)}{\sqrt{-1}(\langle k,\check{\omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\beta-\gamma,\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\mu-\nu,\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle)} \tag{6.38}$$

for

$$2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j_0+1,|\mu|+|\nu|\leq 2,|k|+|\beta-\gamma|+|\mu-\nu|\neq 0,$$

and otherwise

$$\widehat{F_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}}(k;\xi)=0.$$

Now we will estimate $|||X_{F_{j_0}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}'_{j_0}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}}$.

For each $\xi\in\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}$, the frequencies $\check{\omega}(\xi)$ and $\check{\Omega}(\xi)$ satisfy the $(\tilde{\eta},\mathscr{N},\mathscr{M})$-non-resonant conditions (6.1), i.e.

$$|\langle k,\check{\omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\beta-\gamma,\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\mu-\nu,\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle|\geq\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{4^{\mathscr{M}}(|k|+1)^{\tau}C(\mathscr{N},\beta-\gamma)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left(\langle k,\check{\omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\beta-\gamma,\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\mu-\nu,\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle\right)^{-1}\right|\\
\leq\ &\frac{4^{\mathscr{M}}}{\tilde{\eta}}(|k|+1)^{\tau}C(\mathscr{N},\beta-\gamma)\\
\leq\ &\frac{4^{\mathscr{M}}}{\tilde{\eta}}(|k|+1)^{\tau}\mathscr{N}^{(|\beta-\gamma|+4)^2}\qquad\text{(in view of (6.2))}\\
\leq\ &\frac{4^{\mathscr{M}}}{\tilde{\eta}}(|k|+1)^{\tau}\mathscr{N}^{(|\beta|+|\gamma|+4)^2}\\
\leq\ &\frac{4^{\mathscr{M}}}{\tilde{\eta}}(|k|+1)^{\tau}\mathscr{N}^{(j_0+5)^2}\qquad\text{(in view of }|\beta|+|\gamma|\leq j_0+1).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.39}$$

Moreover, in view of (2.17) in Assumption B (twist conditions)

$$\partial_{\xi_j}\omega_i(\xi)=\delta_{ji},\quad \partial_{\xi_j}\Omega_{j'}(\xi)=\delta_{j(n+j')},\qquad 1\leq i\leq n,\ j,j'\geq 1,$$

and the estimates (see (2.25) and (2.26))

$$||\check{\omega}(\xi)-\omega(\xi)||+\sup_{j\geq 1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\check{\omega}(\xi)-\omega(\xi))||\leq c\eta^8\varepsilon,$$

and

$$||\check{\Omega}(\xi)-\Omega(\xi)||+\sup_{j\geq 1}||\partial_{\xi_j}(\check{\Omega}(\xi)-\Omega(\xi))||\leq c\eta^8\varepsilon,$$

we have

$$\partial_{\xi_i}\check{\omega}_i(\xi)\geq 1-c\eta^8\varepsilon,\qquad \partial_{\xi_j}\check{\Omega}_{n+j}(\xi)\geq 1-c\eta^8\varepsilon,\tag{6.40}$$

$$|\partial_{\xi_j}\check{\omega}_i(\xi)|\leq c\eta^8\varepsilon,\qquad j\neq i,\tag{6.41}$$

and

$$|\partial_{\xi_j}\check{\Omega}_{n+j'}(\xi)|\leq c\eta^8\varepsilon,\qquad j\neq j'.\tag{6.42}$$

Then for each $j\geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\partial_{\xi_j}\left(\langle k,\check{\omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\beta-\gamma,\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\mu-\nu,\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle\right)\right|\\
\leq\ &(|k|+j_0+1)(c\eta^8\varepsilon+1)\qquad\text{(in view of the estimates (6.40)-(6.42))}\\
\leq\ &2(|k|+j_0+1)\\
\leq\ &2(|k|+\mathscr{M}+2)\qquad\text{(in view of }2\leq j_0\leq\mathscr{M}+1).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.43}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\partial_{\xi_j}\left(\langle k,\check{\omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\beta-\gamma,\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\mu-\nu,\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle\right)^{-1}\right|\\
=&\left|\frac{\partial_{\xi_j}\left(\langle k,\check{\omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\beta-\gamma,\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\mu-\nu,\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle\right)}{\left(\langle k,\check{\omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\beta-\gamma,\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\mu-\nu,\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle\right)^2}\right|\\
\leq&\frac{2\cdot 4^{2\mathscr{M}}}{\tilde{\eta}^2}(|k|+1)^{2\tau}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}(|k|+\mathscr{M}+2)\\
&\text{(based on the inequalities (6.39) and (6.43))}\\
\leq&\frac{2\cdot 4^{2\mathscr{M}}}{\tilde{\eta}^2}(\mathscr{M}+2)(|k|+1)^{2\tau+1}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2},
\end{aligned}\tag{6.44}$$

where the last inequality is based on $|k|+\mathscr{M}+2\leq(|k|+1)(\mathscr{M}+2)$. Then in view of the formula (6.38) and the inequalities (6.39) and (6.44), for each $j\geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\widehat{F_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{F_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}}(k;\xi)|\\
\preceq&\frac{(|k|+1)^{2\tau+1}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\left(|\widehat{P_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{P_{j_0}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}}(k;\xi)|\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.45}$$

Noting $\tau>n+3$ will be fixed and recalling $s'=s_0/(12\mathscr{M})$ (see (6.10)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|||X_{F_{j_0}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}'_{j_0}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}}\\
\preceq&\frac{\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}}{\tilde{\eta}^2}|||X_{P_{j_0(j_0+1)}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}}\\
&\text{(based on the inequality (6.45) and Theorem 4.3)}\\
\preceq&\frac{\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\cdot\rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-2}\quad\text{(based on the inequality (6.20) for } j=j_0+1)\\
=&\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.46}$$

Noting $\Phi_{j_0}=X^t_{F_{j_0}}|_{t=1}$ and basing on the inequality (6.46) and Theorem 4.5, we obtain

$$||\Phi_{j_0}-id||_{p,\mathscr{D}''_{j_0}}\preceq\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-1}.$$

Moreover,

$$|||D\Phi_{j_0}-Id|||_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}}\preceq\rho^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-1},$$

which follows the generalized Cauchy estimate in Lemma 8.7. We finish the proof of the inequalities (6.22) and (6.23).

Step 3. Estimate $|||X_{Z_{j_0+1}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}},|||X_{P_{j_0+1}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}}$ **and** $|||X_{Q_{j_0+1}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}}$**.**
Following the notation as (6.13), rewrite $Z_{j_0+1}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ as

$$Z_{j_0+1}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{3\leq j\leq j_0+1}Z_{(j_0+1)j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi),$$

where

$$Z_{(j_0+1)j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{2|\alpha|+2|\beta|+2|\mu|=j,|\mu|\le 1} Z_{j_0+1}^{\alpha\beta\beta\mu\mu}(\xi)y^{\alpha}\tilde{q}^{\beta}\bar{\tilde{q}}^{\beta}\hat{q}^{\mu}\bar{\hat{q}}^{\mu}.$$

In view of (6.13), (6.32) and (6.33), we have

$$Z_{(j_0+1)j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=Z_{j_0 j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi),\qquad 3\le j\le j_0 \tag{6.47}$$

and

$$Z_{(j_0+1)(j_0+1)}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\widehat{Z_{j_0}}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi). \tag{6.48}$$

For $3\le j\le j_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|||X_{Z_{(j_0+1)j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}}\\
\preceq\ & \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+4)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3} \qquad \text{(in view of the inequality (6.19))}\\
\le\ & \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.49}$$

When $j=j_0+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|||X_{Z_{(j_0+1)(j_0+1)}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}}\\
=\ & |||X_{\widehat{Z_{j_0}}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \qquad \text{(in view of (6.48))}\\
\le\ & |||X_{P_{j_0(j_0+1)}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \qquad \text{(in view of (6.32))}\\
\preceq\ & \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-2} \text{(in view of the inequality (6.20) for } j=j_0+1).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.50}$$

Based on the inequalities (6.49) and (6.50), we finish the proof of the inequality (6.24).

To obtain the estimates (6.25) and (6.26), we will estimate the p-tame norm of the terms (6.34)-(6.36) respectively.

Firstly we consider the term (6.34). Let

$$\check{N}^{(0)}=\check{N}\circ X^t_{F_{j_0}}|_{t=0}=\check{N}, \tag{6.51}$$

and

$$\check{N}^{(j)}=\{\check{N}^{(j-1)},F_{j_0}\},\qquad j\ge 1. \tag{6.52}$$

Using Taylor formula again, we have

$$\int_0^1(1-t)\{\{\check{N},F_{j_0}\},F_{j_0}\}\circ X^t_{F_{j_0}}dt=\sum_{j\ge 2}\frac{1}{j!}\check{N}^{(j)}. \tag{6.53}$$

Moreover, note that $\check{N}^{(j)}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ has the following form

$$\check{N}^{(j)}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j(j_0+1)+2-2j}\check{N}^{(j)\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x,\xi)y^{\alpha}\tilde{q}^{\beta}\bar{\tilde{q}}^{\gamma}\hat{q}^{\mu}\bar{\hat{q}}^{\nu}. \tag{6.54}$$

In view of the homological equation (6.31)

$$\{\check{N},F_{j_0}\}+P_{j_0(j_0+1)}=\widehat{Z_{j_0}},$$

and the formulas (6.51) and (6.52), we have

$$\check{N}^{(1)} = -P_{j_0(j_0+1)} + \widehat{Z_{j_0}}. \tag{6.55}$$

In view of the inequality (6.20) for $j = j_0+1$ and the formula (6.32),

$$|||X_{\check{N}^{(1)}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \le |||X_{P_{j_0(j_0+1)}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-2}. \tag{6.56}$$

For $j \ge 2$, let

$$s_j = \frac{s_0}{4j\mathscr{M}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \rho_j = \frac{\rho}{2j\mathscr{M}}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{j!}|||X_{\check{N}^{(j)}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \\
\le\ & \frac{1}{j!}\left(\left(4C\max\left\{\frac{4j\mathscr{M}}{s_0}, 10j\mathscr{M}\right\}\right)^{j-1}\left(|||X_{\check{N}^{(1)}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}}\right)\left(|||X_{F_{j_0}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0'}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}}\right)^{j-1}\right) \\
&\text{(based on Theorem 4.1)} \\
\preceq\ & \frac{j^{j-1}}{j!}\left(\rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-2}\right)\left(C_1\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-1}\right)^{j-1} \\
&\text{(based on the inequalities (6.46) and (6.56),} \\
&\text{and } C_1 > 0 \text{ is a constant depending on } s_0 \text{ and } \mathscr{M}) \\
\le\ & \frac{e}{j}\left(\rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-2}\right)\left(\tilde{c}e\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-1}\right)^{j-1} \\
&\text{(using the inequality } j^j < j!e^j) \\
\le\ & \frac{e}{j}\left(\rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+5)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-2}\right)\left(\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+6)^2}\rho\right)^{j_0-1}\right)^{j-1} \\
&\text{(noting } \mathscr{N} \text{ is large depending on } s_0, r_0, n \text{ and } \mathscr{M}) \\
\preceq\ & \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+6)^2}\rho\right)^{j(j_0+1)-1-2j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.57}$$

In view of (6.54), note that the index $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\mu,\nu$ of $\check{N}^{(j)}$ satisfy

$$2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu| = j(j_0+1)+2-2j \ge j_0+2 \text{ (since } j, j_0 \ge 2)$$

and

$$j(j_0+1)-1-2j = (j(j_0+1)+2-2j)-3.$$

Then in view of (6.53) and the inequality (6.57), we finish the estimate of p-tame norm of the term (6.34).

Next, we will give the estimate of p-tame norm of the term (6.35). Let

$$W_i = Z_{j_0 i}, \qquad \text{for } 3 \le i \le j_0,$$

and

$$W_{j_0+1} = P_{j_0(j_0+1)}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{3\le i\le j_0+1} W_i = P_{j_0(j_0+1)} + Z_{j_0}. \tag{6.58}$$

Let

$$W_i^{(0)} = W_i \circ X_{F_{j_0}}^t|_{t=0} = W_i,$$

and

$$W_i^{(j)} = \{W_i^{(j-1)}, F_{j_0}\}, \qquad j \ge 1.$$

In view of (6.58) and using Taylor formula, we have

$$\int_0^1 \{P_{j_0(j_0+1)} + Z_{j_0}, F_{j_0}\} \circ X_{F_{j_0}}^t dt = \sum_{3\le i\le j_0+1} \left(\sum_{j\ge 1} \frac{1}{j!} W_i^{(j)} \right). \tag{6.59}$$

Note that $W_i^{(j)}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ has the following form

$$W_i^{(j)}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j(j_0+1)+i-2j} W_i^{(j)\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x,\xi) y^\alpha \hat{q}^\beta \bar{\hat{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu. \tag{6.60}$$

Using the proof of (6.57) and in view of (6.19) and (6.20), we have

$$\frac{1}{j!} |||X_{W_i^{(j)}}|||_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}}^T \preceq \rho \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2} \mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+6)^2} \rho \right)^{(j(j_0+1)-i-2j)-3}. \tag{6.61}$$

In view of (6.60), note that the indices $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\mu,\nu$ of $W_i^{(j)}$ satisfy

$$2|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| + |\mu| + |\nu| = j(j_0+1) + i - 2j \ge j_0 + 2,$$

since $j \ge 1, j_0 \ge 2, i \ge 3$. Then in view of (6.59) and the inequality (6.61), we finish the estimate of p-tame norm of the term (6.35).

Finally, we will give the estimate of p-tame norm of the term (6.36). Let

$$U_i = P_{j_0 i}, \qquad \text{for } i \ge j_0 + 2,$$

and

$$V_i = Q_{j_0 i}, \qquad \text{for } i \ge 3.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i\ge j_0+2} U_i + \sum_{i\ge 3} V_i = P_{j_0} - P_{j_0(j_0+1)} + Q_{j_0}. \tag{6.62}$$

For simplicity, denote $T_i = U_i$ or V_i. Let

$$T_i^{(0)} = T_i \circ X_{F_{j_0}}^t|_{t=0} = T_i,$$

and

$$T_i^{(j)} = \{T_i^{(j-1)}, F_{j_0}\}, \qquad j \ge 1.$$

Using Taylor formula again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(P_{j_0}-P_{j_0(j_0+1)}+Q_{j_0}\right)\circ X^t_{F_{j_0}}|_{t=1}\\
=\;& P_{j_0}-P_{j_0(j_0+1)}+Q_{j_0}+\sum_{i\geq j_0+2}\left(\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{1}{j!}U_i^{(j)}\right)+\sum_{i\geq 3}\left(\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{1}{j!}V_i^{(j)}\right). \qquad (6.63)
\end{aligned}$$

For $j\geq 1$, note that $T_i^{(j)}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ has the following form

$$T_i^{(j)}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j(j_0+1)+i-2j} T_i^{(j)\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x,\xi)y^\alpha\tilde{q}^\beta\bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma\hat{q}^\mu\bar{\hat{q}}^\nu. \qquad (6.64)$$

Then basing on the inequalities (6.20) and (6.21), and following the proof of the inequalities (6.57) again, we have

$$\frac{1}{j!}|||X_{T_i^{(j)}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0+1}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}}\preceq\rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+6)^2}\rho\right)^{(j(j_0+1)-i-2j)-3}. \qquad (6.65)$$

In view of (6.64), note that the index $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\mu,\nu$ of $T_i^{(j)}$ satisfy

$$2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j(j_0+1)+i-2j\geq j_0+2,$$

since $j\geq 1, j_0\geq 2, i\geq 3$. Then in view of (6.63) and the inequality (6.65), we finish the estimate of p-tame norm of the term (6.36). Hence in view of the inequalities (6.57), (6.61) and (6.65), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|||X_{P_{(j_0+1)j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} &\preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+6)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3},\\
|||X_{Q_{(j_0+1)j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{j_0}\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} &\preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(j_0+6)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we finish the proof of Lemma 6.2. □

Proof of Theorem 6.1.

Proof. By Lemma 6.2, we will finish the proof of Theorem 6.1. By Theorem 2.8, we obtain a normal form of order 2 around KAM tori, which is

$$\check{H}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)+\check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),$$

where

$$\check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{j=1}^n\check{\omega}_j(\xi)y_j+\sum_{j\geq 1}\check{\Omega}_j(\xi)q_j\bar{q}_j$$

and

$$\check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\geq 3}\check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi)y^\alpha q^\beta\bar{q}^\gamma.$$

Then following the notations in Lemma 6.2, denote

$$H_2(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)=\check{H}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$$

and $\check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ can be rewritten as

$$\check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = Z_2(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + P_2(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + Q_2(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \tag{6.66}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{3\le j\le 2} Z_{2j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = 0, \\ P_2(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{j\ge 3} P_{2j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \\ Q_2(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{j\ge 3} Q_{2j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \end{aligned} \tag{6.67}$$

and

$$Z_{2j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{2|\alpha|+2|\beta|+2|\mu|=j,|\mu|\le 1} Z_2^{\alpha\beta\beta\mu\mu}(\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\beta \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\mu,$$

$$P_{2j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j,|\mu|+|\nu|\le 2} P_2^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu, \tag{6.68}$$

$$Q_{2j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j,|\mu|+|\nu|\ge 3} Q_2^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu. \tag{6.69}$$

Denote

$$W_j(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = P_{2j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) \quad \text{or} \quad Q_{2j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \qquad j \ge 3.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |||X_{W_j}|||^T_{p,D(s_0/2,r_0/2,r_0/2)\times\Pi_\eta} \\ \le\ & |||X_{\check{R}}|||^T_{p,D(s_0/2,r_0/2,r_0/2)\times\Pi_\eta} \\ \le\ & \varepsilon(1+c\eta^6\varepsilon) \qquad\qquad \text{(by (2.27))}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by replacing $r_0/2$ with 5ρ, we obtain

$$|||X_{W_j}|||^T_{p,D(s_0/2,5\rho,5\rho)\times\Pi_\eta} \le \varepsilon(1+c\eta^6\varepsilon)\left(\frac{10\rho}{r_0}\right)^{j-2}. \tag{6.70}$$

Moreover, in view of the inequality (6.6) (i.e. noting $\mathscr{N}$ is large depending on s_0, r_0, n and $\mathscr{M}$) and $0<\tilde{\eta}<1$,

$$|||X_{W_j}|||^T_{p,D(s_0/2,5\rho,5\rho)\times\Pi_\eta} \le \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}\rho\right)^{j-3}. \tag{6.71}$$

In view of the formula (6.67) and the inequality (6.71), the assumptions (6.19)-(6.21) in Lemma 6.2 hold for $j_0 = 2$.

Let

$$\Phi = \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_3 \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{\mathscr{M}+1}. \tag{6.72}$$

Then, based on Lemma 6.2, $\check{\check{H}} := \check{H} \circ \Phi$ has the form of

$$\check{\check{H}}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + Z_{\mathscr{M}+2}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + P_{\mathscr{M}+2}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + Q_{\mathscr{M}+2}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathscr{M}+2}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{3\leq j\leq \mathscr{M}+2} Z_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \\ P_{\mathscr{M}+2}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{j\geq \mathscr{M}+3} P_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \\ Q_{\mathscr{M}+2}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{j\geq 3} Q_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{2|\alpha|+2|\beta|+2|\mu|=j,|\mu|\leq 1} Z^{\alpha\beta\beta\mu\mu}_{\mathscr{M}+2}(\xi) y^{\alpha}\tilde{q}^{\beta}\bar{\tilde{q}}^{\beta}\hat{q}^{\mu}\bar{\hat{q}}^{\mu}, \\ P_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j,|\mu|+|\nu|\leq 2} P^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}_{\mathscr{M}+2}(x;\xi) y^{\alpha}\tilde{q}^{\beta}\bar{\tilde{q}}^{\gamma}\hat{q}^{\mu}\bar{\hat{q}}^{\nu}, \\ Q_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) &= \sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|=j,|\mu|+|\nu|\geq 3} Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}_{\mathscr{M}+2}(x;\xi) y^{\alpha}\tilde{q}^{\beta}\bar{\tilde{q}}^{\gamma}\hat{q}^{\mu}\bar{\hat{q}}^{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the following estimates hold:

$$|||X_{Z_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}}|||^{T}_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+6)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3}, \tag{6.73}$$

$$|||X_{P_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}}|||^{T}_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3}, \tag{6.74}$$

$$|||X_{Q_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}}|||^{T}_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3}. \tag{6.75}$$

In view of the inequality (6.6), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2}\rho < \frac{1}{2}. \tag{6.76}$$

Therefore, recalling the definition of Φ (see (6.72)) and by the inequalities (6.22) for $j_0 \geq 3$, we have

$$||\Phi - id||_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)} \preceq \frac{\mathscr{N}^{98}\rho}{\tilde{\eta}^2}. \tag{6.77}$$

Moreover, in view of the inequalities (6.23) for $j_0 \geq 3$, we obtain

$$|||D\Phi - Id|||_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)} \preceq \frac{\mathscr{N}^{98}}{\tilde{\eta}^2}. \tag{6.78}$$

Finally, let

$$Z = Z_{\mathscr{M}+2}, \quad P = P_{\mathscr{M}+2}, \quad Q = Q_{\mathscr{M}+2}.$$

Basing on the estimates (6.73)-(6.75), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|||X_Z|||^T_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)\times\Pi_{\tilde\eta}} &\le \sum_{3\le j\le\mathscr{M}+2} |||X_{Z_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{M}+2}\times\Pi_{\tilde\eta}} \\
&= \sum_{4\le j\le\mathscr{M}+2} |||X_{Z_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{M}+2}\times\Pi_{\tilde\eta}} \qquad (\text{noting } Z_{(\mathscr{M}+2)3}=0) \\
&\preceq \sum_{j=4}^{\mathscr{M}+2} \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde\eta^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+6)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3} \\
&\preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde\eta^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+6)^2}\rho\right) \qquad (\text{by (6.76)}), \\
|||X_P|||^T_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)\times\Pi_{\tilde\eta}} &\le \sum_{j\ge\mathscr{M}+3} |||X_{P_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{M}+2}\times\Pi_{\tilde\eta}} \\
&\preceq \sum_{j\ge\mathscr{M}+3} \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde\eta^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3} \\
&\preceq \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde\eta^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2}\rho\right)^{\mathscr{M}} \qquad (\text{by (6.76)}), \\
|||X_Q|||^T_{p,D(s_0/4,4\rho,4\rho)\times\Pi_{\tilde\eta}} &\le \sum_{j\ge3} |||X_{Q_{(\mathscr{M}+2)j}}|||^T_{p,\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{M}+2}\times\Pi_{\tilde\eta}} \\
&\preceq \sum_{j\ge3} \rho\left(\frac{1}{\tilde\eta^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2}\rho\right)^{j-3} \\
&\preceq \rho \qquad (\text{by (6.76)}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

6.2 The measure of the set $\Pi_{\tilde\eta}$ satisfying $(\tilde\eta,\mathscr{N},\mathscr{M})$-non-resonant conditions

In this subsection, we will show

$$Meas\,\Pi_{\tilde\eta} \ge (Meas\,\Pi_\eta)(1-c\tilde\eta), \tag{6.79}$$

where $c>0$ is a constant depending on n.

Firstly, we will estimate the measure of the resonant sets $\mathscr{R}_{k\tilde l\hat l}$.

Case 1.

For $|k|\neq0$, without loss of generality, we assume

$$|k_1| = \max_{1\le i\le n}\{|k_1|,\dots,|k_n|\}. \tag{6.80}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\partial_{\xi_1}(\langle k,\breve{\omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\tilde{l},\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\hat{l},\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle)|\\
\geq\ & |k_1||\partial_{\xi_1}\breve{\omega}_1(\xi)|-|\partial_{\xi_1}(\sum_{i=2}^{n}k_i\breve{\omega}_i(\xi)+\langle\tilde{l},\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\hat{l},\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle)|\\
\geq\ & |k_1|(1-c\eta^8\varepsilon)-(\sum_{i=2}^{n}|k_i|+|\tilde{l}|+|\hat{l}|)c\eta^8\varepsilon \qquad \text{(in view of the inequalities (6.40)-(6.42))}\\
\geq\ & |k_1|-(|k|+\mathscr{M}+2)c\eta^8\varepsilon \qquad \text{(in view of } |\tilde{l}|+|\hat{l}|\leq\mathscr{M}+2)\\
\geq\ & \frac{1}{4}|k_1| \qquad\qquad \text{(by (6.80) and } \mathscr{M}\leq(2c\eta^8\varepsilon)^{-1})\\
\geq\ & \frac{1}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$Meas\,\mathscr{R}_{k\tilde{l}\hat{l}}\leq\frac{4\tilde{\eta}}{4^{\mathscr{M}}(|k|+1)^{\tau}C(\mathscr{N},\tilde{l})}\cdot Meas\,\Pi_{\eta}. \tag{6.81}$$

Case 2.
If $|k|=0$ and $|\tilde{l}|\neq 0$, without loss of generality, we assume

$$|\tilde{l}_1|=\max_{1\leq j\leq\mathscr{N}}\{|\tilde{l}_1|,\ldots,|\tilde{l}_{\mathscr{N}}|\}. \tag{6.82}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\partial_{\xi_{n+1}}(\langle k,\breve{\omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\tilde{l},\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\hat{l},\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle)|\\
\geq\ & |\tilde{l}_1||\partial_{\xi_{n+1}}\tilde{\Omega}_1(\xi)|-|\partial_{\xi_{n+1}}(\langle\tilde{l},\tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle+\langle\hat{l},\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle-\tilde{l}_1\tilde{\Omega}_1(\xi))|\\
\geq\ & |\tilde{l}_1|(1-c\eta^8\varepsilon)-(\sum_{i=2}^{\mathscr{N}}|\tilde{l}_i|+|\hat{l}|)c\eta^8\varepsilon \qquad \text{(in view of the inequalities (6.40)-(6.42))}\\
\geq\ & |\tilde{l}_1|-(\mathscr{M}+2)c\eta^8\varepsilon \qquad\qquad \text{(in view of } |\tilde{l}|+|\hat{l}|\leq\mathscr{M}+2)\\
\geq\ & \frac{1}{4}|\tilde{l}_1| \qquad\qquad\qquad \text{(in view of } \mathscr{M}\leq(2c\eta^8\varepsilon)^{-1})\\
\geq\ & \frac{1}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$Meas\,\mathscr{R}_{0\tilde{l}\hat{l}}\leq\frac{4\tilde{\eta}}{4^{\mathscr{M}}C(\mathscr{N},\tilde{l})}\cdot Meas\,\Pi_{\eta}. \tag{6.83}$$

Case 3.
If $|k|=0,|\tilde{l}|=0$ and $1\leq|\hat{l}|\leq 2$, then it is easy to see that $|\langle\hat{l},\hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle|$ is not small, i.e.

$$\text{the sets } \mathscr{R}_{k\tilde{l}\hat{l}} \text{ are empty for } |k|=0,|\tilde{l}|=0 \text{ and } 1\leq|\hat{l}|\leq 2. \tag{6.84}$$

Recall

$$\mathscr{R}=\bigcup_{|k|+|\tilde{l}|+|\hat{l}|\neq 0,|\tilde{l}|+|\hat{l}|\leq\mathscr{M}+2,|\hat{l}|\leq 2}\mathscr{R}_{k\tilde{l}\hat{l}} \qquad \text{(see (6.4))}.$$

In view of the estimates (6.81), (6.83) and (6.84), to estimate the measure of the resonant set $\mathscr{R}$, we just need to count the number of the non-resonant sets $\mathscr{R}_{k\tilde{l}\hat{l}}$. More precisely, for fixed $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, we will show that the number of the sets $\mathscr{R}_{k\tilde{l}\hat{l}}$ is finite.

In view of (2.15) in Assumption A

$$|\Omega_i - \Omega_j| \geq c_1|i-j|(i+j),$$

and the estimates (6.40)-(6.42), then for $i \neq j$, we have

$$|\breve{\Omega}_i - \breve{\Omega}_j| \geq c_1|i-j|(i+j) - 2c\eta^8\varepsilon \geq \frac{c_1}{2}|i-j||i+j|. \tag{6.85}$$

For $|\hat{l}| = 1$,

$$|\langle \hat{l}, \hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle| = |\breve{\Omega}_i(\xi)|, \qquad \text{for some } i \geq \mathscr{N}+1.$$

Then in view of the inequality (6.85),

$$|\langle \hat{l}, \hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle| \geq \frac{c_1}{2}|i|^2 \geq \frac{c_1}{2}|i|. \tag{6.86}$$

For $|\hat{l}| = 2$,

$$|\langle \hat{l}, \hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle| = |\pm\breve{\Omega}_i(\xi) \pm \breve{\Omega}_j(\xi)|, \qquad \text{for some } i, j \geq \mathscr{N}+1,$$

then in view of the inequality (6.85) again,

$$|\langle \hat{l}, \hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle| \geq \frac{c_1}{2}|i-j|(i+j) \geq \frac{c_1}{2}\max\{i,j\}. \tag{6.87}$$

If

$$\max\{i,j\} \geq \frac{4}{c_1}\left((|k|+1)(||\omega(\xi)||+1) + c_2 j_0 \mathscr{N}^2 + 1\right),$$

where the constant c_2 is given in (2.16), then in view of the inequalities (6.86) and (6.87), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \hat{l}, \hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle| &\geq \frac{c_1}{2}\left(\frac{4}{c_1}\left((|k|+1)(||\omega(\xi)||+1) + c_2 j_0 \mathscr{N}^2 + 1\right)\right) \\ &= 2(|k|+1)(||\omega(\xi)||+1) + 2c_2 j_0 \mathscr{N}^2 + 2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.88}$$

Then for $|\tilde{l}| + |\hat{l}| = j_0$ and $1 \leq |\hat{l}| \leq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|\langle k, \breve{\omega}(\xi)\rangle + \langle \tilde{l}, \tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle + \langle \hat{l}, \hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle\right| \\ \geq\ & |\langle \hat{l}, \hat{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle| - |\langle k, \breve{\omega}(\xi)\rangle + \langle \tilde{l}, \tilde{\Omega}(\xi)\rangle| \\ \geq\ & 2(|k|+1)(||\omega(\xi)||+1) + 2c_2 j_0 \mathscr{N}^2 + 2 - (1 + c\eta^8\varepsilon)(|k|||\omega(\xi)|| + c_2|\tilde{l}|\mathscr{N}^2) \\ & \text{(in view of the estimates (6.88), (2.16), (2.25) and (2.26))} \\ \geq\ & 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is not small.

Then the number of the non-empty non-resonant sets $\mathscr{R}_{k\tilde{l}\hat{l}}$ is less than

$$A := (2\mathscr{N}+1)^{|\tilde{l}|}\left(\frac{4}{c_1}\left((|k|+1)(||\omega(\xi)||+1) + c_2 j_0 \mathscr{N}^2 + 1\right) + 1\right)^2. \tag{6.89}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} Meas\, \mathscr{R} &\leq \sum_{|k|+|\tilde{l}|+|\hat{l}|\neq 0, |\tilde{l}|+|\hat{l}|\leq \mathscr{M}+2, |\hat{l}|\leq 2} Meas\, \mathscr{R}_{k\tilde{l}\hat{l}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |\tilde{l}|\leq \mathscr{M}+2} \frac{4\tilde{\eta}A}{4^{\mathscr{M}}(|k|+1)^{\tau}C(\mathscr{N},\tilde{l})} \cdot Meas\, \Pi_\eta \\ &\leq c\tilde{\eta} \cdot Meas\, \Pi_\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant depending on c_1, c_2 and n. This finishes the proof.

6.3 Proof of Theorem 2.10

Proof. Based on Theorem 6.1, for given positive integer $0 \le \mathscr{M} \le (2\eta^8\varepsilon)^{-1}$ and $0 < \tilde{\eta} < 1$, there exsists a small $\delta_0 > 0$ depending on $s_0, r_0, n, \tilde{\eta}$ and $\mathscr{M}$, such that, for each $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, $\xi \in \Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}$ and the positive integer $\mathscr{N}$ satisfying

$$\delta^{-\frac{\mathscr{M}+1}{p-1}} \le \mathscr{N} + 1 < \delta^{-\frac{\mathscr{M}+1}{p-1}} + 1, \tag{6.90}$$

there is a symplectic map

$$\Phi : D(s_0/4, 4\delta, 4\delta) \to D(s_0/2, 5\delta, 5\delta),$$

where

$$\breve{\breve{H}}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) := \breve{H} \circ \Phi = \breve{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + Z(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + P(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + Q(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$$

is a partial normal form of order $\mathscr{M}+2$, where

$$Z(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) \quad = \sum_{4\le 2|\alpha|+2|\beta|+2|\mu|\le \mathscr{M}+2, |\mu|\le 1} Z^{\alpha\beta\beta\mu\mu}(\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\beta \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\mu$$

is the integrable term depending only on variables y and $I_j = |q_j|^2, j \ge 1$,

$$P(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) \quad = \sum_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\mu|+|\nu|\ge \mathscr{M}+3, |\mu|+|\nu|\le 2} P^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu,$$

and

$$Q(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|\ge 3} Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi) y^\alpha \tilde{q}^\beta \bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma \hat{q}^\mu \bar{\hat{q}}^\nu.$$

Moreover, the following estimates hold:
(1) the symplectic map Φ satisfies

$$||\Phi - id||_{p, D(s_0/4, 4\delta, 4\delta)} \le \frac{c\mathscr{N}^{98}\delta}{\tilde{\eta}^2},$$

and

$$|||D\Phi - Id|||_{p, D(s_0/4, 4\delta, 4\delta)} \le \frac{c\mathscr{N}^{98}}{\tilde{\eta}^2};$$

(2) the Hamiltonian vector fields X_Z, X_P and X_Q satisfy

$$|||X_Z|||^T_{p, D(s_0/4, 4\delta, 4\delta)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \le c\delta\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+6)^2}\delta\right),$$

$$|||X_P|||^T_{p, D(s_0/4, 4\delta, 4\delta)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \le c\delta\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2}\delta\right)^{\mathscr{M}}, \tag{6.91}$$

and

$$|||X_Q|||^T_{p, D(s_0/4, 4\delta, 4\delta)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \le c\delta, \tag{6.92}$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant depending on s_0, r_0, n and $\mathscr{M}$. Moreover, , the frequency $\breve{\omega}(\xi)$ and $\breve{\Omega}(\xi)$ satisfy $(\tilde{\eta}, \mathscr{N}, \mathscr{M})$-non-resonant conditions.

By a direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}
& c\delta\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2}\delta\right)^{\mathscr{M}} \\
= \;& \delta^{\mathscr{M}+1}\left(\frac{c\mathscr{N}^{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2\mathscr{M}}}{\tilde{\eta}^{2\mathscr{M}}}\right) \\
\leq \;& \delta^{\mathscr{M}+1}\left(\frac{c}{\tilde{\eta}^{2\mathscr{M}}}\delta^{-\frac{2(\mathscr{M}+7)^2\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{M}+1)}{p-1}}\right) \qquad \text{(in view of the inequality (6.90))} \\
\leq \;& \delta^{\mathscr{M}+1}\left(\frac{c}{\tilde{\eta}^{2\mathscr{M}}}\delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right) \qquad \text{(in view of } p \geq 8(\mathscr{M}+7)^4+1) \\
\leq \;& \delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{5}{8}} \qquad \text{(by assuming } \delta \text{ is very small).}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.93}$$

In view of the inequalities (6.91) and (6.93), we have

$$|||X_P|||^T_{p,D(s_0/4,4\delta,4\delta)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \leq \delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.94}$$

Moreover, by the inequalities (4.51) and (6.94), we obtain

$$|||X_P|||_{\mathscr{P}^p,D(s_0/4,4\delta,4\delta)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \leq \delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.95}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
||\hat{z}||_1 \;&=\; \sqrt{\sum_{|j|\geq\mathscr{N}+1}|z_j|^2 j^2} \\
&=\; \sqrt{\sum_{|j|\geq\mathscr{N}+1}|z_j|^2 j^{2p}/\, j^{2(p-1)}} \\
&\leq\; \frac{||\hat{z}||_p}{(\mathscr{N}+1)^{p-1}} \\
&\leq\; \delta^{\mathscr{M}+1}||\hat{z}||_p \qquad \text{(in view of the inequality (6.90)).}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.96}$$

Then we have the following estimate

$$|||X_Q|||_{\mathscr{P}^p,D(s_0/4,4\delta,4\delta)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \leq \delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{6.97}$$

where we use that $Q(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ has the form of

$$Q(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|\geq 3} Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu}(x;\xi)y^\alpha\tilde{q}^\beta\bar{\tilde{q}}^\gamma\hat{q}^\mu\bar{\hat{q}}^\nu$$

and has p-tame property on the domain $D(s_0/4,4\delta,4\delta)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}$, and use the inequalities (6.92) and (6.96).

Assume $\breve{w}(t)$ is a solution of Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\breve{H}}$ with the initial datum

$$\breve{w}(0) = (\breve{w}_x(0),\breve{w}_y(0),\breve{w}_q(0),\breve{w}_{\bar{q}}(0)) \in D(s_0/8,4\delta,4\delta)$$

satisfying

$$d_p(\breve{w}(0),\mathscr{T}_0) \leq \delta.$$

Noting $\mathscr{T}_0 = \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n \times \{y=0\} \times \{q=0\} \times \{\bar{q}=0\}$ and in view of (2.28) and (2.29), we have

$$\frac{1}{4\delta}||\overset{\circ}{w}_y(0)|| + ||\overset{\circ}{w}_q(0)||_p + ||\overset{\circ}{w}_{\bar{q}}(0)||_p \leq \delta. \tag{6.98}$$

Firstly, we will estimate $||\overset{\circ}{w}_q(t)||_p$ and $||\overset{\circ}{w}_{\bar{q}}(t)||_p$. For each $(x,y,q,\bar{q}) \in \mathscr{P}^p$, denote

$$\widetilde{N}(x,y,q,\bar{q}) := ||q||_p^2 = \sum_{j\geq 1} |q_j|^2 |j|^{2p}.$$

In view of the inequality (6.98), we have $\widetilde{N}(\overset{\circ}{w}(0)) \leq \delta^2$. Define

$$T := \inf\left\{|t| : \widetilde{N}(\overset{\circ}{w}(t)) > 4\delta^2\right\},$$

and then $\widetilde{N}(\overset{\circ}{w}(t)) \leq 4\delta^2$ for all $|t| \leq T$. Specially, we have

$$\widetilde{N}(\overset{\circ}{w}(t)) = 4\delta^2, \qquad \text{for } t = T \text{ or } t = -T. \tag{6.99}$$

Without loss of generality, assume

$$\widetilde{N}(\overset{\circ}{w}(T)) = 4\delta^2. \tag{6.100}$$

Now we are position to show that $T > \delta^{-\mathscr{M}}$. For each $(x,y,q,\bar{q}) \in D(s_0/8, 4\delta, 4\delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left\{P, \widetilde{N}\right\}(x,y,q,\bar{q})\right| \\
=\ &\left|\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j\geq 1}(P_{q_j}\widetilde{N}_{\bar{q}_j} - P_{\bar{q}_j}\widetilde{N}_{q_j})\right| \\
\leq\ &(||P_q||_p + ||P_{\bar{q}}||_p)\,||q||_p \\
\leq\ &4\delta |||X_P|||_{\mathscr{P}^p, D(s_0/8,4\delta,4\delta)\times\Pi_\eta}||q||_p \\
\leq\ &16\delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{5}{2}} \qquad \text{(in view of the inequality (6.95) and } ||q||_p \leq 4\delta),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.101}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left\{Q, \widetilde{N}\right\}(x,y,q,\bar{q})\right| \\
=\ &\left|\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j\geq 1}(Q_{q_j}\widetilde{N}_{\bar{q}_j} - Q_{\bar{q}_j}\widetilde{N}_{q_j})\right| \\
\leq\ &(||Q_q||_p + ||Q_{\bar{q}}||_p)\,||q||_p \\
\leq\ &4\delta |||X_Q|||_{\mathscr{P}^p, D(s_0/8,4\delta,4\delta)\times\Pi_\eta}||q||_p \\
\leq\ &16\delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{5}{2}} \qquad \text{(in view of the inequality (6.97) and } ||q||_p \leq 4\delta).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.102}$$

Since $\widetilde{N}(\breve{\breve{w}}(t)) \le 4\delta^2$ for all $|t| \le T$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left|\widetilde{N}(\breve{\breve{w}}(t)) - \widetilde{N}(\breve{\breve{w}}(0))\right| \\
= & \left|\int_0^t \left\{\breve{\breve{H}}, \widetilde{N}\right\}(\breve{\breve{w}}(s))ds\right| \\
= & \left|\int_0^t \left\{P+Q, \widetilde{N}\right\}(\breve{\breve{w}}(s))ds\right| \qquad \text{(noting } \{\breve{N}+Z, \widetilde{N}\} = 0) \\
\le & \int_0^t \left|\left\{P+Q, \widetilde{N}\right\}(\breve{\breve{w}}(s))\right| ds \\
\le & 32\delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{5}{2}}|t| \qquad \text{(based on the inequalities (6.101) and (6.102))} \\
\le & 32\delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{5}{2}}T. \qquad (6.103)
\end{aligned}$$

Assume by contradiction that $T \le \delta^{-\mathscr{M}}$, and then

$$\begin{aligned}
4\delta^2 &= \widetilde{N}(\breve{\breve{w}}(T)) \\
&\le \widetilde{N}(\breve{\breve{w}}(0)) + |\widetilde{N}(\breve{\breve{w}}(T)) - \widetilde{N}(\breve{\breve{w}}(0))| \\
&\le \delta^2 + 32\delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{5}{2}}\delta^{-\mathscr{M}} \\
&< 2\delta^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

Secondly, we will estimate $||\breve{\breve{w}}_y(t)||$. For $1 \le j \le n$, let

$$\widetilde{Y}_j(x,y,q,\overline{q}) := y_j.$$

Then in view of the inequality (6.98), we have $|\widetilde{Y}_j(\breve{\breve{w}}(0))| \le 4\delta^2$. Define

$$T_j := \inf\left\{|t| : |\widetilde{Y}_j(\breve{\breve{w}}(t))| > 8\delta^2\right\},$$

and then $|\widetilde{Y}_j(\breve{\breve{w}}(t))| \le 8\delta^2$ for all $|t| \le T_j$. Specially, we have

$$|\widetilde{Y}_j(\breve{\breve{w}}(t))| = 8\delta^2, \qquad \text{for } t = T_j \text{ or } t = -T_j. \qquad (6.104)$$

Without loss of generality, assume

$$|\widetilde{Y}_j(\breve{\breve{w}}(T_j))| = 8\delta^2. \qquad (6.105)$$

Now, we will show that $T_j > \delta^{-\mathscr{M}}$. For each $(x,y,q,\overline{q}) \in D(s_0/8, 4\delta, 4\delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\{P, \widetilde{Y}_j\right\}(x,y,q,\overline{q})\right| \\
= & \left|\sum_{1\le i\le n} P_{x_i}\widetilde{Y}_{jy_i}\right| \\
\le & ||P_x|| \\
\le & 16\delta^2 |||X_P|||_{\mathscr{P}^p, D(s_0/8,4\delta,4\delta)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \\
\le & 16\delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{5}{2}} \qquad \text{(in view of the inequality (6.95))}, \qquad (6.106)
\end{aligned}$$

abd

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left\{Q,\widetilde{Y}_j\right\}(x,y,q,\overline{q})\right| \\
=\;& \left|\sum_{1\le i\le n} Q_{x_i}\widetilde{Y}_{jy_i}\right| \\
\le\;& ||Q_x|| \\
\le\;& 16\delta^2|||X_Q|||_{\mathscr{P}^p,D(s_0/8,4\delta,4\delta)\times\Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}} \\
\le\;& 16\delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{5}{2}} \qquad \text{(in view of the inequality (6.97)).}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.107}$$

In view of $\widetilde{Y}_j(\breve{\tilde{w}}(t)) \le 4\delta^2$ for all $|t| \le T_j$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\widetilde{Y}_j(\breve{\tilde{w}}(t)) - \widetilde{Y}_j(\breve{\tilde{w}}(0))\right| \\
=\;& \left|\int_0^t \left\{\breve{\tilde{H}},\widetilde{Y}_j\right\}(\breve{\tilde{w}}(s))ds\right| \\
=\;& \left|\int_0^t \left\{P+Q,\widetilde{Y}_j\right\}(\breve{\tilde{w}}(s))ds\right| \\
\le\;& \int_0^t \left|\left\{P+Q,\widetilde{Y}_j\right\}(\breve{\tilde{w}}(s))\right| ds \\
\le\;& 32\delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{5}{2}}|t| \qquad \text{(by (6.106) and (6.107))} \\
\le\;& 32\delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{5}{2}}T.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.108}$$

Assume by contradiction that $T_j \le \delta^{-\mathscr{M}}$, and then

$$\begin{aligned}
8\delta^2 &= \widetilde{Y}(\breve{\tilde{w}}(T_j)) \\
&\le \widetilde{Y}_j(\breve{\tilde{w}}(0)) + |\widetilde{Y}_j(\breve{\tilde{w}}(T_j)) - \widetilde{Y}_j(\breve{\tilde{w}}(0))| \\
&\le 4\delta^2 + 32\delta^{\mathscr{M}+\frac{5}{2}}T \\
&< 5\delta^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

Hence, for all $|t| \le \delta^{-\mathscr{M}}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4\delta}||\breve{\tilde{w}}_y(t)|| + ||\breve{\tilde{w}}_q(t)||_p + ||\breve{\tilde{w}}_{\overline{q}}(t)||_p \le 2\delta,$$

i.e.

$$d_p(\breve{\tilde{w}}(t),\mathscr{T}_0) \le 2\delta. \tag{6.109}$$

Remark 11. *In view of (6.103) and (6.108), we can obtain a better estimates about the change of* $\tilde{N}(\breve{\tilde{w}}(t))$ *and* $\tilde{Y}(\breve{\tilde{w}}(t))$*, that is, for all* $|t| \le \delta^{-\mathscr{M}}$*,*

$$|\tilde{N}(\breve{\tilde{w}}(t)) - \tilde{N}(\breve{\tilde{w}}(0))|, |\tilde{Y}(\breve{\tilde{w}}(t)) - \tilde{Y}(\breve{\tilde{w}}(0))| \le 32\delta^{5/2}. \tag{6.110}$$

Based on the partial normal form (6.7) constructed in Theorem 2.10, for each $\xi \in \Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}$ the KAM tori $\mathscr{T}$ of the original Hamiltonian $H(x,y,q,\overline{q};\xi)$ can be defined by $\mathscr{T} = (\Psi \circ \Phi)^{-1}\mathscr{T}_0$.

Assume $w(t)$ is a solution of original Hamiltonian vector field X_H with the initial datum $w(0) = (w_x(0), w_y(0), w_q(0), w_{\bar{q}}(0))$ satisfying

$$d_p(w(0), \mathscr{T}) \leq \delta.$$

Then there exists $w^* \in \mathscr{T}$ such that

$$d_p(w(0), w^*) \leq \frac{9}{8}\delta. \tag{6.111}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_p(\Psi \circ \Phi \circ w(0), \mathscr{T}_0) \\
\leq\ & d_p(\Psi \circ \Phi \circ w(0), \Psi \circ \Phi \circ w^*) \qquad \text{(in view of } \mathscr{T} = (\Psi \circ \Phi)^{-1}\mathscr{T}_0\text{)} \\
\leq\ & d_p(\Psi \circ \Phi \circ w(0), w(0)) + d_p(w(0), w^*) + d_p(w^*, \Psi \circ \Phi \circ w^*) \\
\leq\ & 4\delta ||\Psi \circ \Phi \circ w(0) - w(0)||_{\mathscr{P}^p, D(s_0/4, 4\delta, 4\delta)} + \frac{9}{8}\delta + 4\delta ||\Psi \circ \Phi \circ w^* - w^*||_{\mathscr{P}^p, D(s_0/4, 4\delta, 4\delta)} \\
& \text{(in view of (2.28) and (6.111))} \\
\leq\ & 4\delta |||\Phi \circ \Psi - id|||_{p, D(s_0/4, 4\delta, 4\delta)} \left(||w(0)||_{\mathscr{P}^p, D(s_0/4, 4\delta, 4\delta)} + ||w^*||_{\mathscr{P}^p, D(s_0/4, 4\delta, 4\delta)} \right) + \frac{9}{8}\delta \\
\leq\ & \frac{4}{3}\delta,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.112}$$

where the last inequality is based on the inequality (2.21) and (6.8) which imply $\Phi \circ \Psi$ is close to an identity map. Hence, based on the estimate (6.109), Remark 11 and noting $\breve{w}(t) = \Psi \circ \Phi \circ w(t)$, we obtain

$$d_p(\Psi \circ \Phi \circ w(t), \mathscr{T}_0) \leq \frac{5}{3}\delta, \qquad \text{for all } |t| \leq \delta^{-\mathscr{M}}. \tag{6.113}$$

Moreover, we have

$$d_p(w(t), (\Psi \circ \Phi)^{-1}\mathscr{T}_0) \leq 2\delta, \qquad \text{for all } |t| \leq \delta^{-\mathscr{M}},$$

which follows form the proof of (6.112). □

7 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. Consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.2)

$$\mathbf{i}u_t = u_{xx} - M_\xi u + \varepsilon |u|^2 u$$

subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions $u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0$.

Step 1. Rewrite equation (1.2) as a Hamiltonian.

The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $L_{M_\xi} = -\partial_{xx} + M_\xi$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions are $\lambda_j = j^2 + \xi_j$ and $\phi_j(x) = \sqrt{2/\pi}\sin jx$, respectively. Write

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{j \geq 1} w_j(t)\phi_j(x),$$

and then the Hamiltonian takes the form $H(w, \bar{w}) = H_0(w, \bar{w}) + \varepsilon P(w, \bar{w})$, where

$$H_0(w, \bar{w}) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j w_j \bar{w}_j$$

and

$$P(w,\bar{w}) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j,k,l\geq 1} P_{ijkl} w_i \bar{w}_j w_k \bar{w}_l,$$

with

$$P_{ijkl} = \int_0^\pi \phi_i\phi_j\phi_k\phi_l dx.$$

Remark 12. *It is easy to verify that $P_{ijkl} = 0$ unless $i \pm j \pm k \pm l = 0$, for some combination of plus and minus signs. Thus, only a codimension-one set of coefficients is actually different from zero, and the sum extends only over $i \pm j \pm k \pm l = 0$. In particular,*

$$P_{iji j} = \frac{1}{2\pi}(2+\delta_{ij}).$$

In view of example 3.2 in [4], it is proven that there exists a constant $c_p > 0$ such that

$$||\widetilde{X_P}(\underline{z}^{(1)},\underline{z}^{(2)},\underline{z}^{(3)})||_p \leq c_p||(\underline{z}^3)||_{p,1}, \qquad \underline{z} = (w,\bar{w}). \tag{7.1}$$

In particular, when $p = 1$, the inequality (7.1) reads

$$||\widetilde{X_P}(\underline{z}^{(1)},\underline{z}^{(2)},\underline{z}^{(3)})||_1 \leq c_1||(\underline{z}^3)||_{1,1}. \tag{7.2}$$

The inequalities (7.1) and (7.2) shows that the Hamiltonian vector filed $X_P(\underline{z})$ has p-tame property.

Step 2. Introduce the action-angle variables.

Now we choose any finite n of modes $\phi_{j_1},\phi_{j_2},\ldots,\phi_{j_n}$ as tangent direction and the other as normal direction. Let

$$\tilde{w} = (w_{j_1},\ldots,w_{j_n}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad q = (w_j)_{j\notin\{j_1,\ldots,j_n\}} \tag{7.3}$$

be the tangent variable and normal variable, respectively. Then rewrite $P(w,\bar{w})$ in the multiple-index as

$$P(w,\bar{w}) = \sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|+|\beta|=4} P^{\mu\nu\beta}\tilde{w}^\mu\bar{\tilde{w}}^\nu z^\beta, \qquad \mu,\nu\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \tag{7.4}$$

where $z = (q,\bar{q})$ and $P^{\mu\nu\beta} = P_{ijkl}$ for some corresponding i,j,k,l. In the tangent direction, introduce the action-angle variables

$$w_{j_i} = \sqrt{2(\zeta_i+y_i)}e^{\sqrt{-1}x_i}, \qquad \bar{w}_{j_i} = \sqrt{2(\zeta_i+y_i)}e^{-\sqrt{-1}x_i}, \qquad j_i\in\{j_1,\ldots,j_n\}, \tag{7.5}$$

where $\zeta_i\in[1,2]$ is the initial datum and will be considered as a constant. The symplectic structure is $dy\wedge dx + \sqrt{-1}dq\wedge d\bar{q}$, where $y = (y_1,\ldots,y_n)$ and $x = (x_1,\ldots,x_n)$. Hence, (7.4) is turned into

$$\begin{aligned}
P(w,\bar{w}) &= P(x,y,z)\\
&= \sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|+|\beta|=4} 2^{\frac{1}{2}(|\mu|+|\nu|)}P^{\mu\nu\beta}\sqrt{(\zeta+y)^\mu}e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle\mu,x\rangle}\sqrt{(\zeta+y)^\nu}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\langle\nu,x\rangle}z^\beta\\
&= \sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|+|\beta|=4} (2^{\frac{1}{2}(|\mu|+|\nu|)}P^{\mu\nu\beta}e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle\mu-\nu,x\rangle})\sqrt{(\zeta+y)^{\mu+\nu}}z^\beta\\
&= \sum_{|\beta|\leq 4} P^\beta(x,y)z^\beta,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

where

$$\zeta=(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_n)\qquad\text{and}\qquad P^\beta(x,y)=\sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|=4-|\beta|}2^{\frac{1}{2}(|\mu|+|\nu|)}P^{\mu\nu\beta}e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle\mu-\nu,x\rangle}\sqrt{(\zeta+y)^{\mu+\nu}}.$$

Step 3. Show that the Hamiltonian vector field X_P has p-tame property.

In this step, we will show that the Hamiltonian vector field $X_P(x,y,z)$ has p-tame property after introducing the action-angle variables. Assume $P(x,y,z)=\sum_{|\beta|\le 4}P^\beta(x,y)z^\beta$ is defined on the domain $(x,y,z)\in D(s_0,r_0,r_0)$ for some $0<s_0,r_0\le 1$.

Step 3.1. Estimate $|||P_x|||_{D(s_0,r_0,r_0)\times\Pi}$.

For $1\le i\le n$,

$$\begin{aligned}&P_{x_i}(x,y,z)\\ =\;&P_{w_{j_i}}(x,y,z)\cdot(w_{j_i})_{x_i}+P_{\bar w_{j_i}}(x,y,z)\cdot(\bar w_{j_i})_{x_i}\\ =\;&P_{w_{j_i}}(x,y,z)(\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{\zeta_i+y_i}e^{\sqrt{-1}x_i})+P_{\bar w_{j_i}}(x,y,z)(-\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{\zeta_i+y_i}e^{-\sqrt{-1}x_i}).\end{aligned}\tag{7.7}$$

In view of (7.4) and (7.6), we have

$$P_{w_{j_i}}(x,y,z)=P_{w_{j_i}}(w,\bar w)=\sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|+|\beta|=4}\mu_iP^{\mu\nu\beta}\tilde w^{\mu-1_i}\bar{\tilde w}^\nu z^\beta,\tag{7.8}$$

where

$$\mu-1_i=(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_i-1,\dots,\mu_n).$$

Denote

$$P^\beta_{w_{j_i}}(\tilde w,\bar{\tilde w})=\sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|=4-|\beta|}\mu_iP^{\mu\nu\beta}\tilde w^{\mu-1_i}\bar{\tilde w}^\nu.$$

Then in view of (7.8), we have

$$P_{w_{j_i}}(x,y,z)=P_{w_{j_i}}(w,\bar w)=P^\beta_{w_{j_i}}(\tilde w,\bar{\tilde w})z^\beta.\tag{7.9}$$

Furthermore, let

$$P^\beta_{\tilde w_{j_i}}(\tilde w,\bar{\tilde w})=\sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|=4-|\beta|}\nu_iP^{\mu\nu\beta}\tilde w^{\mu}\bar{\tilde w}^{\nu-1_i},$$

where $\nu-1_i=(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_i-1,\dots,\nu_n)$, and then

$$P_{\tilde w_{j_i}}(x,y,z)=P_{\tilde w_{j_i}}(w,\bar w)=P^\beta_{\tilde w_{j_i}}(\tilde w,\bar{\tilde w})z^\beta.\tag{7.10}$$

Denote

$$P^\beta_{x_i}(x,y)=P^\beta_{w_{j_i}}(\tilde w,\bar{\tilde w})(\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{\zeta_i+y_i}e^{\sqrt{-1}x_i})+P^\beta_{\tilde w_{j_i}}(\tilde w,\bar{\tilde w})(-\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{\zeta_i+y_i}e^{-\sqrt{-1}x_i}).\tag{7.11}$$

In view of the formulas (7.7) and (7.9)-(7.11), we have

$$P_{x_i}(x,y,z)=\sum_{|\beta|\le 4}P^\beta_{x_i}(x,y)z^\beta,\qquad\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}.\tag{7.12}$$

In view of the inequality (7.2) and for $1\le i\le n$, we have

$$|\widetilde{P_{w_{j_i}}}(\underline{z}^{(1)},\underline{z}^{(2)},\underline{z}^{(3)})|,|\widetilde{P_{\bar w_{j_i}}}(\underline{z}^{(1)},\underline{z}^{(2)},\underline{z}^{(3)})|\le c_1(||\underline{z}^{(1)}||_1||\underline{z}^{(2)}||_1||\underline{z}^{(3)}||_1).\tag{7.13}$$

In view of (7.12), based on the inequality (7.13) and the definition of $\lfloor\cdot\rceil_{D(s_0,r_0)\times\Pi}$ (see (2.3) for the details), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\lfloor P_{x_i}\rceil}_{D(s_0,r_0)\times\Pi}(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},z^{(3)})\\ \leq\ & c(\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}||z^{(i)}||_1+\sum_{1\leq i\neq j\leq 3}||z^{(i)}||_1||z^{(j)}||_1+||z^{(1)}||_1||z^{(2)}||_1||z^{(3)}||_1),\end{aligned}\tag{7.14}$$

where $c>0$ is a constant depending on s_0,r_0 and n. Based on the inequality (7.14) for $1\leq i\leq n$ and Definition 2.5,

$$|||P_x|||_{D(s_0,r_0,r_0)\times\Pi}<\infty.\tag{7.15}$$

Following the proof of the inequality (7.15), we obtain

$$|||P_y|||_{D(s_0,r_0,r_0)\times\Pi}<\infty.\tag{7.16}$$

Now, we would like to show

$$|||P_z|||^T_{p,D(s_0,r_0,r_0)\times\Pi}<\infty.$$

In view of (7.4),

$$\begin{aligned}P_z(x,y,z) &= P_z(w,\bar{w})\\ &= \sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|+|\beta|=4}\beta P^{\mu\nu\beta}\tilde{w}^{\mu}\bar{\tilde{w}}^{\nu}z^{\beta-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{7.17}$$

Let

$$P_z^{\beta-1}(x,y)=P_z^{\beta-1}(\tilde{w},\bar{\tilde{w}})=\sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|=4-|\beta|}\beta P^{\mu\nu\beta}\tilde{w}^{\mu}\bar{\tilde{w}}^{\nu},\tag{7.18}$$

and then

$$P_z(x,y,z)=\sum_{|\beta|\leq 4}P_z^{\beta-1}(x,y)z^{\beta-1}.\tag{7.19}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$||\widetilde{\lfloor P_z\rceil}_{D(s_0,r_0)\times\Pi}||_p\leq c(\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}||z^{(i)}||_p+\sum_{1\leq i\neq j\leq 3}||z^{(i)}||_1||z^{(j)}||_p+||(z^3)||_{p,1}),\tag{7.20}$$

where $c>0$ is a constant depending on s_0,r_0,n and p, and the above inequality is based on the inequality (7.1) and the definition of $\lfloor\cdot\rceil_{D(s_0,r_0)\times\Pi}$ (see (2.3) for the details), and noting that $\underline{z}=(\tilde{w},q,\bar{\tilde{w}},\bar{q})$ and $z=(q,\bar{q})$. In particular, when $p=1$, the inequality (7.20) reads

$$||\widetilde{\lfloor P_z\rceil}_{D(s_0,r_0)\times\Pi}||_1\leq\check{c}(\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3}||z^{(i)}||_1+\sum_{1\leq i\neq j\leq 3}||z^{(i)}||_1||z^{(j)}||_1+||(z^3)||_{1,1}),\tag{7.21}$$

where $\check{c}>0$ is a constant depending on s_0,r_0 and n. Based on the inequalities (7.20) and (7.21), we obtain

$$|||P_z|||^T_{p,D(s_0,r_0,r_0)\times\Pi}<\infty.\tag{7.22}$$

In view of the inequalities (7.15), (7.16) and (7.22), we get

$$|||X_P|||^T_{p,D(s_0,r_0,r_0)\times\Pi}<\infty.\tag{7.23}$$

Finally, we obtain a Hamiltonian $H(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ having the following form

$$H(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = N(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + R(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi), \tag{7.24}$$

where

$$N(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = H_0(w,\bar{w}) = \sum_{1\le j\le n} \omega_j(\xi) y_j + \sum_{j\ge 1} \Omega_j(\xi) q_j \bar{q}_j, \tag{7.25}$$

with the tangent frequency

$$\omega(\xi) = (\omega_1(\xi),\ldots,\omega_n(\xi)) = (j_1^2+\xi_{j_1},\ldots,j_n^2+\xi_{j_n}), \tag{7.26}$$

and the normal frequency

$$\Omega(\xi) = (\Omega_1(\xi),\Omega_2(\xi),\ldots,), \qquad \Omega_j(\xi) = j^2+\xi_j, \quad j\notin\{j_1,\ldots,j_n\}, \tag{7.27}$$

and the perturbation

$$R(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \varepsilon P(x,y,q,\bar{q}) \tag{7.28}$$

is independent of parameters ξ.

In view of the formulas (7.26) and (7.27), it is easy to show that Assumption A and Assumption B in Theorem 2.8 hold. Basing on the inequality (7.23) and noting $R(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \varepsilon P(x,y,q,\bar{q})$ (see (7.28)), we obtain $|||X_R|||^T_{p,D(s_0,r_0,r_0)\times\Pi}$ satisfies the small assumption. Hence, all assumptions in Theorem 2.8 hold. According to Theorem 2.8, there exists a subset $\Pi_\eta \subset \Pi$ with the estimate

$$\text{Meas}\ \Pi_\eta \ge (\text{Meas}\ \Pi)(1-O(\eta)).$$

For each $\xi\in\Pi_\eta$, there is a symplectic map

$$\Psi : D(s_0/2,r_0/2,r_0/2) \to D(s_0,r_0,r_0),$$

such that $H(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi)$ can be transformed into a normal form of order 2 with the following form

$$\check{H}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) := H\circ\Psi = \check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) + \check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi),$$

where

$$\check{N}(y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \check{\omega}_j(\xi) y_j + \sum_{j\ge 1} \check{\Omega}_j(\xi) q_j \bar{q}_j$$

and

$$\check{R}(x,y,q,\bar{q};\xi) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},2|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\ge 3} \check{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x;\xi) y^\alpha q^\beta \bar{q}^\gamma.$$

Let δ be given in the statement of Theorem 2.10 and $\mathscr{N}$ be given in (6.90). Take $\tilde{\eta}$ satisfying $\delta^{1/6} < \tilde{\eta} < \mathscr{N}^{-2}$ and $\tilde{\Pi} = \Pi_{\tilde{\eta}}$. Based on Theorem 2.10, for each $\xi\in\tilde{\Pi}$, equation (1.2) possesses a KAM torus $\mathscr{T}_\xi$ in Sobolev space $H_0^p([0,\pi])$ which is defined by

$$H_0^p[0,\pi] = \left\{ v(x) = \sum_{j\ge 1} \widehat{v}(j)\phi_j(x) \mid \sum_{j\ge 1} |\widehat{v}(j)|^2 j^{2p} < \infty \right\}, \tag{7.29}$$

where $\phi_j(x) = \sqrt{2/\pi}\sin jx$ and

$$\widehat{v}(j) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\int_0^\pi v(x)\sin jx dx$$

is the j-th Fourier coefficient of $v(x)$.

Furthermore, for any solution $u(t,x)$ of equation (1.2) with the initial datum satisfying

$$d_{H_0^p[0,\pi]}(u(0,x),\mathscr{T}_\xi) \le \delta,$$

then

$$d_{H_0^p[0,\pi]}(u(t,x),\mathscr{T}_\xi) \le 2\delta, \qquad \text{for all } |t| \le \delta^{-\mathscr{M}}.$$

□

8 Appendix: technical lemmas

Lemma 8.1. *Consider two functions $U(x;\xi)$ and $V(x;\xi)$ defined on the domain $D(s)\times\Pi$, which are analytic about the variable $x \in D(s)$ and C^1-smooth about the parameter $\xi \in \Pi$, then the following inequality holds*

$$||UV||_{D(s)\times\Pi} \le ||U||_{D(s)\times\Pi}||V||_{D(s)\times\Pi}.$$

Proof. Let $W(x;\xi) = U(x;\xi)V(x;\xi)$ with its Fourier series $W(x;\xi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle}$. By a direct calculation,

$$\widehat{W}(k;\xi) = \sum_{k_1+k_2=k}\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi),$$

and

$$\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{W}(k;\xi) = \sum_{k_1+k_2=k}\left(\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi) + \widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)\right),$$

where $\widehat{U}(k;\xi)$ and $\widehat{V}(k;\xi)$ are k-th Fourier coefficients of $U(x;\xi)$ and $V(x;\xi)$, respectively. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|e^{|k|s}\\
=\;&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\sum_{k_1+k_2=k}\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)|e^{|k_1+k_2|s}\\
\le\;&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\sum_{k_1+k_2=k}|\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)||\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)|e^{(|k_1|+|k_2|)s}\\
=\;&\left(\sum_{k_1\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)|e^{|k_1|s}\right)\left(\sum_{k_2\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)|e^{|k_2|s}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|e^{|k|s}\\
=\;& \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |\sum_{k_1+k_2=k} \partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)+\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi))|e^{|k_1+k_2|s}\\
\leq\;& \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\sum_{k_1+k_2=k}\left(|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)||\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)|+|\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)||\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)|\right)e^{(|k_1|+|k_2|)s}\\
=\;& \left(\sum_{k_1\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)|e^{|k_1|s}\right)\left(\sum_{k_2\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)|e^{|k_2|s}\right)\\
&+\left(\sum_{k_1\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)|e^{|k_1|s}\right)\left(\sum_{k_2\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)|e^{|k_2|s}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left(|\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|\right)e^{|k|s}\\
\leq\;& \left(\sum_{k_1\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)|e^{|k_1|s}\right)\left(\sum_{k_2\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)|e^{|k_2|s}\right)\\
&+\left(\sum_{k_1\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)|e^{|k_1|s}\right)\left(\sum_{k_2\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)|e^{|k_2|s}\right)\\
&+\left(\sum_{k_1\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\widehat{U}(k_1;\xi)|e^{|k_1|s}\right)\left(\sum_{k_2\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V}(k_2;\xi)|e^{|k_2|s}\right)\\
\leq\;& \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}(|\widehat{U}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{U}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|s}\right)\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}(|\widehat{V}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|s}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&||W||_{D(s)\times\Pi}\\
=\;& \sup_{\xi\in\Pi,j\geq 1}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left(|\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|\right)e^{|k|s}\\
\leq\;& \sup_{\xi\in\Pi,j\geq 1}\left(\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}(|\widehat{U}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{U}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|s}\right)\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}(|\widehat{V}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|s}\right)\right)\\
\leq\;& \sup_{\xi\in\Pi,j\geq 1}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}(|\widehat{U}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{U}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|s}\right)\sup_{\xi\in\Pi,j\geq 1}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}(|\widehat{V}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{V}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|s}\right)\\
=\;& ||U||_{D(s)\times\Pi}||V||_{D(s)\times\Pi}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 8.2. *Consider two functions* $U(x,y;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}U^\alpha(x;\xi)y^\alpha$ *and* $V(x,y;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}V^\alpha(x;\xi)y^\alpha$ *defined on the domain* $D(s,r)\times\Pi$*, which are analytic about the variable* $(x,y)\in D(s,r)$ *and* C^1*-smooth about the parameter* $\xi\in\Pi$*, then the following inequality holds*

$$||UV||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}\leq||U||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}||V||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}.$$

Proof. Let $W(x,y;\xi) = U(x,y;\xi)V(x,y;\xi)$ with its Taylor series about the variable y

$$W(x,y;\xi) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} W^\beta(x;\xi)y^\beta.$$

By a direct calculation,

$$W^\beta(x;\xi) = \sum_{\alpha+\alpha'=\beta} U^\alpha(x;\xi)V^{\alpha'}(x;\xi).$$

Hence, by the definition of $||\cdot||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
||W||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi} &= \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} ||W^\beta||_{D(s)\times\Pi} r^{2|\beta|} \\
&= \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} || \sum_{\alpha+\alpha'=\beta} U^\alpha V^{\alpha'}||_{D(s)\times\Pi} r^{2|\alpha+\alpha'|} \\
&\leq \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{\alpha+\alpha'=\beta} ||U^\alpha V^{\alpha'}||_{D(s)\times\Pi} r^{2|\alpha+\alpha'|} \\
&\leq \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{\alpha+\alpha'=\beta} ||U^\alpha||_{D(s)\times\Pi} ||V^{\alpha'}||_{D(s)\times\Pi} r^{2|\alpha+\alpha'|} \\
&= \left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} ||U^\alpha||_{D(s)\times\Pi} r^{2|\alpha|}\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha'\in\mathbb{N}^n} ||V^{\alpha'}||_{D(s)\times\Pi} r^{2|\alpha'|}\right) \\
&= ||U||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi} ||V||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we will give two generalized Cauchy estimates:

Lemma 8.3. *Consider a function $W(x;\xi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{W}(k;\xi)e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle}$ defined on the domain $D(s)\times\Pi$, which is analytic about the variable $x \in D(s)$ and C^1-smooth about the parameter $\xi\in\Pi$, then the following generalized Cauchy estimate holds*

$$||W_x||_{D(s-\sigma)\times\Pi} \leq \frac{1}{e\sigma}||W||_{D(s)\times\Pi},$$

where $0<\sigma<s$.

Proof. By a direct calculation,

$$W_{x_j}(x;\xi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \sqrt{-1}k_j\widehat{W}(k;\xi)e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle k,x\rangle}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |k_j|(|\widehat{W}(k;\xi)| + |\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|(s-\sigma)} \\
\leq\ &\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} (|\widehat{W}(k;\xi)| + |\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|s}|k|e^{-|k|\sigma} \\
\leq\ &\left(\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |k|e^{-|k|\sigma}\right)\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} (|\widehat{W}(k;\xi)| + |\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|s}\right) \\
\leq\ &\frac{1}{e\sigma}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} (|\widehat{W}(k;\xi)| + |\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|s}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & ||W_{x_j}||_{D(s-\sigma)\times\Pi} \\ = & \sup_{\xi\in\Pi, j\geq 1}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}|k_j|(|\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|(s-\sigma)}\right) \\ \leq & \sup_{\xi\in\Pi, j\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{e\sigma}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}(|\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|+|\partial_{\xi_j}\widehat{W}(k;\xi)|)e^{|k|s}\right)\right) \\ = & \frac{1}{e\sigma}||W||_{D(s)\times\Pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$||W_x||_{D(s-\sigma)\times\Pi} = \sup_{1\leq j\leq n}||W_{x_j}||_{D(s-\sigma)\times\Pi} \leq \frac{1}{e\sigma}||W||_{D(s)\times\Pi}.$$

□

Lemma 8.4. *Consider a function $W(x,y;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}W^\alpha(x;\xi)y^\alpha$ defined on the domain $D(s,r)\times\Pi$, which is analytic about the variable $(x,y)\in D(s,r)$ and C^1-smooth about the parameter $\xi\in\Pi$, then the following generalized Cauchy estimate holds*

$$||W_x||_{D(s-\sigma,r)\times\Pi}\leq\frac{1}{e\sigma}||W||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}, \tag{8.1}$$

and

$$||W_y||_{D(s,r-\sigma')\times\Pi}\leq\frac{1}{r\sigma'}||W||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}, \tag{8.2}$$

where $0<\sigma<s$ and $0<\sigma'<r/2$.

Proof. The inequality (8.1) can be obtained directly by Lemma 8.3. Now, we will prove the inequality (8.2). By a direct calculation,

$$W_{y_j}(x,y;\xi)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}\alpha_j W^\alpha(x;\xi)y^{\alpha-1_j},$$

where

$$\alpha-1_j=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{j-1},\alpha_j-1,\alpha_{j+1},\ldots,\alpha_n).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & ||W_{y_j}||_{D(s,r-\sigma')\times\Pi} \\ = & \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}||\alpha_j W^\alpha||_{D(s)\times\Pi}(r-\sigma')^{2(|\alpha|-1)} \\ \leq & \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}|\alpha|||W^\alpha||_{D(s)\times\Pi}(r-\sigma')^{2(|\alpha|-1)} \\ = & \frac{1}{2(r-\sigma')}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}||W^\alpha||_{D(s)\times\Pi}2|\alpha|(r-\sigma')^{2|\alpha|-1} \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2(r-\sigma')}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}||W^\alpha||_{D(s)\times\Pi}r^{2|\alpha|}/\sigma' \qquad \text{(using the inequality } k(r-\sigma')^{k-1}\leq\frac{r^k}{\sigma'}) \\ \leq & \frac{1}{r\sigma'}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}||W^\alpha||_{D(s)\times\Pi}r^{2|\alpha|} \qquad \text{(based on } 0<\sigma'<r/2) \\ = & \frac{1}{r\sigma'}||W||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$||W_y||_{D(s,r-\sigma')\times\Pi} = \sup_{1\le j\le n} ||W_{y_j}||_{D(s,r-\sigma')\times\Pi} \le \frac{1}{r\sigma'}||W||_{D(s,r)\times\Pi}.$$

□

Assume $F(z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial with the corresponding multi-linear form $\widetilde{F}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h)})$. Then the following lemma holds:

Lemma 8.5. *Consider two homogeneous polynomials $F(z)=\sum_{|\beta|=h_1}F^\beta z^\beta$ and $G(z)=\sum_{|\beta'|=h_2}G^{\beta'}z^{\beta'}$. Then*

$$\widetilde{FG}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h)}) = \frac{1}{h!}\sum_{\tau_h}\widetilde{F}(z^{(\tau_h(1))},\dots,z^{(\tau_h(h_1))})\widetilde{G}(z^{(\tau_h(h_1+1))},\dots,z^{(\tau_h(h))}),$$

where $h=h_1+h_2$ and τ_h is an h-permutation.

Proof. It suffices to consider $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ as two monomials with the following forms

$$F(z)=F^\beta z^\beta = F^\beta z_{j_1}\cdots z_{j_{h_1}} \quad\text{and}\quad G(z)=G^{\beta'}z^{\beta'}=G^{\beta'}z_{i_1}\cdots z_{i_{h_2}}.$$

Then

$$W(z)=F(z)G(z)=F^\beta G^{\beta'}z_{j_1}\cdots z_{j_{h_1}}z_{i_1}\cdots z_{i_{h_2}} = F^\beta G^{\beta'}z_{k_1}\cdots z_{k_h},$$

where $(k_1,\dots,k_h)=(j_1,\dots,j_{h_1},i_1,\dots,i_{h_2})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{h!}\sum_{\tau_h}\widetilde{F}(z^{(\tau_h(1))},\dots,z^{(\tau_h(h_1))})\widetilde{G}(z^{(\tau_h(h_1+1))},\dots,z^{(\tau_h(h))})\\
=\;& \frac{1}{h!}\sum_{\tau_h}\left(\frac{F^\beta}{h_1!}\sum_{\tau_{h_1}} z_{j_1}^{(\tau_{h_1}\circ\tau_h(1))}\cdots z_{j_{h_1}}^{(\tau_{h_1}\circ\tau_h(h_1))}\right)\left(\sum_{\tau_{h_2}}\frac{G^{\beta'}}{h_2!}z_{i_1}^{(\tau_{h_2}\circ\tau_h(h_1+1))}\cdots z_{i_{h_2}}^{(\tau_{h_2}\circ\tau_h(h))}\right)\\
=\;& \frac{F^\beta G^{\beta'}}{h!h_1!h_2!}\sum_{\tau_h,\tau_{h_1},\tau_{h_2}} z_{k_1}^{(\tau_{h_1}\circ\tau_h(1))}\cdots z_{k_h}^{(\tau_{h_2}\circ\tau_h(h))}\\
=\;& \frac{1}{h_1!h_2!}\sum_{\tau_{h_1},\tau_{h_2}}\frac{F^\beta G^{\beta'}}{h!}\sum_{\tau_h} z_{k_1}^{(\tau_{h_1}\circ\tau_h(1))}\cdots z_{k_h}^{(\tau_{h_2}\circ\tau_h(h))}\\
=\;& \frac{1}{h_1!h_2!}\sum_{\tau_{h_1},\tau_{h_2}}\frac{F^\beta G^{\beta'}}{h!}\sum_{\tau'_h} z_{k_1}^{(\tau'_h(1))}\cdots z_{k_h}^{(\tau'_h(h))} \qquad \text{where}\quad \tau'_h=(\tau_{h_1},\tau_{h_2})\circ\tau\\
=\;& \frac{1}{h_1!h_2!}\sum_{\tau_{h_1},\tau_{h_2}}\widetilde{W}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h)})\\
=\;& \widetilde{W}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(h)}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 13. *Usually, the permutation τ_{h_1} is defined on the set $\{1,\dots,h_1\}$. However, $\tau_h(1)$ may be larger than h_1, since τ_h is an $h=h_1+h_2$ permutation. In fact, we define τ_{h_1} on the set $(\tau_h(1),\dots,\tau_h(h_1))$ as follows. Assume $\tau_h(l_1)<\cdots<\tau_h(l_i)<\tau_h(l_{i+1})<\cdots<\tau_h(l_{h_1})$ for $\{l_1,\dots,l_{h_1}\}=\{1,\dots,h_1\}$. Then define the map $\bigwedge:\{\tau_h(1),\dots,\tau_h(h_1)\}\to\{1,\dots,h_1\}$ by $\bigwedge(\tau_h(i))=j$, if $i=l_j$, and the inverse of $\bigwedge$ by $\bigwedge^{-1}(j)=\tau_h(i)$. Hence, we have $\tau_{h_1}\circ\tau_h(i):=\bigwedge^{-1}\circ\tau_{h_1}\circ\bigwedge(\tau_h(i))$.*

Lemma 8.6.

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &:= \frac{1}{\tilde{m}!}\frac{1}{h-1}\sum_{\tau_{\tilde{m}}}\sum_{j=1}^{h-1}||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j-1)}||_1||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j)}||_p||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j+1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{m})}||_1 \\ &= ||(z^{\tilde{m}})||_{p,1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By a direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{m}!(h-1)f(z) \\ = & \sum_{\tau_{\tilde{m}}}\sum_{j=1}^{h-1}||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j-1)}||_1||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j)}||_p||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j+1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{m})}||_1 \\ = & \sum_{j=1}^{h-1}\left(\sum_{\tau_{\tilde{m}}}||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j-1)}||_1||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j)}||_p||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j+1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{m})}||_1\right) \\ = & \sum_{j=1}^{h-1}\left(\sum_{j_0=1}^{\tilde{m}}\sum_{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j)=j_0}||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j-1)}||_1||z^{(j_0)}||_p||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j+1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{m})}||_1\right) \\ = & \sum_{j=1}^{h-1}\left(\sum_{j_0=1}^{\tilde{m}}||z^{(j_0)}||_p\sum_{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j)=j_0}||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j-1)}||_1||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(j+1)}||_1\cdots||z^{\tau_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{m})}||_1\right) \\ = & \sum_{j=1}^{h-1}\left(\sum_{j_0=1}^{\tilde{m}}||z^{(j_0)}||_p\left((\tilde{m}-1)!||z^{(1)}||_1\cdots||z^{(j_0-1)}||_1||z^{(j_0+1)}||_1\cdots||z^{(\tilde{m})}||_1\right)\right) \\ = & (\tilde{m}-1)!\sum_{j=1}^{h-1}\left(\sum_{j_0=1}^{\tilde{m}}\left(||z^{(1)}||_1\cdots||z^{(j_0-1)}||_1||z^{(j_0)}||_p||z^{(j_0+1)}||_1\cdots||z^{(\tilde{m})}||_1\right)\right) \\ = & (\tilde{m}-1)!\sum_{j=1}^{h-1}\left(\tilde{m}||(z^{\tilde{m}})||_{p,1}\right) \\ = & \tilde{m}!(h-1)||(z^{\tilde{m}})||_{p,1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f(z) = ||(z^{\tilde{m}})||_{p,1}.$$

□

Let E and F be two complex Banach spaces with norm $||\cdot||_E$ and $||\cdot||_F$, and let G be an analytic map from an open subset of E into F. The first derivative d_vG of G at v is a linear map from E into F, whose induced operator norm is

$$||d_vG||_{F,E} = \max_{u\neq 0}\frac{||d_vG(u)||_F}{||u||_E}.$$

The Cauchy inequality can be stated as follows.

Lemma 8.7. *Let G be an analytic map from the open ball of radius r around v in E into F such that $||G||_F \leq M$ on this ball. Then*

$$||d_vG||_{F,E} \leq \frac{M}{r}. \tag{8.3}$$

Proof. See details in Lemma A.3 of [25]. □

References

[1] D. Bambusi, Birkhoff normal form for some nonlinear PDEs., Comm. Math. Phys., **234**, 253–285 (2003)

[2] D. Bambusi, A Birkhoff normal form theorem for some semilinear PDEs., Hamiltonian dynamical systems and applications, 213–247 (2008)

[3] D. Bambusi, J. M. Delort, B. Grébert and J. Szeftel, Almost global existence for Hamiltonian semilinear Klein-Gordon equations with small Cauchy data on Zoll manifolds, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **60**, 1665–1690 (2007)

[4] D. Bambusi and B. Grébert, Birkhoff normal form for partial differential equations with tame modulus, Duke Math. J., **135**, 507–567 (2006)

[5] D. Bambusi and N. N. Nekhoroshev, A property of exponential stability in nonlinear wave equations near the fundamental linear mode, Phys. D, **122**, 73–104 (1998)

[6] M. Berti and L. Biasco, Branching of Cantor manifolds of elliptic tori and application to PDEs, Comm. Math. Phys. **305**, 741–796 (2011)

[7] J. Bourgain, Construction of quasi-periodic solutions for Hamiltonian perturbations of linear equations and application to nonlinear pde, Int. Math. Research Notices, **11** 475–497 (1994)

[8] J. Bourgain, Construction of approximative and almost periodic solutions of perturbed linear Schrödinger and wave equations, Geom. Funct. Anal., **6**, 201-230 (1996)

[9] J. Bourgain, On Melnikov's persistence problem, Math. Research Letters, **4** 445-458 (1997)

[10] J. Bourgain, Quasi-periodic solutions of Hamiltonian perturbations of 2D linear Schrödinger equation, Ann. of Math., **148**, 363-439 (1998)

[11] J. Bourgain, On diffusion in high-dimensional Hamiltonian systems and PDE, J. Anal. Math., **80**, 1–35 (2000)

[12] J. Bourgain, Recent progress on quasi-periodic lattice Schrödinger operators and Hamiltonian PDEs, Russian Math. Surveys **59** :2 231-246 (2004)

[13] J. Bourgain, Green function estimates for lattice Schrödinger operators and applications, Annals of Math. Studies, Princeton University Press (2005)

[14] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaota and T. Tao, Transfer of energy to high frequencies in the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Invent. Math., **181**, 39–113 (2010)

[15] Craig, W. and Wayne, C., Newton's method and periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equation, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math., **46**, 1409–1501 (1993)

[16] J. M. Delort and J. Szeftel, Long-time existence for small data nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations on tori and spheres, Int. Math. Res. Not., **37**, 1897–1966 (2004)

[17] L. H. Eliasson, A talk in Fudan University, (2007)

[18] L. H. Eliasson and S. B. Kuksin, KAM for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Ann. of Math., **172**, 371–435 (2010)

[19] B. Grébert and L. Thomann, KAM for the quantum harmonic oscillator, Comm. Math. Phys., **307**, 383–427 (2011)

[20] S. B. Kuksin, Hamiltonian perturbations of infinite-dimensional linear systems with an imaginary spectrum, Funct. Anal. Appl., **21**, 192–205 (1987)

[21] S. B. Kuksin, Nearly integrable infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1993)

[22] S. B. Kuksin, Fifteen Years of KAM for PDE, *geometry, topology, and mathematical physics.* Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 212: 237–258 (2004)

[23] S. B. Kuksin and J. Pöschel, Invariant Cantor manifolds of quasi-periodic oscillations for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Ann. of Math., **143**, 149–179 (1996)

[24] J. Pöschel, On elliptic lower-dimensional tori in Hamiltonian systems, Math. Z., **202**, 559–608 (1989)

[25] J. Pöschel, A KAM-theorem for some nonlinear partial differential equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., **23**, 119–148 (1996)

[26] C. E. Wayne, Periodic and quasi-periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equations via KAM theory, Comm. Math. Phys., **127**, 479–528 (1990)